

Non-normalizing terms

Some λ -terms don't have a normal form

$$\omega := (\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)$$

$$\omega \rightsquigarrow (x x)[x := \lambda x. xx]$$

$$= (\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)$$

$$= \omega$$

it reduces to itself forever

Terms may even grow bigger

and bigger:

$$\omega_3 := (\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)$$

Fixed Points

The λ -calculus can encode all recursively computable functions.

The trick to do it is the fixed point combinator

$$Y := \lambda f. (\lambda x. f(xx))(\lambda x. f(xx))$$

(it is inspired by the never-ending term ω)

$$Yf \rightsquigarrow^* f(Yf)$$

↑
not exactly (try it)
but essentially

The combinator Y is used to implement general recursion:

iterate a function f until we get a result

(With Church numerals we can iterate a function a fixed number of times)

Example: Factorial Function

Recursive definition:

$\text{fact } n = \text{if } (\text{iszero } n)$

$\text{then } 1$

$\text{else } n \cdot (\text{fact } (n-1))$

We define a one-step operator
Use a variable f for recursive call
 $\text{hfact} := \lambda f. \lambda n.$

$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } (\text{iszero } n) \\ & \quad \overline{1} \\ & \text{(mult } n \text{ (f (pred } n \text{))}) \end{aligned}$

The full factorial is the fixed point of the one-step operator

$\text{fact} := \text{Y hfact}$

Try to apply it to a numeric value to see what happens.
(fact can be defined without Y, using Church numerals. Try.)

Functions that are not

structurally recursive,

may not terminate

It has a recursive call to
a larger argument : $3n+1$
it can't be programmed using
simple recursion

Example:

$$hail\ n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n=0 \text{ or } n=1 \\ \text{hail}(n/2)+1 & \text{if } n>1 \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{hail}(3n+1)+1 \text{ if } n>1 \text{ odd} \quad \text{hail} := \lambda f. \lambda n.$$

Nobody knows if this function
terminates for every n

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\ f(n/2)+1 & \text{if } n>1 \text{ even} \\ f(3n+1)+1 & \text{if } n>1 \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

(Collatz Conjecture)

(Try to write it formally in λ -calculus

"Completely out of reach

of present day mathematics"

$$\text{hail} := Y \text{hail}$$

But we can do it with

a higher-order step function
and the Y combinator

Infinite Data Structures

$$\alpha \Delta \sigma := \langle \alpha, \sigma \rangle \\ = \lambda x. x \alpha \sigma$$

Streams = Infinite Sequences

$$\alpha_0 \Delta \alpha_1 \Delta \alpha_2 \Delta \dots$$

We suggested the representation

$$\lambda f. f \alpha_0 (f \alpha_1 (f \alpha_2 \dots))$$

But it's a bit difficult to define
the tail function:

$$\text{tail}(\alpha_0 \Delta \alpha_1 \Delta \dots) = \alpha_1 \Delta \alpha_2 \Delta \dots$$

A more convenient representation:

$$\langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \rangle \gg \gg$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{head } \sigma &:= \text{first } \sigma \\ &= \sigma \text{ true} \\ \text{tail } \sigma &:= \text{second } \sigma \\ &= \sigma \text{ false}\end{aligned}$$

Try to define conversion
combinators between the
two representations

Example:

A term that encodes the
stream of natural numbers

$$0 \Delta 1 \Delta 2 \Delta 3 \Delta \dots$$

First define the stream starting from a given number natural numbers:

from $n = n \Delta (n+1) \Delta (n+2) \Delta \dots$

Define a term from such that

$\text{from } n \rightsquigarrow^* \langle n, \text{from } (n+1) \rangle$

We can do it as a fixed point

$\text{hfrom} := \lambda f. \lambda n.$

$\langle n, f(\text{succ } n) \rangle$

$\text{from} := Y \text{hfrom}$

Finally, the stream of natural numbers:

$\text{nats} := \text{from } \overline{0}$