Monads and More: Part 2

Tarmo Uustalu, Tallinn

Nottingham, 14-18 May 2007

Monads from adjuctions (Huber)

 For any pair of adjoint functors L : C → D, R : D → C, L ⊢ R with unit η : Id_C → RL and counit ε : LR → Id_D, the functor RL carries a monad structure defined by

•
$$\eta^{RL} =_{df} \mathsf{Id} \xrightarrow{\eta} RL$$
,
• $\mu^{RL} =_{df} RLRL \xrightarrow{R \in L} RL$.

• The Kleisli and Eilenberg-Moore adjunctions witness that any monad on ${\cal C}$ admits a factorization like this.

Side-effect monad:

•
$$L, R : C \to C, LA =_{df} A \times S, RB =_{df} S \Rightarrow B,$$

$$\frac{A \times S \to B}{A \to S \Rightarrow B}$$

- $RLA = S \Rightarrow A \times S$,
- An exotic one:
 - $L, R : C \to C$, $LA =_{df} \mu X.A + X \times S \cong A \times ListS$, $RB =_{df} \nu Y.B \times (S \Rightarrow Y)$,

$$\frac{\mu X.A + X \times S \to B}{A \to \nu Y.B \times (S \Rightarrow Y)}$$

- $RLA = \nu Y.(\mu X.A + X \times S) \times (S \Rightarrow Y) \cong \nu Y.A \times \text{List}S \times (S \Rightarrow Y).$
- What notion of computation does this correspond to?

• Continuations monad:

•
$$L: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, LA =_{\mathrm{df}} A \Rightarrow E,$$

 $R: \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{C}, RB =_{\mathrm{df}} B \Rightarrow E,$

$$\frac{\overline{A \Rightarrow E \leftarrow B}}{\overline{E \leftarrow B \times A}} \\
\frac{\overline{A \times B \rightarrow E}}{\overline{A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow E}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = のへで

•
$$RLA = (A \Rightarrow E) \Rightarrow E$$
.

Monads from adjunctions ctd.

- Given two functors $L : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ and $R : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$, $L \dashv R$ and a monad T on \mathcal{D} , we obtain that RTL is a monad on \mathcal{C} .
- This is because *T* factorizes as *UJ* where *J* ⊢ *U* is the Kleisli adjunction.

That means an adjoint situation $JL \vdash RU$ implying that RUJL = RTL is a monad.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• The monad structure is

•
$$\eta^{RTL} =_{df} Id \xrightarrow{\eta} RL \xrightarrow{R\eta^T L} RTL,$$

• $\mu^{RTL} =_{df} RTLRTL \xrightarrow{RT \in TL} RTTL \xrightarrow{\mu^T} RTL.$

- State monad transformer:
 - $L, R : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}, LA =_{\mathrm{df}} A \times S, RB =_{\mathrm{df}} S \Rightarrow B,$
 - $T a \mod c$,
 - $RTLA = S \Rightarrow T(A \times S)$,
 - In particular, for T the exceptions monad we get $RTLA = S \Rightarrow (A \times S) + E$.
- Continuations monad transformer:

•
$$L: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, LA =_{\mathrm{df}} A \Rightarrow E,$$

 $R: \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{C}, RB =_{\mathrm{df}} B \Rightarrow E,$

• T – a monad on C^{op} , i.e., a comonad on C,

• $RTLA =_{df} T(A \Rightarrow E) \rightarrow E.$

Free algebras

- Given a endofunctor H on a category C, the initial algebra of (H*A, [η_A, τ_A]) of A + H- (if it exists) is the type of wellfounded H-trees with mutable leaves from A, i.e., H-terms over variables from A.
- $((H^*A, \tau_A), \eta_A))$ is the free *H*-algebra on *A*.
- (H*, η, μ) is a monad where μ flattens a tree whose mutable leaves are trees into a tree, i.e., a term over terms into a term.
- $((H^*, \eta, \mu), \tau)$ is the free monad on H.
- The final coalgebras H[∞]A of A + H− for each A also a give a monad.

Monads from parameterized monads via initial algebras / final coalgebras (U.)

