

Recap: DFAs and NFAs (1)

We have so far encountered two ways of describing formal languages:

- Deterministic Finite Automata (DFA)

$$(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$$

- Non-deterministic Finite Automata (NFA)

$$(Q, \Sigma, \delta, S, F)$$

Recap: DFAs and NFAs (2)

Key difference: the type of the transition function:

- **DFA:** $\delta \in Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$
- **NFA:** $\delta \in Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$

Language of an automaton: the set of all words it accepts.

As DFAs and NFAs are **interconvertible**, these two kinds of automata defines the same **class** of languages.

Regular Expressions

- Automata describe languages in a somewhat indirect way: not always obvious what the defined language is.
- **Regular Expressions** offer a different, more direct way to describe languages.
- We will see (later) that the class of languages that can be described by regular expressions again is the same as those describable by DFAs and NFAs.
- This class is called the **regular** languages. Hence the name regular expressions.