# Mathematics for Computer Scientists 2 (G52MC2) L07 : Operations on sets

**Thorsten Altenkirch** 

School of Computer Science University of Nottingham

October 29, 2009

## Enumerations

- We construct finite sets by enumerating a list of names.
- In Coq we use Inductive, e.g.

```
Inductive square : Set :=
| nought : square
| cross : square
| empty : square.
```

- We use match to define functions on enumerations and case to reason about them.
- Im Maths we write square = {nought, cross, empty}
- Note that square, nought, empty are constants, not variables!
- They cannot be bound by quantifiers and different constants are never equal, e.g. nought ≠ empty.
- An important example is bool = {true, false}.

Given sets A, B we can construct new sets:

Cartesian product

 $A \times B$  is the set of pairs (a, b) with a : A and b : B.

**Disjoint union** 

A + B is the set of elements of the form inl *a* with a : A and inr *b* with b : B.

#### Functions

 $A \rightarrow B$  is the set of functions from A to B. We can apply a function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  to an element a : Aobtaining f a : B.

#### **Cartesian Product**

• 
$$\frac{a:A \quad b:B}{(a,b):A \times B}$$

- Example: Cartesian coordinates:  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .
- If *A*, *B* are finite sets where *A* has *m* elements and *B* has *n* elements, then *A* × *B* has *mn* elements.
- Use match in programs and case (or destruct) in proofs.
- Projections:

fst :  $A \times B \to A$ snd :  $A \times B \to B$ 

- Functions like fst and snd work for all sets. They are *polymorphic*.
- In Coq we can instantiate the explicitly:

fst bool nat : bool  $\times$  nat  $\rightarrow$  bool

• To avoid clutter, we use

Set Implicit Arguments.

• Coq tries to infer instantiations, e.g. we can write:

fst(true, 7): bool

• Also called coproducts or sums.

•  $\frac{a:A}{\operatorname{inl} a:A+B}$   $\frac{b:B}{\operatorname{inr} b:A+B}$ 

- If A, B are finite sets where A has m elements and B has n elements, then A + B has m + n elements.
- inl, inr are called *injections*.
- Coq cannot infer one of the arguments, it has to be given explicitely:

inl nat true : sum bool nat

### **Functions**

- A → B is the set of functions with domain A and range (or codomain) B.
- If *A*, *B* are finite sets where *A* has *m* elements and *B* has *n* elements, then  $A \rightarrow B$  has  $n^m$  elements.

• Application:

$$\frac{f: A \to B \qquad a: A}{f a: B}$$

λ-abstraction:

 $\frac{t: B \text{ given } x: A}{\text{fun}(x: A) \Rightarrow t: A \to B}$ 

 To show that two functions *f*, *g* : *A* → *B* are equal we need the *principle of extensionality*:

$$(\forall a : A, f a = g a) \rightarrow f = g$$

- The principle of extensionality is not provable in Coq, hence we assume it as an axiom (ext).
- Unlike the principle of the excluded middle, ext is accepted in intuitionistic logic.
- It reflects the idea of a function as a *black box*.

• The order of a function is determined by its type:

order  $\mathbb{N} = 0$ order  $(A \to B) = \max((\text{order } A) + 1)(\text{order } B)$ • E.g.  $f: (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \to \mathbb{N}$  is a 2nd order function. • To sets *A* and *B* are *isomorphic* (*A*  $\simeq$  *B*) if there are functions:

$$\begin{array}{rrr} f & : & A \to B \\ g & : & B \to A \end{array}$$

such that

$$\forall a : A, g(f a) = a$$
  
 $\forall b : B, f(g b) = b$ 

- *f*, *g* is called an *isomorphism*.
- Two finite sets are isomorphic, iff (if and only if) they have the same number of elements.
- Examples of general isomorphisms, for all sets A, B, C:

$$egin{array}{rcl} A imes (B imes C)&\simeq&(A imes B) imes C\ A imes (B+C)&\simeq&A imes B+A imes C\ A imes B o C&\simeq&A o (B o C) \end{array}$$

# The Curry-Howard correspondence

- Curry and Howard observed that operations in sets correspond to operations on sets.
- A proposition is true if the corresponding set is inhabited.
- This is an alternative to the classical correspondence of bool and Prop.

Set	Prop	bool
Х	$\wedge$	&&
+	$\vee$	
Ø	False	false
$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	implb

- In classical set theory people frequently use the following operations on sets:
  - $\cup\,$  union of sets
  - ∩ intersection of sets
  - $\subseteq$  The subset relation between sets
- These are not operations on sets in the sense of Coq.
- Every element belongs precisely to one set in Coq, hence the ⊆ relation doesn't make sense.
- However, for any set A we can define P A = A → Prop and:

$$\subseteq: \mathcal{P} A \to \mathcal{P} A \to \mathsf{Prop}$$
$$P \subseteq Q = \forall a : A, P a \to Q a$$
$$\cup, \cap: \mathcal{P} A \to \mathcal{P} A \to \mathcal{P} A$$
$$(P \cup Q) a = P a \lor Q a$$
$$(P \cap Q) a = P a \land Q a$$