

G54FOP: Lecture 14

The Polymorphic Lambda Calculus (System F)

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

This Lecture

- Limitations of the simply typed λ -calculus.
- The polymorphic lambda calculus (System F)
- Examples illustrating the power of system F

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.1/17

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.2/17

Rcp: The Simply Typed λ -Calculus (1)

T	\rightarrow		<i>types:</i>
		B	<i>fixed set of base types</i>
		$T \rightarrow T$	<i>type of functions</i>

Γ	\rightarrow		<i>contexts:</i>
		\emptyset	<i>empty context</i>
		$\Gamma, x : T$	<i>context extension</i>

Note: Need at least **one** base type, or there is no way to construct a type of finite size.

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.3/17

Rcp: The Simply Typed λ -Calculus (2)

t	\rightarrow		<i>terms:</i>
		x	<i>variable</i>
		c	<i>constant (optional)</i>
		$\lambda x : T . t$	<i>abstraction</i>
		$t t$	<i>application</i>

v	\rightarrow		<i>values:</i>
		c	<i>constant (optional)</i>
		$\lambda x : T . t$	<i>abstraction</i>

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.4/17

Rcp: The Simply Typed λ -Calculus (3)

$$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \quad (\text{T-VAR})$$

$$\frac{c \text{ is a constant of type } T}{\Gamma \vdash c : T} \quad (\text{T-CONST-c})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1 . t_2 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \quad (\text{T-ABS})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_{12}} \quad (\text{T-APP})$$

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.5/17

Example: TWICE (2)

Suppose $\mathbf{Bool}, \mathbf{Nat} \in B$.

What matters is that the types would be different even if we were to encode them in the base calculus.

Thus we need a *separate* definition for *each* type at which we want to use **TWICE**:

$$\mathbf{TWICEBOOL} \equiv \lambda f : \mathbf{Bool} \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool} . \lambda x : \mathbf{Bool} . f (f x)$$

$$\mathbf{TWICENAT} \equiv \lambda f : \mathbf{Nat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Nat} . \lambda x : \mathbf{Nat} . f (f x)$$

$$\mathbf{TWICENATFUN} \equiv \lambda f : (\mathbf{Nat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Nat}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Nat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Nat}) . \lambda x : \mathbf{Nat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Nat} . f (f x)$$

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.7/17

Example: TWICE (1)

Consider defining a function twice:

$$\text{twice}(f, x) = f(f(x))$$

Easy in the untyped λ -calculus:

$$\mathbf{TWICE} \equiv \lambda f . \lambda x . f (f x)$$

What about the *simply typed* λ -calculus?

$$\mathbf{TWICE} \equiv \lambda f : ??? . \lambda x : ??? . f (f x)$$

What should the types of the arguments be?

Can **TWICE** be used for, say, both **Bool** and **Nat**?

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.6/17

Example: TWICE (3)

We have been forced to define *essentially the same* function over and over.

Common CS sensibility suggests *abstraction* over the *varying* part; i.e., here *the type!*

Thus, we would like to do something like:

$$\mathbf{TWICEPOLY} \equiv \Lambda T . \lambda f : T \rightarrow T . \lambda x : T . f (f x)$$

Now:

$$\mathbf{TWICEBOOL} \equiv \mathbf{TWICEPOLY} [\mathbf{Bool}]$$

$$\mathbf{TWICENAT} \equiv \mathbf{TWICEPOLY} [\mathbf{Nat}]$$

$$\mathbf{TWICENATFUN} \equiv \mathbf{TWICEPOLY} [\mathbf{Nat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Nat}]$$

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.8/17

System F: Abstract Syntax (1)

$T \rightarrow$ types:
 | B | $T \rightarrow T$ [as for simply typed]
 | $\forall X . T$ universally quantified type

$\Gamma \rightarrow$ contexts:
 | \emptyset | $\Gamma, x : T$ [as for simply typed]
 | Γ, X extension with type variable

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.9/17

System F: Typing Rules

T-VAR, (T-CONST-c), T-ABS, T-APP are as before (omitted).

Additional typing rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, X \vdash t : T}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda X . t : \forall X . T} \quad (\text{T-TABS})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \forall X . T_{12}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 [T_2] : [X \mapsto T_2] T_{12}} \quad (\text{T-TAPP})$$

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.11/17

System F: Abstract Syntax (2)

$t \rightarrow$ terms:
 | x | c | $\lambda x : T . t$ | $t t$ [as for simply typed]
 | $\Lambda X . t$ type abstraction
 | $t [T]$ type application

$v \rightarrow$ values:
 | c | $\lambda x : T . t$ [as for simply typed]
 | $\Lambda X . t$ type abstraction value

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.10/17

System F: Evaluation Rules

E-APP1, E-APP2, E-APPABS are as before:

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 t_2 \longrightarrow t'_1 t_2} \quad (\text{E-APP1})$$

$$\frac{t_2 \longrightarrow t'_2}{v_1 t_2 \longrightarrow v_1 t'_2} \quad (\text{E-APP2})$$

$$(\lambda x : T_{11} . t_{12}) v_2 \longrightarrow [x \mapsto v_2] t_{12} \quad (\text{E-APPABS})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 [T_2] \longrightarrow t'_1 [T_2]} \quad (\text{E-TAPP})$$

$$(\Lambda X . t_{12}) [T_2] \longrightarrow [X \mapsto T_2] t_{12} \quad (\text{E-TAPPABS})$$

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.12/17

Exercise

Given

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ID} &\equiv \Lambda T. \lambda x:T. x \\ \Gamma_1 &= \emptyset, \text{Nat}, 5 : \text{Nat} \end{aligned}$$

type check $\text{ID} [\text{Nat}] 5$ in context Γ_1 .

(On whiteboard)

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.13/17

System F: Church Booleans (2)

$$\text{CBOOL} \equiv \forall X. X \rightarrow X \rightarrow X$$

$$\text{TRUE} : \text{CBOOL}$$

$$\text{TRUE} \equiv \Lambda X. \lambda t:X. \lambda f:X. t$$

$$\text{FALSE} : \text{CBOOL}$$

$$\text{FALSE} \equiv \Lambda X. \lambda t:X. \lambda f:X. f$$

$$\text{NOT} : \text{CBOOL} \rightarrow \text{CBOOL}$$

$$\text{NOT} \equiv \lambda b:\text{CBOOL}. \Lambda X. \lambda t:X. \lambda f:X. b [X] f t$$

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.15/17

System F: Church Booleans (1)

Recall untyped encoding:

$$\text{TRUE} \equiv \lambda t. \lambda f. t$$

$$\text{FALSE} \equiv \lambda t. \lambda f. f$$

We need to:

- assign a **common** type to these two terms;
- need to work for **arbitrary** argument types.

Parametrise on the type:

$$\text{CBOOL} \equiv \forall X. X \rightarrow X \rightarrow X$$

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.14/17

Normalization

System F is strongly normalizing, like the simply typed λ -calculus.

G54FOP: Lecture 14 – p.16/17

Homework

- Given $1 : \text{Nat}$ and $2 : \text{Nat}$, write down a type-correct application of TRUE to 1 and 2 such that the result is 1 .
- Evaluate the above term using the evaluation rules.
- Prove $\text{TRUE} : \text{CBOOL}$.
- Prove $\text{NOT} : \text{CBOOL} \rightarrow \text{CBOOL}$
- Provide a suitable definition of logical conjunction, AND .