

# True separate compilation of Java classes

Davide Ancona, Giovanni Lagorio, Elena Zucca

DISI - University of Genova, Italy

APPSEM-II Workshop - Nottingham

This talk is based on “True Separate Compilation of Java Classes” [in PPDP02] and “Stronger Typings for Separate Compilation of Java-like Languages” [submitted].

## Plan of the talk

- What is “true” separate compilation
- Why existing compilers/formal type systems for Java do not support/model true separate compilation
- Our contribution - A type system for a Java subset supporting true separate compilation

## Compilation of a self-contained program

S source program (closed), B binary program (closed)

$\vdash S \rightsquigarrow B$  type-checking + generation of binary code

## “True” separate compilation (Cardelli POPL’97)

intra-checking  $\Gamma \vdash S : \tau \rightsquigarrow B$

$S$  source fragment,  $\tau$  inferred type,  $B$  binary fragment,  
 $\Gamma$  **type environment** = information on missing fragments

**linkset** =  $f_1 \mapsto \Gamma_1 \vdash S_1 : \tau_1 \rightsquigarrow B_1, \dots, f_n \mapsto \Gamma_n \vdash S_n : \tau_n \rightsquigarrow B_n$

**inter-checking** =  $\tau_i$  conforms to assumptions on  $f_i$  in  $\Gamma_j, \forall i, j$

e.g., if  $\Gamma_j = \dots, f_i : \tau, \dots$ , then  $\tau = \tau_i$

# Motivations

Assume we modify some fragments

- if interchecking still holds we don't need to recompile other fragments;
- if it doesn't we get information on what needs to be recompiled.

Applications: smart selective recompilation

## Do Java existing compilers support true separate compilation?

No: when we compile class  $C$  depending on  $C_1, \dots, C_n$

- $C_1, \dots, C_n$  must be available at least in binary form (no separate interface files)
- type-checking (compilation) is propagated to **some** of  $C_1, \dots, C_n$   
compilation = intra-checking and **some** inter-checking interleaved  $\Rightarrow$  standard compilers are **not** safe

## Do Java existing formal type systems model true separate compilation?

No: existing formal definitions of Java type system

- extract a standard type environment  $\Gamma$  from a program, roughly, associating to each class its parent and method signatures
- check consistency of  $\Gamma$
- check each class body against  $\Gamma$

So:

- inter-checking trivial
- **intra-checking not abstract as it could be:** each fragment is intra-checked against an overspecified type environment

## True separate compilation for Java

Which is the “minimal” type information needed for intra-checking a Java class?

```
class C extends Parent {  
    T id(T x) { return x; }  
    T1 m1(T2 x) { return x ; }  
    T1 m2(T2 x) { return new Used().g(x); }  
}
```

Five kinds of judgments expressing “local” type requirements:

1)  $\Gamma \vdash \exists T$

2)  $\Gamma \vdash T2 \leq T1$

## True separate compilation for Java

```
class C extends Parent {  
    ...  
    T1 m2(T2 x) { return new Used().g(x);}  
}
```

Class Used must declare/inherit a method  $\alpha$   $g(\beta)$  with

$$\Gamma \vdash T2 \leq \beta$$
$$\Gamma \vdash \alpha \leq T1$$

But  $\alpha, \beta$  must be known at compile-time since in bytecode method invocations are annotated with method descriptors

For instance, class C can be typechecked in the following environment (1):

```
...
class T1{}
class T2 extends T1{}
class T3 extends T2{}
class Used { T3 g(T1 x) {...} }
----> new Used()[Used,T1,T3].g(x)
```

and also in this environment (2):

```
...
class T1{}
class T2 extends T1{}
class Used {
    T2 g(T2 x) {...}
    int f() {...} }
----> new Used()[Used,T2,T2].g(x)
```

## True separate compilation for Java

Judgment

$$3) \Gamma \vdash \text{C.m}(\bar{T}) \xrightarrow{res} \langle \bar{T}', T_{\text{ret}} \rangle$$

In the example:

$$\Gamma_1 \vdash \text{Used.g}(T_2) \xrightarrow{res} \langle T_1, T_3 \rangle$$

$$\Gamma_2 \vdash \text{Used.g}(T_2) \xrightarrow{res} \langle T_2, T_2 \rangle$$

## True separate compilation for Java

```
class C extends Parent {  
    T id(T x) { return x; }  
    T1 m1(T2 x) { return x ; }  
    T1 m2(T2 x) { return new Used().g(x); }  
}
```

Apparently no requirements on Parent...yet: a “wrong” Parent

```
class Parent extends C {  
    Parent m2(T2 x) {...}  
}
```

Judgments:

4)  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Parent} \not\leq C$

5)  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Parent} \odot_{T1} m2(T2)$

## What we have done

- Formal definition of true separate compilation (intra-checking)

$$\Gamma \vdash S : \tau \rightsquigarrow B$$

for a small (but significant) Java subset (source + bytecode)

- Formal definition of inter-checking
- Sound relation with standard compilation with standard environment

## Further work

- Work in progress: Implementation of separate compilation for Java on top of a standard Java compiler  
Idea: if  $\Gamma \vdash S : \tau \rightsquigarrow B$ , then we can construct a collection of classes satisfying  $\Gamma$  and give them to a standard compiler
- Work in progress: “smart” compilation manager for Java (the full language)