- A parameterized monad on C is a functor $F : C \to Monad(C)$.
- If *F* is a parameterized monad then the functors $T, T^{\infty} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ defined by $TA =_{df} \mu X.FXA$ and $T^{\infty}A =_{df} \nu X.FXA$ carry a monad structure.
- In fact more can be said about them, but here we won't.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Free monads:
 - $FXA =_{df} A + HX$ where $H : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$,
 - $TA =_{df} \mu X.A + HX$, $T^{\infty}A =_{df} \nu X.A + HX$.
 - These are the types of wellfounded/nonwellfounded *H*-trees with mutable leaves from *A*.
- Rose tree types:
 - $FXA =_{df} A \times HX$ where $H : C \to Monoid(C)$,
 - $TA =_{df} \mu X.A \times HX$, $T^{\infty}A =_{df} \nu X.A + HX$.
 - If HX =_{df} ListX, these are the types of wellfounded/nonwellfounded A-labelled rose trees.

- Types of hyperfunctions with a fixed domain:
 - $FXA =_{\mathrm{df}} HX \Rightarrow A$ where $H : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$,
 - $TA =_{df} \mu X.HX \Rightarrow A, T^{\infty}A =_{df} \nu X.HX \Rightarrow A.$
 - If HX =_{df} X ⇒ E, these are the types of wellfounded/nonwellfounded hyperfunctions from E to A. (Of course these types do no exist in Set.)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Distributive laws

- If T, S are monads on C, it is not generally the case that ST is a monad. But sometimes it is.
- A distributive law of a monad T over a monad S is a natural transformation $\lambda : TS \rightarrow ST$ satisfying



 A distributive law λ of T over S gives a monad structure on the endofunctor ST:

•
$$\eta^{ST} =_{df} \operatorname{Id} \xrightarrow{\eta^{S} \eta^{T}} ST$$
,
• $\mu^{ST} =_{df} STST \xrightarrow{S\lambda T} SSTT \xrightarrow{\mu^{S} \mu^{T}} ST$.

- The exceptions monad distributes over any monad.
 - S a monad,
 - $TA =_{df} A + E$ where E is an object,
 - $\lambda =_{\mathrm{df}} SA + E \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} + \eta^S} SA + SE \xrightarrow{[Sinl,Sinr]} S(A + E),$
 - STA = S(A + E).
 - For T the state monad, this gives $ST = S \Rightarrow (A + E) \times S$, which is a different combination of exceptions and state than we saw before.

- The output monad distributes over any $(1, \times)$ strong monad.
 - (S, sl) a strong monad,
 - $TA =_{df} A \times E$ where E is a monoid,
 - $\lambda =_{\mathrm{df}} SA \times E \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sr}} S(A \times E)$,
 - $STA = S(A \times E)$.

• Any (1, ×) strong monad distributes over the environment monad.

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト ・ 三 ・ のへの

Coproduct of monads

- An interesting way to combine monads is the coproduct of monads.
- A coproduct of two monads T₀ and T₁ on C is their coproduct in Monad(C).
- I.e., it is a monad $T_0 +^m T_1$ together with two monad maps $\operatorname{inl}^m : T_0 \to^m T_0 +^m T_1$, $\operatorname{inr}^m : T_0 \to^m T_0 +^m T_1$ such that for any monad *S* and monad maps $\tau_0 : T_0 \to^m S$, $\tau_1 : T_1 \to^m S$ there exists a unique map $T_0 +^m T_1 \to^m S$ satisfying



Coproduct of free monads

• The coproduct of the free monads of functors *F*, *G* is the free monad of their coproduct:

$$F^{\star} +^{\mathrm{m}} G^{\star} = (F + G)^{*}$$

(obvious, since the free monad delivering functor has a left adjoint and hence preserves colimits).

More generally, the coproduct of a free monad F* with an arbitary monad S is this (if (FS)* exists):

$$F^* +^{\mathrm{m}} S = S(FS)^*$$

i.e.,

$$(F^* + {}^{\mathrm{m}}S)A = S(\mu X.A + FSX) = \mu X.S(A + FX)$$

Ideal monads (Adámek)

• An *ideal monad* on C is a monad (T, η, μ) together with an endofunctor T' on C and a natural transformation $\mu': T'T \rightarrow T'$ such that

•
$$T = \mathsf{Id} + T'$$
,

•
$$\eta = \operatorname{inl}$$
,

•
$$\mu = [id, inr \circ \mu'].$$

Coproduct of ideal monads (Ghani, U.)

• Given two ideal monads R = Id + R' and S = Id + S', their coproduct is an ideal monad $T = Id + T_0 + T_1$ where

$$(T_0A, T_1A) =_{\mathrm{df}} \mu(X, Y).(R'(A+Y)), S'(A+X))$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@