

Slide 1

## Normalisation by completeness

Thorsten Altenkirch  
School of Computer Science and IT  
University of Nottingham

December 21, 2004

Slide 2

### The basic picture

---

- Soundness means:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \models^{\mathcal{M}} A}$$

- Completeness via a universal model  $\mathcal{U}$  means:

$$\frac{\Gamma \models^{\mathcal{U}} A}{\Gamma \vdash A}$$

- This can be refined to:

$$\frac{\Gamma \models^{\mathcal{U}} A}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A}$$

- Putting this together with soundness we obtain:

Slide 3

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A}$$

Slide 4

**What do we mean by normalisation (here) ?**

---

- We always have (by definition)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A}{\Gamma \vdash A}$$

- We define  $\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A$  s.t. we can show by induction:  
**Consistency**

$$\not\vdash^{\text{nf}} \perp$$

**Disjunction property**

$$\frac{\vdash^{\text{nf}} A \vee B}{(\vdash^{\text{nf}} A) \vee (\vdash^{\text{nf}} B)}$$

**Subformula principle** A derivation of  $\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A$  contains only subformulas of  $\Gamma, A$ .

Slide 5

- We do not talk about equality of proofs (or  $\lambda$ -terms) ,i.e.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t = u : A}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{nf}(t) \equiv \mathbf{nf}(u)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash t = \mathbf{nf}(t) : A}$$

Slide 6

### Overview

---

1. How to obtain normalisation from completeness of *Kripke models* for minimal (intuitionistic) propositional logic.
2. How to obtain normalisation from completeness of (a version of) *fallible Beth models* for full propositional logic.
3. Discussion: How does this relate to  $\lambda$ -calculus. Further work.

Note that most of this material has been formalized in LEGO and ALF.

Slide 7

### Minimal Logic

---

The basic ingredients are :

**Atomic propositions**

$P, Q, R, \dots$

**Propositions**  $A, B, C$

$A \rightarrow B$

**Contexts**  $\Gamma, \Delta$

empty

**Sequents**

$\Gamma.A$

$\Gamma \vdash A$

$\Gamma \vdash \Delta$

Slide 8

### Inductive definition of derivable sequents in normal form

---

$$\frac{}{\Gamma.A \vdash^{\text{ne}} A} \text{ax} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} A}{\Gamma.B \vdash^{\text{ne}} A} \text{wk} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} P}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} P} \text{ne - nf}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma.A \vdash^{\text{nf}} B}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow_i \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} B} \rightarrow_e$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash^\alpha \text{empty}} \text{empty} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash^\alpha \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash^\alpha A}{\Gamma \vdash^\alpha \Delta.A} \text{ext} \quad \alpha \in \{\text{ne}, \text{nf}\}$$

### Admissible sequents in normal form

---

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash^\alpha \Delta}{\Gamma.A \vdash^\alpha \Delta} \text{Wk} \quad \alpha \in \{\text{ne}, \text{nf}\}$$

by induction over the structure of  $\Delta$ .

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} \Gamma} \text{Id}$$

by induction over the structure of  $\Gamma$  using **Wk**.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash^\alpha A \quad \Delta \vdash^{\text{ne}} \Gamma}{\Delta \vdash^\alpha A} \text{cut} \quad \alpha \in \{\text{ne}, \text{nf}\}$$

by induction over the structure of  $A$  using **Wk**.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash^\alpha \Theta \quad \Delta \vdash^{\text{ne}} \Gamma}{\Delta \vdash^\alpha \Theta} \text{Cut} \quad \alpha \in \{\text{ne}, \text{nf}\}$$

Slide 9

Slide 10

by induction over the structure of  $\Theta$  using **cut**.

Slide 11

### Kripke models

A Kripke model  $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{W}, \leq, \Vdash)$  is given by

- A preordered set  $(\mathcal{W}, \leq)$ .
- A relation  $\Vdash \subseteq \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{P}$  (forcing) s.t.

$$\frac{w \Vdash P \quad w' \leq w}{w' \Vdash P} \text{mon}$$

Slide 12

### Forcing

We extend  $\Vdash$  to formulas and contexts:

$$w \Vdash A \rightarrow B \iff \forall w' \leq w w' \Vdash A \rightarrow w' \Vdash B$$

$$w \Vdash \text{empty}$$

$$w \Vdash \Gamma.A \iff w \Vdash \Gamma \wedge w \Vdash A$$

**Lemma:** Monotonicity

$$\frac{w \Vdash A \quad w' \leq w}{w' \Vdash A} \quad \frac{w \Vdash \Gamma \quad w' \leq w}{w' \Vdash \Gamma}$$

### Soundness of Kripke models

---

**Definition:** Validity

We say that the sequent  $\Gamma \vdash A$  is valid

$$\Gamma \models A$$

iff

$$\forall_w w \Vdash \Gamma \rightarrow w \Vdash A$$

**Theorem:** Soundness

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \models A}$$

**Proof** by induction over the structure of  $\Gamma \vdash A$ .

Slide 13

### The universal model $\mathcal{U}$ with normal forms

---

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \text{Contexts} \\ \Gamma \leq \Delta &\iff \Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} \Delta \\ \Gamma \Vdash P &\iff \Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} P \end{aligned}$$

That  $\vdash^{\text{ne}}$  is a preorder follows from **Ref** and **Cut**.

