

COMP4075: Lecture 14

Property-based Testing

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.1/28

QuickCheck: What is it? (1)

- Framework for property-based testing
- Flexible language for stating properties
- Random test cases generated automatically based on type of argument(s) to properties.
- Highly configurable:
 - Number, size of test cases can easily be specified
 - Additional types for more fine-grained control of test case generation
 - Customised test case generators

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.2/28

QuickCheck: What is it? (2)

- Support for checking test coverage
- Counterexample produced when test case fails
- Counterexamples automatically shrunk in attempt to find minimal counterexample

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.3/28

Basic Example

```
import Test.QuickCheck

prop_RevRev :: [Int] → Bool
prop_RevRev xs =
  reverse (reverse xs) ≡ xs

prop_RevApp :: [Int] → [Int] → Bool
prop_RevApp xs ys =
  reverse (xs ++ ys) ≡ reverse ys ++ reverse xs

quickCheck (prop_RevRev &&. prop_RevApp)
```

Result: +++ OK, passed 100 tests

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.4/28

Class *Testable*

Type of `quickCheck`:

```
quickCheck :: Testable prop => prop -> IO ()
```

Testable and some instances:

```
class Testable prop where
```

```
  property  :: prop -> Property
```

```
  exhaustive :: prop -> Bool
```

```
instance Testable Bool
```

```
instance Testable Property
```

```
instance (Arbitrary a, Show a, Testable prop) =>
  Testable (a -> prop)
```

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.5/28

Generators (1)

Generators can further be constructed directly for any type in the class *Random*:

```
chooseAny :: Random a => Gen a
```

```
choose :: Random a => (a, a) -> Gen a
```

The latter can be used to state properties that only hold over a specific range.

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.7/28

Class *Arbitrary*

```
class Arbitrary a where
```

```
  arbitrary :: Gen a
```

```
  shrink    :: a -> [a]
```

```
  generate  :: Gen a -> IO a
```

Arbitrary instance for all basic types provided.
Easy to define additional ones.

Gen is a *Monad*, *Applicative*, *Functor* (and more).

Example:

```
generate (arbitrary :: Gen [Int])
```

```
Result: [28, -2, -26, 6, 8, 8, 1]
```

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.8/28

Generators (2)

Int and any enumeration type are in the class *Random*. The following are efficient specializations of *choose*:

```
chooseEnum :: Enum a => (a, a) -> Gen a
```

```
chooseInt :: (Int, Int) -> Gen Int
```

Generators can also be constrained by a predicate:

```
suchThat :: Gen a -> (a -> Bool) -> Gen a
```

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.8/28

Stating Properties (1)

Implication is used to state that a property should hold whenever a precondition is satisfied:

$(==>) :: Testable\ prop \Rightarrow Bool \rightarrow prop \rightarrow Property$ **infix**

For example, the following is a property relating a real (represented by *Double*) number to its square:

```
prop_SquareLarger :: Double -> Bool
prop_SquareLarger x = x  $\uparrow$  2 > x
```

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.9/28

Stating Properties (3)

Alternatively, **universal quantification** allows using a generator that only generates valid data:

$forall :: (Show\ a, Testable\ prop) \Rightarrow Gen\ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow prop) \rightarrow Property$

For example:

```
quickCheck
(forAll (chooseAny 'suchThat' (>1))
 prop_SquareLarger)
```

Result: +++ OK, passed 100 tests.

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.11/28

Stating Properties (2)

It is not universally true, of course:

```
quickCheck prop_SquareLarger
```

Result: *** Failed! Falsifiable (after 1 test): 0.0

But a sufficient precondition is that the number is strictly greater than 1. Thus:

```
quickCheck
( $\lambda x \rightarrow (x > 1) ==> prop\_SquareLarger\ x$ )
```

Result: +++ OK, passed 100 tests.

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.10/28

Stating Properties (4)

A generator that generates valid test data is typically more efficient than generating data and discarding what does not fit. For example:

```
prop_Index :: Eq\ a => [a] -> Property
prop_Index xs =
  length xs > 0 ==>
  forall (choose (0, length xs - 1)) $ \i ->
    xs !! i  $\equiv$  head (drop i xs)
```

Note the use of both implication and universal quantification in this particular formulation.

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.12/28

Stating Properties (5)

Properties can be combined using **conjunction** and **disjunction**:

$$\begin{aligned} (. \&\&.) &:: (Testable\ prop1, Testable\ prop2) \\ &\Rightarrow prop1 \rightarrow prop2 \rightarrow Property \\ (. || .) &:: (Testable\ prop1, Testable\ prop2) \\ &\Rightarrow prop1 \rightarrow prop2 \rightarrow Property \end{aligned}$$

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.13/28

Modifiers (2)

Alternative formulation of the index property with a **type** that captures that it holds only for non-empty lists (thus avoiding the precondition):

$$\begin{aligned} prop_Index &:: \\ &Eq\ a \Rightarrow NonEmptyList\ a \rightarrow Property \\ prop_Index\ (NonEmpty\ xs) &= \\ &forAll\ (choose\ (0, length\ xs - 1))\ \$\ \lambda i \rightarrow \\ &xs\ !!\ i \equiv head\ (drop\ i\ xs) \end{aligned}$$

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.15/28

Modifiers (1)

A number of newtypes with *Arbitrary* instances.
E.g. *NonEmptyList a*, *SortedList a*,
NonNegative a

