

Thorsten Altenkirch

based on joint work with Neil Ghani, Conor McBride and Peter Hancock University of Nottingham

A container type  $S \triangleright P$  is given by:

A container type  $S \triangleright P$  is given by:

• A set S of shapes, e.g.



A container type  $S \triangleright P$  is given by:

• A set S of shapes, e.g.

• For any shape  $s \in S$  a set of positions P(s), e.g.



Given some payload X, e.g. X = Nat we can instantiate a container by

Given some payload X, e.g. X = Nat we can instantiate a container by

• Choosing a shape, e.g.

Given some payload X, e.g. X = Nat we can instantiate a container by

• Choosing a shape, e.g.



• Filling the positions with payload, e.g. e.g.

## Extension of a container type

## Extension of a container type

The extension  $[S \triangleright P]$  of a container is given by an endofunctor **Set**  $\rightarrow$  **Set**:

 $\llbracket S \ \triangleright \ P \rrbracket(X) = \Sigma s \in S.P(s) \to X$ 

## Extension of a container type

The extension  $[S \triangleright P]$  of a container is given by an endofunctor Set  $\rightarrow$  Set:  $[S \triangleright P](X) = \sum c \in S P(c) \rightarrow X$ 

$$\llbracket S \triangleright P \rrbracket(X) = \Sigma s \in S.P(s) \to X$$

where

 $\Sigma a \in A.B(a) = \{(a,b) \mid a \in A \land b \in B(a)\}$ 

data 
$$\frac{X: \star}{\text{List } X: \star}$$
 where nil: List X  $\frac{x: X \quad xs: \text{List } X}{x: xs: \text{List } X}$ 

$$\underline{\text{data}} \quad \underline{X : \star}_{\text{List } X : \star} \quad \underline{\text{where}}_{\text{nil}} \quad \overline{\text{nil} : \text{List } X} \quad \underline{x : X}_{\text{x} : xs} : \underline{\text{List } X}$$
$$\underline{\text{List } X : \star}_{\text{List } X} \quad \underline{\text{List } X} \quad \underline{x : xs}_{\text{x} : \text{List } X}$$

$$\underline{data} \quad \underline{X : \star}_{\mathsf{List} \ X : \star} \quad \underline{where} \quad \overline{\mathsf{nil} : \mathsf{List} \ X} \quad \underline{x : X \quad xs : \mathsf{List} \ X}_{x : xs : \mathsf{List} \ X}$$
$$\mathbf{List} \ X = \mu Y.1 + X \times Y$$

 $\mathsf{List} X \simeq \Sigma n \in \mathsf{Nat}.\{i < n\} \to X$ 

$$\underline{data} \quad \underline{X : \star}_{\mathsf{List} \ X : \star} \quad \underline{where}_{\mathsf{nil} : \mathsf{List} \ X} \quad \underline{x : X \quad xs : \mathsf{List} \ X}_{x : xs : \mathsf{List} \ X}$$
$$\mathsf{List} \ X = \mu Y.1 + X \times Y$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{List}\, X &\simeq & \Sigma n \in \mathsf{Nat}.\{i < n\} \to X \\ &= & \Sigma n \in \mathsf{Nat}.n \to X \end{array}$$

#### *n*-ary containers

#### *n*-ary containers

An *n*-ary container  $S \triangleright \vec{P}$  is given by

- S : Set
- $\vec{P} : n \to S \to \mathbf{Set}$

#### *n*-ary containers

An *n*-ary container  $S \triangleright \vec{P}$  is given by

- S : Set
- $\vec{P}$  :  $n \to S \to \mathbf{Set}$

Its extension is an endofunctor  $\mathbf{Set}^n \to \mathbf{Set}$  is:

 $\llbracket S \ \triangleright \ \vec{P} \rrbracket(X) = \Sigma s \in S.\Pi i < n.P \, i \, s \to X \, i$ 

Given containers

$$\begin{array}{lll} F(X) &=& \Sigma s \in S.P(s) \to X \\ G(X) &=& \Sigma t \in T.Q(t) \to X \end{array}$$

a morphism  $f \triangleright u \in \mathbf{Con}(F, G)$  is given by

Given containers

$$\begin{array}{lll} F(X) &=& \Sigma s \in S.P(s) \to X \\ G(X) &=& \Sigma t \in T.Q(t) \to X \end{array}$$

a morphism  $f \triangleright u \in \mathbf{Con}(F, G)$  is given by

 $f \in S \to T$ 

Given containers

$$\begin{array}{lll} F(X) &=& \Sigma s \in S.P(s) \to X \\ G(X) &=& \Sigma t \in T.Q(t) \to X \end{array}$$

a morphism  $f \triangleright u \in \mathbf{Con}(F, G)$  is given by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} f & \in & S \to T \\ u & \in & \Pi s \in S.Q(f(s)) \to P(s) \end{array}$$

