#### LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 Type Classes

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.1/27

What is the type of (==)?

E.g. the following both work:

I.e., (==) can be used to compare both numbers and characters.

What is the type of (==)? E.g. the following both work:

$$1 = 2$$

I.e., (==) can be used to compare both numbers and characters.

Maybe (==) :: a -> a -> Bool?

What is the type of (==)? E.g. the following both work:

'a' == 'b'

I.e., (==) can be used to compare both numbers and characters.

Maybe (==) :: a -> a -> Bool?

No!!! Cannot work uniformly for arbitrary types!

A function like the identity function

id :: a -> a id x = x

is *polymorphic* precisely because it works uniformly for all types: there is no need to "inspect" the argument.

A function like the identity function

id :: a  $\rightarrow$  a id x = x

is *polymorphic* precisely because it works uniformly for all types: there is no need to "inspect" the argument.

In contrast, to compare two "things" for equality, they very much have to be inspected, and an *appropriate method of comparison* needs to be used.

Moreover, some types do not in general admit a decidable equality. E.g. functions (when domain infinite).

Moreover, some types do not in general admit a decidable equality. E.g. functions (when domain infinite).

Similar remarks apply to many other types. E.g.:

Moreover, some types do not in general admit a decidable equality. E.g. functions (when domain infinite).

Similar remarks apply to many other types. E.g.:

 We may want to be able to add numbers of any kind

Moreover, some types do not in general admit a decidable equality. E.g. functions (when domain infinite).

Similar remarks apply to many other types. E.g.:

- We may want to be able to add numbers of any kind
- But to add properly, we must understand what we are adding

Moreover, some types do not in general admit a decidable equality. E.g. functions (when domain infinite).

Similar remarks apply to many other types. E.g.:

- We may want to be able to add numbers of any kind
- But to add properly, we must understand what we are adding
- Not every type admits addition

#### Idea:

LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.5/27

#### Idea:

 Introduce the notion of a type class: a set of types that support certain related operations.

#### Idea:

- Introduce the notion of a type class: a set of types that support certain related operations.
- Constrain those operations to only work for types belonging to the corresponding class.

#### Idea:

- Introduce the notion of a type class: a set of types that support certain related operations.
- Constrain those operations to only work for types belonging to the corresponding class.
- Allow a type to be made an instance of (added to) a type class by providing type-specific implementations of the operations of the class.

## The Type Class Eq

class Eq a where
 (==) :: a -> a -> Bool

(==) is not a function, but a *method* of the *type* class Eq. It's type signature is:

(==) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool

Eq a is a class constraint. It says that that the equality method works for any type belonging to the type class Eq.

LiU-FP2010 Part II: Lecture 5 – p.6/27

## Instances of Eq (1)

Various types can be made instances of a type class like Eq by providing implementations of the class methods for the type in question:

 Instances of Eq (2)

Suppose we have a data type:

data Answer = Yes | No | Unknown

We can make Answer an instance of Eq as follows:

instance Eq Answer where
 Yes == Yes = True
 No == No = True
 Unknown == Unknown = True
 == = False

## Instances of Eq (3)

Consider:

#### data Tree a = Leaf a | Node (Tree a) (Tree a)

Can Tree be made an instance of Eq?

# **Instances of Eq (4)**

Yes, for any type a that is already an instance of Eq: instance (Eq a) => Eq (Tree a) where Leaf a1 == Leaf a2 = a1 == a2 Node t1l t1r == Node t2l t2r = t1l == t21 && t1r == t2r == = False

### **Derived Instances**

Instance declarations are often obvious and mechanical. Thus, for certain *built-in* classes (notably Eq, Ord, Show), Haskell provides a way to *automatically derive* instances, as long as

- the data type is sufficiently simple
- we are happy with the standard definitions Thus, we can do:

```
data Tree a = Leaf a
| Node (Tree a) (Tree a)
deriving Eq
```

**Class Hierarchy** 

Type classes form a hierarchy. E.g.:

class Eq a => Ord a where
 (<=) :: a -> a -> Bool
 ...