Monotonicity follows from **cut**.

Slide 14

## Quote and unquote

---

**Lemma:** quote

$$\Gamma \Vdash A \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A$$

**Lemma:** unquote

$$\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} A \rightarrow \Gamma \Vdash A$$

Slide 15

By mutual induction over the structure of  $A$ .

Note that the base case  $P$  follows from the definition.

**Lemma:** Unquote

$$\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma \Vdash \Delta$$

By induction over the structure of  $\Delta$  using **unquote**.

## Proving quote and unquote

---

**quote** We have to show  $\Gamma \Vdash A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A \rightarrow B$ .

Assume  $\Gamma \Vdash A \rightarrow B$  that is

$$\forall_{\Delta \vdash^{\text{ne}} \Gamma} \Delta \Vdash A \rightarrow \Delta \Vdash B \quad (*)$$

Slide 16

Set  $\Delta = \Gamma.A$ . Clearly  $\Delta \vdash^{\text{ne}} \Gamma$  (**Id** and **Weak**).

Using ax we know that  $\Gamma.A \vdash^{\text{ne}} A$  and by ind.hyp.(**unquote**) we have  $\Gamma.A \Vdash A$ .

Using (\*) we get  $\Gamma.A \Vdash B$  and by ind.hyp. (**quote**) we get  $\Gamma.A \vdash^{\text{nf}} B$  and using  $\rightarrow_i$ :

$$\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A \rightarrow B$$



Slide 17

**unquote** We have to show  $\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \Gamma \Vdash A \rightarrow B$ .

Assume  $\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} A \rightarrow B$  (1)

To show  $\Gamma \Vdash A \rightarrow B$ , assume  $\Delta \Vdash A$  (2). We have to show  $\Delta \Vdash B$ .

Using (2) and ind.hyp. (**quote**) we get  $\Delta \vdash^{\text{nf}} A$ .

Using **cut** on (1) we get  $\Delta \vdash^{\text{ne}} A \rightarrow B$  and using  $\rightarrow_e$  with the previous result we get  $\Delta \vdash^{\text{ne}} B$ .

Apply ind.hyp.(**unquote**) to obtain  $\Delta \Vdash B$ .

### Completeness with normal forms

---

**Theorem** Completeness

$$\frac{\Gamma \models A}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A}$$

Slide 18

**Proof:**

$\Gamma \models A$  means that for all  $\Delta$ :  $\Delta \Vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \Vdash A$  (1).

Set  $\Delta = \Gamma$ .

From Id we know  $\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} \Gamma$  and with Unquote we get  $\Gamma \Vdash \Gamma$ .

Using (1) we obtain  $\Gamma \Vdash A$ .

By **quote** we get  $\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A$ .  $\square$

Slide 19

### Normal derivations

---

We define:

**Neutral derivations**

$$\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} A$$

**Normal derivations**

$$\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A$$

Slide 20

### Normalisation

---

**Theorem:**

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A}$$

From soundness and completeness with normal forms.

**Derivable sequents (disjunction) in normal form**

---

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A_i \quad i \in \{1, 2\}}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A_1 \vee A_2} \vee_i$$

Slide 21

$$\frac{\Gamma.A_1 \vdash^{\text{nf}} C \quad \Gamma.A_2 \vdash^{\text{nf}} C \quad \Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} A_1 \vee A_2}{\Gamma \vdash^\alpha C} \vee_e$$

$\alpha = \text{nf}$  if  $C = C_1 \vee C_2$   
 $\alpha = \text{ne}$  otherwise

**Disjunction**

---

**Forcing**

Slide 22

$$w \Vdash A \vee B \iff (w \Vdash A) \vee (w \Vdash B)$$

**Soundness** holds as before.

Slide 23

### Completeness ?

From **quote** and **unquote** we could derive:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \vdash A \vee B &\rightarrow \Gamma \Vdash A \vee B \\ &\rightarrow (\Gamma \Vdash A) \vee (\Gamma \Vdash B) \\ &\rightarrow (\Gamma \vdash A) \vee (\Gamma \vdash B) \end{aligned}$$

However, this cannot be true, consider  $\Gamma = P \vee Q$  and  $A = P, B = Q$ .

In fact a completeness proof would have to exploit decidability  $\Gamma \vdash A \vee \Gamma \not\vdash A$ .

For predicate logic it is known that no intuitionistic completeness proof for Kripke models exists.