Given containers

$$F(X) = \Sigma s \in S.P(s) \to X$$
  
$$G(X) = \Sigma t \in T.Q(t) \to X$$

a morphism  $f \triangleright u \in \mathbf{Con}(F, G)$  is given by

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} f & \in & S \to T \\ \\ u & \in & \Pi s \in S.Q(f(s)) \to P(s) \\ \llbracket f \ \triangleright & u \rrbracket_X & \in & F(X) \to G(X) \end{array}$ 

#### Given containers

$$F(X) = \Sigma s \in S.P(s) \to X$$
  
$$G(X) = \Sigma t \in T.Q(t) \to X$$

a morphism  $f \triangleright u \in \mathbf{Con}(F, G)$  is given by

$$f \in S \to T$$
$$u \in \Pi s \in S.Q(f(s)) \to P(s)$$
$$\llbracket f \triangleright u \rrbracket_X \in F(X) \to G(X)$$
$$= (s,h) \mapsto (f(s),h \circ u(s))$$

APPSEM 05 – p.8/1

**Theorem :** Every natural transformation (i.e. polymorphic function) between containers can be represented as a container morphisms. []] is full and faithful.

**Theorem :** Every natural transformation (i.e. polymorphic function) between containers can be represented as a container morphisms. []] is full and faithful.

Example: any natural transformation  $g \in \Pi X$ .List  $X \to \text{List } X$  is given by:

$$f$$
 : Nat  $\rightarrow$  Nat  
 $u$  :  $\Pi n$  : Nat. $(f n) \rightarrow r$ 

 Strictly positive types are generated by 0,1,+,×,C → − (constant exponentation), μ (initial algebra) and ν (terminal coalgebra).

- Strictly positive types are generated by 0,1,+,×,C → − (constant exponentation), μ (initial algebra) and ν (terminal coalgebra).
- A Martin-Löf category is an extensive, locally cartesian closed category with W-types.

- Strictly positive types are generated by 0,1,+,×,C → − (constant exponentation), μ (initial algebra) and ν (terminal coalgebra).
- A Martin-Löf category is an extensive, locally cartesian closed category with W-types.
- **Theorem:** All strictly positive types are representable as containers in any Martin-Löf category.

- Strictly positive types are generated by 0,1,+,×,C → − (constant exponentation), μ (initial algebra) and ν (terminal coalgebra).
- A Martin-Löf category is an extensive, locally cartesian closed category with W-types.
- **Theorem:** All strictly positive types are representable as containers in any Martin-Löf category.
- **Corollary :** All closed strictly positive types are representable in any Martin-Löf category.

• Framework to define and reason about datatype generic programming, e.g. see our papers on derivatives of containers.

- Framework to define and reason about datatype generic programming, e.g. see our papers on derivatives of containers.
- Martin-Löf categories have representations of all strictly positive **non-dependent** inductive and coinductive types.

- Framework to define and reason about datatype generic programming, e.g. see our papers on derivatives of containers.
- Martin-Löf categories have representations of all strictly positive **non-dependent** inductive and coinductive types.
- We have developed a theory of **non-dependent** datatypes in a **dependently** typed framework.

APPSEM 05 – p.11/19

 $\underline{\text{data}} \quad \underline{n : \text{Nat}} \quad \underline{X : \star} \quad \underline{\text{where}} \quad \overline{\text{nil}} : \text{Vec } \mathbf{0} \ \underline{X} \quad \underline{xs} : \text{Vec } n \ \underline{X} \\ \underline{x : X} \quad \underline{xs} : \text{Vec } n \ \underline{X} \\ \underline{x : xs} : \text{Vec } (1+n) \ \underline{X} \\ \underline{x : xs} : \underline{xs} : \underline{xs} \\ \underline{xs} : \underline{xs} : \underline{xs} \\ \underline{xs} : \underline{xs} \\ \underline{xs} : \underline{xs} \\ \underline{xs$ 

$$\frac{\text{data}}{\text{Vec } n \ X \ : \ \star} \quad \underline{\text{where}} \quad \overline{\text{nil}} \ : \ \text{Vec } 0 \ X \quad \frac{x \ : \ X \ xs \ : \ \text{Vec } n \ X}{x \ \overline{z} \ xs \ : \ \text{Vec } (1+n) \ X}$$