Typical definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{newtype } NonEmptyList\ a &= \\ &NonEmpty\ \{getNonEmpty :: [a]\} \\ \text{newtype } NonNegative\ a &= \\ &NonNegative\ \{getNonNegative :: a\} \end{aligned}$$

Allows to more precise formulations

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.14/28

Runnig Tests

Basic function to run tests:

$$quickCheck :: Testable\ prop \Rightarrow prop \rightarrow IO\ ()$$

Printing of all test cases:

$$verboseCheck :: Testable\ prop \Rightarrow prop \rightarrow IO\ ()$$

Controlling e.g. number and size of test cases:

$$\begin{aligned} quickCheckWith &:: \\ &Testable\ prop \Rightarrow Args \rightarrow prop \rightarrow IO\ () \\ quickCheckWith & \\ &(stdArgs\ \{maxSize = 10, maxSuccess = 1000\}) \\ &prop_XXX \end{aligned}$$

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.16/28

Labelling and Coverage (1)

label attaches a label to a test case:

```
label :: Testable prop => String -> prop -> Property
```

Example:

```
prop_RevRev :: [Int] -> Property
prop_RevRev xs =
  label ("length is " ++ show (length xs)) $
    reverse (reverse xs) == xs
```

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.17/28

A Cautionary Tale (1)

```
prop_Sqrt :: Double -> Bool
prop_Sqrt x
  | x < 0      = isNaN sqrtX
  | x == 0 ∨ x == 1 = sqrtX == x
  | x < 1      = sqrtX > x
  | x > 1      = sqrtX > 0 ∧ sqrtX < x
  where
    sqrtX = sqrt x
main = quickCheck propSqrt
```

Result: +++ OK, passed 100 tests

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.19/28

Labelling and Coverage (2)

Result:

```
+++ OK, passed 100 tests:
7% length is 7
6% length is 3
5% length is 4
4% length is 6
```

There are also *cover* and *checkCover* for checking/enforcing specific coverage requirements.

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.18/28

A Cautionary Tale (2)

```
prop_Sqrt :: Double -> Bool
prop_Sqrt x
  ...
  where
    sqrtX = flawedSqrt x
    flawedSqrt x | x == 1 = 0
                  | otherwise = sqrt x
main = quickCheck propSqrt
```

Result: +++ OK, passed 100 tests
Errr ...

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.20/28

A Cautionary Tale (3)

```
prop_Sqrt :: Double → Bool
prop_Sqrt x
  ...
  where
    sqrtX = flawedSqrt x
    ...
main = quickCheckWith
      (stdArgs {maxSuccess = 1000000})
      propSqrt
```

Result: +++ OK, passed 1000000 tests

Oops. (Very unlikely 1.0 will be picked)

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.21/28

A Cautionary Tale (4)

Simply test specific cases when needed:

```
prop_Sqrt0 :: Bool
prop_Sqrt0 = mySqrt 0 ≡ 0
```

```
prop_Sqrt1 :: Bool
prop_Sqrt1 = mySqrt 1 ≡ 1
```

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.22/28

A Cautionary Tale (5)

```
prop_SqrtX :: Double → Bool
prop_SqrtX x
  | x < 0 = isNaN sqrtX
  | x ≤ 1 = sqrtX ≥ x
  | x > 1 = sqrtX > 0 ∧ sqrtX < x
  where
    sqrtX = mySqrt x
```

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.23/28

A Cautionary Tale (6)

```
prop_Sqrt :: Property
prop_Sqrt = counterexample
            "sqrt 0 failed"
            prop_Sqrt0
            .&&.
            counterexample
            "sqrt 1 failed"
            prop_Sqrt1
            .&&.
            prop_SqrtX
```

(*counterexample* adds a string to a property that gets printed if the property fails.)

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.24/28

Testing Interval Arithmetic (1)

Lifting a unary operator \ominus to an operator $\hat{\ominus}$ working on intervals is defined as follows, assuming \ominus is defined on the entire interval:

$$\hat{\ominus}i = \left[\min_{\forall x \in i} \ominus x, \max_{\forall x \in i} \ominus x \right]$$

And for binary operators:

$$i_1 \hat{\otimes} i_2 = \left[\min_{\forall x \in i_1, y \in i_2} x \otimes y, \max_{\forall x \in i_1, y \in i_2} x \otimes y \right]$$

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.25/28

Testing Interval Arithmetic (3)

Unfortunately, $\hat{\ominus}i = [-\infty, +\infty]$ satisfies

$$\forall x \in i. \ominus x \in \hat{\ominus}i$$

We should ideally test that the result interval is not larger than necessary. But that is hard too.

However, the definition does imply that a 1-point interval must be mapped to a 1-point interval:

$$\hat{\ominus}[x, x] = [\ominus x, \ominus x]$$

While not perfect, does rule out trivial implementations and it is easy to test.

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.27/28

Testing Interval Arithmetic (2)

But how can we test that? In general, very difficult to find the global minimum/maximum of a function over an interval without further information e.g. about its derivatives.

However, for a given interval i , it follows that:

$$\forall x \in i. \ominus x \in \hat{\ominus}i$$

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.26/28

Testing Interval Arithmetic (4)

For binary operators:

- For given intervals i_1 and i_2 :

$$\forall x \in i_1, y \in i_2. x \otimes y \in i_1 \hat{\otimes} i_2$$

- For given x and y :

$$[x, x] \hat{\otimes} [y, y] = [x \otimes y, x \otimes y]$$

Let us turn the above into QuickCheck test cases interactively. (2021: Exercise!)

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.28/28