### **Cover Summary**

Nils Anders Danielsson

Chalmers

# Getting Software Right!

Interactive Proving Larger scale proving, costly but can be unavoidable.

Automated Proving Simpler properties verified with certainty.

Automated Testing Cheaply eliminates many bugs.

#### Programs

Notoriously full of bugs.



#### Properties

Characterise the expected behaviour -- also full of bugs.



#### CHALMERS

COVERproject.org

Combining Verification Methods in Software Development. Profs. John Hughes, Thierry Coquand, Peter Dybjer, Mary Sheeran, Funding, 8MSEK from SSF, 2002-2005

Compare the two! Inconsistencies reveal bugs.

Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research

### **Base tools**

### QuickCheck

- Specification and randomised testing library for Haskell.
- New version coming soon.
- Agda
  - Interactive theorem prover (dependent type theory).
  - Recently added: Classes, hidden arguments, primitive types, John Major equality.

### Agsy

Proof search plugin for Agda capable of some inductive arguments.

### **Current focus**



### Haskell-Agda

Monadic translation of GHC Core into Agda.

• 
$$f \ 0 \mapsto f^* \gg \lambda g \to g \ (return \ 0).$$

- Identity monad or Maybe monad.
- Proof under way that total reasoning sometimes is meaningful.
- Some problems related to impredicativity. (GHC Core is a variant of  $F^{\omega}$ .)
- General recursion cannot be handled.

### Haskell–FOL

- Translation of GHC Core into (untyped) FOL.
- Santa: Tool for translating FOL formulas into many different formats.
- Example:  $(P_2P_1)^{-1} = P_1^{-1}P_2^{-1}$  in the revision control system Darcs.

 $prop\_invert\_comp =$  $forAll \$ \lambda p_1 \rightarrow$  $forAll \$ \lambda p_2 \rightarrow$  $invert (ComP [p_2, p_1]) == ComP [invert p_1, invert p_2]$ 

Many things open: Types, totality, finiteness, bottoms, induction, coinduction...

# Agda-FOL

- agdaLight, reimplementation of Agda without meta variables and inductive families.
- Plugins for QuickCheck and FOL.

## Agda-FOL example

- *isRing*: Axiomatises a ring.
- $isBool :: (X :: Set) \to (X \to X \to X) \to Prop$  $isBool X (*) = (x :: X) \to Id X (x * x) x$
- axR1 :: isRing R (+) (\*) minus Zero OneaxR2 :: isBool R (\*)
- $thm :: (x :: R) \rightarrow x + x \equiv Zero$  $thm \ x = fol - plugin \ (axR1, axR2)$
- $thm1 :: (x :: R) \rightarrow (y :: R) \rightarrow x * y \equiv y * x$  $thm1 \ x \ y = fol - plugin \ (axR1, axR2)$