$$\frac{\text{data}}{\text{Fin } n \ : \ \star} \quad \underline{\text{where}} \quad \overline{0} \ : \ \overline{\text{Fin } (1+n)} \quad \overline{1+i} \ : \ \overline{\text{Fin } (1+n)}$$

$$\frac{\text{data}}{\text{Vec } n \ X : \star} \xrightarrow{\text{where}} \overline{\text{nil}} : \text{Vec } 0 \ X \xrightarrow{x : X} xs : \text{Vec } n \ X}$$

$$\frac{\text{data}}{\text{Fin } n : \star} \xrightarrow{n : \text{Nat}} \frac{\text{where}}{\text{Fin } n : \star} \overline{0} : \text{Fin } (1+n) \xrightarrow{i : \text{Fin } n}{1+i : \text{Fin } (1+n)}$$

$$\frac{\text{let}}{\text{vnth}} \frac{xs : \text{Vec } n \ X \ i : \text{Fin } n}{\text{vnth}}$$

$$\underline{data} \quad \underline{n: Nat} \quad \underline{X: \star} \quad \underline{where} \quad \overline{nil: Vec \ 0 \ X} \quad \underline{x: X} \quad \underline{xs: Vec \ n \ X}}_{x: \overline{z} \ xs: Vec \ (1+n) \ X}$$

$$\underline{data} \quad \underline{n: Nat}_{Fin \ n: \star} \quad \underline{where}_{\overline{\mathbf{0}: Fin} \ (1+n)} \quad \overline{\overline{1+i: Fin} \ n}$$

$$\underline{data} \quad \underline{n: Nat}_{Fin \ n: \star} \quad \underline{where}_{\overline{\mathbf{0}: Fin} \ (1+n)} \quad \overline{\overline{1+i: Fin} \ n}$$

$$\underline{i: Fin \ n}_{1+i: Fin \ (1+n)} \quad \overline{\overline{1+i: Fin} \ n}$$

$$\underline{let} \quad \underline{xs: Vec \ n \ X}_{i: X} \quad \underline{i: Fin \ n}_{vnth \ xs \ i: X} \quad \overline{\mathbf{0}} \quad \underline{\leqslant} \ \underline{case} \ i$$

$$\underline{vnth} \quad xs \quad \overline{\mathbf{0}} \quad \underline{\leqslant} \ \underline{case} \ xs$$

$$\underline{vnth} \quad xs \quad \overline{\mathbf{0}} \quad \underline{\Rightarrow} \ x$$

$$\underline{vnth} \quad xs \quad \overline{1+j} \quad \underline{\leqslant} \ \underline{case} \ xs$$

$$\underline{vnth} \ xs \quad \overline{1+j} \quad \underline{\Rightarrow} \ vnth \ xs \ j$$

Given I : Set we define the slice category Set/I as: **Objects**  $F : I \rightarrow$  Set **Morphisms** Set/ $I(F, G) = \Pi i : I.(F i) \rightarrow (G i)$ 

Given I : Set we define the slice category Set/I as:

**Objects**  $F : I \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ 

**Morphisms** Set/ $I(F,G) = \Pi i : I.(Fi) \rightarrow (Gi)$ 

Dependent (inductive) datatypes arise as initial algebras of endofunctors on slice categories.

Given I : Set we define the slice category Set/I as:

**Objects**  $F : I \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ 

Morphisms  $\operatorname{Set}/I(F,G) = \Pi i : I.(F i) \to (G i)$ 

Dependent (inductive) datatypes arise as initial algebras of endofunctors on slice categories. E.g.  $Fin = \mu F : Nat \rightarrow Set.T_{Fin} F$ , where

$$T_{\mathsf{Fin}} : \operatorname{Set}/\mathsf{Nat} \to \operatorname{Set}/\mathsf{Nat}$$
$$T_{\mathsf{Fin}} F n = \Sigma m : \operatorname{Nat.} n = 1 + m$$
$$+\Sigma m : \operatorname{Nat.} (n = 1 + m) \times (F m)$$

Given I, J : Set a dependent container  $S \triangleright P$  : Con I J is given by

- $S: J \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , a family of shapes,
- $P : \Pi j : J.(Sj) \to I \to \mathbf{Set}$ , an indexed family of positions.

Given I, J : Set a dependent container  $S \triangleright P$  : Con I J is given by

- $S : J \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , a family of shapes,
- $P: \Pi j: J.(Sj) \rightarrow I \rightarrow Set$ , an indexed family of positions.