Slide 24

### Fallible Beth models (or proof-irrelevant sheaf models)

**Definition:** Beth model

A Beth model  $(W, \leq, \Vdash, \triangleleft)$  is given by:

- A Kripke model  $(W, \leq, \Vdash)$ .
- A relation of *covering*:  $\triangleleft \subseteq W \times \mathcal{P}(W)$  s.t.

1.  $w \triangleleft \{w' \mid w' \leq w\} = w^+$

2. 
$$\frac{w \triangleleft \mathcal{P} \quad w' \leq w}{w' \triangleleft \{w'' \in \mathcal{P} \mid w'' \leq w'\}}$$

3. 
$$\frac{w \triangleleft \mathcal{P} \quad \mathcal{P} \triangleleft Q = \forall_{w' \in \mathcal{P}} w' \triangleleft Q}{w \triangleleft Q}$$

and

$$\frac{w \triangleleft \mathcal{P} \quad \forall_{w' \in \mathcal{P}} w' \Vdash P}{w \Vdash P} \text{paste}$$

### Soundness of Beth models

---

$$w \Vdash A \vee B \iff \exists P w \triangleleft P \wedge \forall w' \in P w' \Vdash A \vee w' \Vdash B$$

**Lemma:**

$$\frac{w \in \mathcal{P} \quad \forall w' \in \mathcal{P} w' \Vdash A}{w \triangleleft A} \text{Paste}$$

**Theorem:** Soundness

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \models A}$$

For  $\forall_i$  take  $\mathcal{P} = \Gamma^+$ .

For  $\forall_e$  use Paste.

Slide 25

### The universal Beth model with normal forms

---

$W, \leq, \Vdash$  are defined as for the universal Kripke model.

$\triangleleft$  is defined inductively:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \triangleleft \Gamma^+ = \{\Delta \mid \Delta \vdash^{\text{ne}} \Gamma\}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} A \vee B \quad \Gamma.A \triangleleft \mathcal{P} \quad \Gamma.B \triangleleft \mathcal{Q}}{\Gamma \triangleleft \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}}$$

**Lemma:**

$$\frac{\Gamma \triangleleft \mathcal{P} \quad \forall \Delta \in \mathcal{P} \Delta \vdash^{\text{nf}} A}{\Gamma \vdash^\alpha A} \text{Paste}_U$$

$\alpha = \text{nf}$  if  $A = A_1 \vee A_2$   
 $\alpha = \text{ne}$  otherwise

Slide 26

### Extending **quote** and **unquote**

**quote** We have to show  $\Gamma \Vdash A \vee B \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A \vee B$

Assume  $\Gamma \Vdash A \vee B$  i.e. there is a  $\Gamma \triangleleft \mathcal{P}$  s.t.

$$\forall \Delta \in \mathcal{P} (\Delta \Vdash A) \vee (\Delta \Vdash B)$$

Using the induction hypothesis (**quote**) for  $A$  and  $B$  we get

$$\forall \Delta \in \mathcal{P} (\Delta \vdash^{\text{nf}} A) \vee (\Delta \vdash^{\text{nf}} B)$$

Using  $\forall_i$  we get

$$\forall \Delta \in \mathcal{P} (\Delta \vdash^{\text{nf}} A \vee B)$$

And by paste we get:

$$\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A \vee B$$

**unquote** We have to show  $\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} A \vee B \rightarrow \Gamma \Vdash A \vee B$ .

Assume  $\Gamma \vdash^{\text{ne}} A \vee B$ . it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{P} = \Gamma.A^+ \cup \Gamma.B^+$  is

Slide 27

a covering  $\Gamma \triangleleft \mathcal{P}$ .

And we have that

$$\forall \Delta \in \mathcal{P} (\Delta \vdash^{\text{ne}} A) \vee (\Delta \vdash^{\text{ne}} B)$$

Using the induction hypothesis (**unquote**) we get that

$$\forall \Delta \in \mathcal{P} (\Delta \Vdash A) \vee (\Delta \Vdash B)$$

And hence (by definition)

$$\Gamma \Vdash A \vee B$$

Slide 28

Slide 29

### Completeness for Beth models with normal forms

**Theorem** Completeness

$$\frac{\Gamma \models A}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A}$$

The proof is the same as for Kripke models.

Slide 30

### Normalisation for Beth models

**Theorem:**

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash^{\text{nf}} A}$$

From soundness and completeness with normal forms.

### Extension to $\lambda$ -calculi

---

proof-irrelevant	proof-relevant
preorder	category
Kripke model	presheaves
Beth model	sheaves

Slide 31

The proof-relevant version of normalisation for Kripke models has been explored in

- Thorsten Altenkirch, Martin Hofmann, and Thomas Streicher. Categorical reconstruction of a reduction free normalization proof. CTCS 95, February 1995.
- Peter Dybjer, Djordje Cubric, and Philip Scott. Normalization and the Yoneda embedding. Mathematical Structures in Computer Science, 1997.