

LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5

Type Classes

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.1/27

Haskell Overloading (3)

Moreover, some types do not in general admit a decidable equality. E.g. functions (when domain infinite).

Similar remarks apply to many other types. E.g.:

- We may want to be able to add numbers of any kind
- But to add properly, we must understand what we are adding
- Not every type admits addition

LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.4/27

Instances of Eq (1)

Various types can be made instances of a type class like `Eq` by providing implementations of the class methods for the type in question:

```
instance Eq Int where
  x == y = primEqInt x y
```

```
instance Eq Char where
  x == y = primEqChar x y
```

LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.7/27

Haskell Overloading (1)

What is the type of `(==)`?

E.g. the following both work:

```
1 == 2
'a' == 'b'
```

I.e., `(==)` can be used to compare both numbers and characters.

Maybe `(==) :: a -> a -> Bool`?

No!!! Cannot work uniformly for arbitrary types!

LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.2/27

Haskell Overloading (4)

Idea:

- Introduce the notion of a **type class**: a set of types that support certain related operations.
- **Constrain** those operations to **only** work for types belonging to the corresponding class.
- Allow a type to be **made an instance of** (added to) a type class by providing **type-specific implementations** of the operations of the class.

LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.5/27

Instances of Eq (2)

Suppose we have a data type:

```
data Answer = Yes | No | Unknown
```

We can make `Answer` an instance of `Eq` as follows:

```
instance Eq Answer where
  Yes    == Yes    = True
  No     == No     = True
  Unknown == Unknown = True
  _      == _      = False
```

LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.8/27

Haskell Overloading (2)

A function like the identity function

```
id :: a -> a
id x = x
```

is **polymorphic** precisely because it works uniformly for all types: there is no need to “inspect” the argument.

In contrast, to compare two “things” for equality, they very much have to be inspected, and an **appropriate method of comparison** needs to be used.

LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.3/27

The Type Class Eq

```
class Eq a where
  (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

`(==)` is not a function, but a **method** of the **type class** `Eq`. Its type signature is:

```
(==) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool
```

`Eq a` is a **class constraint**. It says that that the equality method works for any type belonging to the type class `Eq`.

LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.6/27

Instances of Eq (3)

Consider:

```
data Tree a = Leaf a
            | Node (Tree a) (Tree a)
```

Can `Tree` be made an instance of `Eq`?

LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.9/27

Instances of Eq (4)

Yes, for any type `a` that is already an instance of `Eq`:

```
instance (Eq a) => Eq (Tree a) where
  Leaf a1      == Leaf a2      = a1 == a2
  Node t1l t1r == Node t2l t2r = t1l == t2l
                                     && t1r == t2r
  _            == _            = False
```

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.1927

Haskell vs. OO Overloading (1)

A method, or overloaded function, may thus be understood as a family of functions where the right one is chosen depending on the types.

A bit like OO languages like Java. But the underlying mechanism is quite different and much more general. Consider `read`:

```
read :: (Read a) => String -> a
```

Note: overloaded on the **result** type! A method that converts from a string to **any** other type in class `Read`!

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.1927

Implementation (2)

An expression like

```
1 == 2
```

is essentially translated into

```
(==) primEqInt 1 2
```

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.1927

Derived Instances

Instance declarations are often obvious and mechanical. Thus, for certain **built-in** classes (notably `Eq`, `Ord`, `Show`), Haskell provides a way to **automatically derive** instances, as long as

- the data type is sufficiently simple
- we are happy with the standard definitions

Thus, we can do:

```
data Tree a = Leaf a
            | Node (Tree a) (Tree a)
            deriving Eq
```

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.1927

Haskell vs. OO Overloading (2)

```
> let xs = [1,2,3] :: [Int]
> let ys = [1,2,3] :: [Double]
> xs
[1,2,3]
> ys
[1.0,2.0,3.0]
> (read "42" : xs)
[42,1,2,3]
> (read "42" : ys)
[42.0,1.0,2.0,3.0]
> read "'a'" :: Char
'a'
```

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.1927

Implementation (3)

So one way of understanding a type like