The extension of a dependent container is a functor on slices, that is  $[S \triangleright P]$ :  $\mathbf{Set}/I \to \mathbf{Set}/J$ , on objects

 $\llbracket S \ \triangleright \ P \rrbracket F j = \Sigma s : S j.\Pi i \ : \ I.(P j s i) \to (F i).$ 

#### Morphisms of dependent containers

## Morphisms of dependent containers

Given two dependent containers  $S \triangleright P, T \triangleright Q$  : Con(I, J) a morphism  $f \triangleright u$  is given by

- $\bullet \quad f \, : \, \Pi j \, : \, J.(S \, j) \to T \, j$
- $u \in \Pi i : I.\Pi j : J.\Pi s : S j.Q j s i \rightarrow P j s i$

## Morphisms of dependent containers

Given two dependent containers  $S \triangleright P, T \triangleright Q$  : Con(I, J) a morphism  $f \triangleright u$  is given by

- $\bullet \quad f \, : \, \Pi j \, : \, J.(S \, j) \to T \, j$
- $u \in \Pi i : I.\Pi j : J.\Pi s : S j.Q j s i \rightarrow P j s i$

The extension of a container morphism is a natural transformation which is given by the following family of maps (for  $F : J \rightarrow Set$ ):

$$\begin{bmatrix} f \triangleright u \end{bmatrix} F \quad : \quad \Pi j \, : \, J. \llbracket S \triangleright P \rrbracket F j \rightarrow \llbracket T \triangleright Q \rrbracket F j$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} f \triangleright u \end{bmatrix} F j (s,h) \quad = \quad (f j s, \lambda i. (h i) \circ (u i))$$

Theorem : Every natural transformation (i.e. polymorphic function) between dependent containers can be represented as a dependent container morphisms.

• **Theorem: ?** All **strictly positive dependent types** are representable as dependent containers in any Martin-Löf category.

- **Theorem: ?** All **strictly positive dependent types** are representable as dependent containers in any Martin-Löf category.
- What is a dependent strictly positive type?

- **Theorem: ?** All **strictly positive dependent types** are representable as dependent containers in any Martin-Löf category.
- What is a dependent strictly positive type?
- **Inductive Schemes**, as in Luo's UTT or COQ's Type Theoy give rise to dependent containers.

- **Theorem: ?** All **strictly positive dependent types** are representable as dependent containers in any Martin-Löf category.
- What is a dependent strictly positive type?
- **Inductive Schemes**, as in Luo's UTT or COQ's Type Theoy give rise to dependent containers.
- Better: define a collection of combinators to generate **strictly positive dependent types**.

• Systems based on Type Theory like COQ, Agda, Epigram use schemes to characterize sound definitions of datatypes.

- Systems based on Type Theory like COQ, Agda, Epigram use schemes to characterize sound definitions of datatypes.
- Schema checking is complex, incomplete and potentially unsound.

- Systems based on Type Theory like COQ, Agda, Epigram use schemes to characterize sound definitions of datatypes.
- Schema checking is complex, incomplete and potentially unsound.
- Using dependent containers we can implement extensible schemes which produce evidence by translating the scheme into core Type Theory with W-types.

- Systems based on Type Theory like COQ, Agda, Epigram use schemes to characterize sound definitions of datatypes.
- Schema checking is complex, incomplete and potentially unsound.
- Using dependent containers we can implement extensible schemes which produce evidence by translating the scheme into core Type Theory with W-types.
- This requires a Type Theory with an extensional propositional equality (under development).

## **Dependent Signatures?**

Our current approach doesn't capture inductive definitions like the definition of the syntax of Type Theory which simultanbously introduces:

Con	•	Set
Ty	•	$\operatorname{Con} \to \operatorname{Set}$
Tm	•	$\Pi\Gamma : \mathrm{Con.}(\mathrm{Ty}\Gamma) \to \mathbf{Set}$

• Dependent containers are closely related to polynomial functors, which have been investigated by Gambino and Hyland.

- Dependent containers are closely related to polynomial functors, which have been investigated by Gambino and Hyland.
- Initial algebras of unary dependent containers correspond to the Petersson and Synek's tree types.

- Dependent containers are closely related to polynomial functors, which have been investigated by Gambino and Hyland.
- Initial algebras of unary dependent containers correspond to the Petersson and Synek's tree types.
- The categoy of dependent containers is equivalent to the category of Interaction Structures investigated by Hancock,Hyvernat and Setzer.