Eq is a superclass of Ord; i.e., any type in Ord must also be in Eq.

# Haskell vs. OO Overloading (1)

A method, or overloaded function, may thus be understood as a family of functions where the right one is chosen depending on the types.

A bit like OO languages like Java. But the underlying mechanism is quite different and much more general. Consider read:

read :: (Read a) => String -> a

Note: overloaded on the *result* type! A method that converts from a string to *any* other type in class Read!

## Haskell vs. OO Overloading (2)

- > let xs = [1,2,3] :: [Int]
- > let ys = [1,2,3] :: [Double]
- > XS
- [1, 2, 3]
- > ys
- [1.0, 2.0, 3.0]
- > (read "42" : xs)
- [42, 1, 2, 3]
- > (read "42" : ys)
- [42.0, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0]
- > read "'a'" :: Char

'a'

# **Implementation (1)**

The class constraints represent extra implicit arguments that are filled in by the compiler. These arguments are (roughly) the functions to use.

Thus, internally (==) is a *higher order function* with *three* arguments:

(==) eqF x y = eqF x y

## **Implementation (2)**

An expression like

1 == 2

is essentially translated into
 (==) primEqInt 1 2

## **Implementation (3)**

So one way of understanding a type like

(==) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool

is that Eq a corresponds to an extra implicit argument.

The implicit argument corresponds to a so called directory, or tuple/record of functions, one for each method of the type class in question.

## Some Standard Haskell Classes (1)

class Show a where
 show :: a -> String

## Some Standard Haskell Classes (2)

class (Eq a, Show a) => Num a where (+), (-), (\*) :: a -> a -> a negate :: a -> a abs, signum :: a -> a fromInteger :: Integer -> a

Quiz: What is the type of a numeric literal like 42? 42 :: Int? Why?

## **Application: Automatic Differentiation**

- Automatic Differentiation: method for augmenting code so that derivative(s) computed along with main result.
- Purely algebraic method: arbitrary code can be handled
- Exact results
- But no separate, self-contained representation of the derivative.

### **Automatic Differentiation: Key Idea**

Consider a code fragment:

z1 = x + y

z2 = x \* z1

Suppose the derivatives of x and y w.r.t. common variable is available in the variables x' and y'.

Then code can be augmented to compute derivatives of z1 and z2:

$$z1 = x + y$$
  
 $z1' = x' + y'$   
 $z2 = x * z1$   
 $z2' = x' * z1 + x * z1'$ 

# Approaches

- Source-to-source translation
- Overloading of arithmetic operators and mathematical functions

The following variation is due to Jerzy Karczmarczuk. Infinite list of derivatives allows derivatives of *arbitrary* order to be computed.

Introduce a new numeric type C: value of a continuously differentiable function at a point along with all derivatives at that point:

data C = C Double C

valC (C a \_) = a derC (C \_ x') = x'

Constants and the variable of differentiation:

zeroC :: C
zeroC = C 0.0 zeroC

constC :: Double -> C constC a = C a zeroC

dVarC :: Double -> C dVarC a = C a (constC 1.0)

Part of numerical instance:

instance Num C where (C a x') + (C b y') = C (a + b) (x' + y')

(C a x') - (C b y') = C (a - b) (x' - y')

x@(C a x') \* y@(C b y') = C (a \* b) (x' \* y + x \* y')

Computation of  $y = 3t^2 + 7$  at t = 2:

t = dVarC 2y = 3 \* t \* t + 7

valC y $\Rightarrow$  19.0valC (derC y) $\Rightarrow$  12.0valC (derC (derC y)) $\Rightarrow$  6.0valC (derC (derC (derC y))) $\Rightarrow$  0.0

# Reading

 Jerzy Karczmarczuk. Functional differentiation of computer programs. *Higher-Order and Symbolic Computation*, 14(1):35–57, March 2001.