```
(==) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool
```

is that `Eq a` corresponds to an extra implicit argument.

The implicit argument corresponds to a so called directory, or tuple/record of functions, one for each method of the type class in question.

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.1927

Class Hierarchy

Type classes form a hierarchy. E.g.:

```
class Eq a => Ord a where
  (<=) :: a -> a -> Bool
  ...
```

`Eq` is a superclass of `Ord`; i.e., any type in `Ord` must also be in `Eq`.

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.1927

Implementation (1)

The class constraints represent extra implicit arguments that are filled in by the compiler. These arguments are (roughly) the functions to use.

Thus, internally `(==)` is a **higher order function** with **three** arguments:

```
(==) eqF x y = eqF x y
```

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.1927

Some Standard Haskell Classes (1)

```
class Eq a where
  (==), (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool

class (Eq a) => Ord a where
  compare :: a -> a -> Ordering
  (<), (<=), (>=), (>) :: a -> a -> Bool
  max, min :: a -> a -> a

class Show a where
  show :: a -> String
```

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.1927

Some Standard Haskell Classes (2)

```
class (Eq a, Show a) => Num a where
  (+), (-), (*) :: a -> a -> a
  negate      :: a -> a
  abs, signum :: a -> a
  fromInteger :: Integer -> a
```

Quiz: What is the type of a numeric literal like 42?
42 :: Int? Why?

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.19/27

Approaches

- Source-to-source translation
- Overloading of arithmetic operators and mathematical functions

The following variation is due to Jerzy Karczmarczuk. Infinite list of derivatives allows derivatives of *arbitrary* order to be computed.

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.25/27

Functional Automatic Differentiation (3)

Part of numerical instance:

```
instance Num C where
  (C a x') + (C b y') =
    C (a + b) (x' + y')

  (C a x') - (C b y') =
    C (a - b) (x' - y')

  x@(C a x') * y@(C b y') =
    C (a * b) (x' * y + x * y')

  fromInteger n =
    constC (fromInteger n)
```

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.25/27

Application: Automatic Differentiation

- **Automatic Differentiation**: method for augmenting code so that derivative(s) computed along with main result.
- Purely algebraic method: arbitrary code can be handled
- Exact results
- But no separate, self-contained representation of the derivative.

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.20/27

Functional Automatic Differentiation (1)

Introduce a new numeric type C: value of a continuously differentiable function at a point along with *all* derivatives at that point:

```
data C = C Double C

valC (C a _) = a
derC (C _ x') = x'
```

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.22/27

Functional Automatic Differentiation (4)

Computation of $y = 3t^2 + 7$ at $t = 2$:

```
t = dVarC 2
y = 3 * t * t + 7
```

```
valC y           ⇒ 19.0
valC (derC y)    ⇒ 12.0
valC (derC (derC y)) ⇒ 6.0
valC (derC (derC (derC y))) ⇒ 0.0
```

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.26/27

Automatic Differentiation: Key Idea

Consider a code fragment:

```
z1 = x + y
z2 = x * z1
```

Suppose the derivatives of x and y w.r.t. common variable is available in the variables x' and y' .

Then code can be augmented to compute derivatives of $z1$ and $z2$:

```
z1  = x + y
z1' = x' + y'
z2  = x * z1
z2' = x' * z1 + x * z1'
```

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.21/27

Functional Automatic Differentiation (2)

Constants and the variable of differentiation:

```
zeroC :: C
zeroC = C 0.0 zeroC

constC :: Double -> C
constC a = C a zeroC

dVarC :: Double -> C
dVarC a = C a (constC 1.0)
```

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.24/27

Reading

- Jerzy Karczmarczuk. Functional differentiation of computer programs. *Higher-Order and Symbolic Computation*, 14(1):35–57, March 2001.

LUFP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.27/27