#### **G52GRP 2010–2011: Lecture 5** *Report Writing and Peer Marking*

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

#### This Lecture

- Writing tips
- Notes on citations
- Peer assessment

## **Reports**

- Final reports due 1 April 2011.
- One common final group report and individual reports.

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p.1/28

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p.3/28

- Two hard copies and one electronic copy of group report.
- One electronic copy only of individual report.
- Study the G52GRP Student Handbook very carefully regarding the hand in procedures. There are some caveats, especially regarding the electronic hand in.

## **General Writing Tips (1)**

 Make sure you read the G52GRP Student Handbook for

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p.2/28

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p.4/28

- expected content
- suggested structure
- size restrictions
- (Applies to both group and individual reports.)

# **General Writing Tips (2)**

- Appoint an Editor:
  - Overall responsibility for document
  - Integrates contributions from all other writers
  - Ensures consistency (typesetting, layout, style of figures, language, ...) and cohesiveness (that everything fits together)

#### Notes on the Editor Role

- The Editor should not be expected to do "all the work": each writer should be prepared to edit their contributions until the Editor approves content, style, length, ...
- While the Editor shouldn't be a "dictator", investing him/her with a fair amount of power in editorial questions is likely a good idea.

# **General Writing Tips (3)**

- Allow plenty of time: very hard for most people to write a really good report at the last minute.
  - Iterate: go over the text again and again, trying to identify exactly what the message is of each piece of text, and then how to express that clearly and succinctly.
  - Try to get feedback from outside the group, e.g. supervisor and friends.

#### Language

• Do use a spelling checker! (Obvious, but ...)

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p.6/28

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p.8/28

- Strive for a clear language, appropriate in style for a technical document:
  - Prof. David Brailsford's do's and dont's: /cs/documents/teaching/G51SCI/grammar.pdf (on UNIX servers).
  - Recommended: Lyn Dupré. BUGS in Writing: A Guide to Debugging Your Prose. Addison Wesley, 1998.
- Swap sections among the group members for proof reading.

G52GRP 2010–2011: Lecture 5 – p.7/28

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p 5/28

# **Typesetting and Layout (1)**

- Keep it simple
- Number chapters, sections, figures, examples, pages.
- Include a table of contents.
- Use typographical devices like lists where this helps giving structure to the text and getting your message across.

# Content (1)

- Keep your audience in mind!
  - In this case, you are writing for a general CS audience.
  - Ask yourselves: Would your fellow CS students understand?
- Aim to make the report reasonably self-contained.
- Do use pictures, diagrams, examples to help getting your message across. (But avoid gratuitous decoration!)

## **Typesetting and Layout (2)**

- Adopt proper typographical conventions. E.g.:
  - Correct typesetting of mathematics
  - Program code and code fragments in a typewriter font.
  - Use *italic* (or possibly **bold**) for emphasis. Don't underline.

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p 10/28

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p 12/28

- Don't underline headings. Ugly!
- If you want to achieve truly professional results with relative ease, consider using LaTeX. (Somewhat steep learning curve, though.)

# Content (2)

- Keep your writing focused!
  - Make sure everything you include is necessary and relevant:
    - What is the message?
  - How does it contribute to the whole?
  - Do use appendices for bulky material that are mainly needed for reference.
- Make sure you use citations to:
  - correctly attribute sources
  - support your arguments and claims.

G52GRP 2010–2011: Lecture 5 – p.11/28

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p.9/28

# **Citations (1)**

- Author-date (or "Harvard style") referencing is a good style:
  - "(Smith 2008)" or "(Smith 2009a, p. 1)", if citation not grammatically part of the sentence,
  - "Smith (2008)" or "Smith (2009a, p. 1)" if it is.

See http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/-Parenthetical\_referencing.

• Sort the list of references alphabetically by author(s), and year.

# **Citations (3)**

Be aware that using a citation as part of a sentence is considered bad style:

#### • **BAD**

- In (Smith 2008) it is claimed ...
- In [2] it is claimed ...
- In [Smi08] it is claimed ...
- GOOD
  - Smith (2008) claims ...
  - Smith [2] claims ...
  - Smith [Smi08] claims ...

. . . . .

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p 15/28

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p 13/28

# **Citations (2)**

- Numerical keys in square brackets ("[3]") is an alternative, but hard to maintain by hand as number change when additions are made to the list of references.
- Another alternative is alphanumerical keys systematically made up of letters from the author(s) last name(s) and publication year ("AMS style", "Authorship trigraph"). Easier to maintain by hand.

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p 14/28

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p 16/28

# Citing URLs

If you must cite web pages:

- Give URL along with date when the page was accessed.
- Consider using an On-demand Archiving System such as WebCite,

http://www.webcitation.org/:

- free(!)
- archives the web page in question
- provides a stable URL to the archived copy

#### **Recap: G52GRP Assessment (1)**

#### **Collective Group Mark**

Task	Marks [%]		
Interim Group Report	20		
Final Group Report	30		
Software	20		
Open Day	15		
Presentation Day	15		

*Peer assessment* used to distribute the Collective Group Mark amongst the members, yielding *Individual Mark for Group Work*.

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p.17/28

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p.19/28

## Peer Assessment (1)

Each group member evaluates all other group members along a number of dimensions:

- Research and Information gathering
- Creative input
- Co-operation within group
- Communication within group
- Concrete contribution
- Attendance at meetings

The assessments are part of the individual report and *in strict confidence*.

# **Recap: G52**GRP Assessment (2)

Overall Individual Mark	
Task	Marks [%]
Individual Mark for Group Work	80
Individual Report	20

#### Peer Assessment (2)

The peer ratings are used as follows:

- An Individual Received Numerical Peer Rating (IRNPR) is computed for each group member. This is a weighted average of all received ratings from the peers.
- The average IRNPR is computed for each group.

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p 18/28

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p 20/28

 The Individual Mark for Group Work is finally computed by scaling the the Collective Group Mark according to how much above or below the group average each individual's IRNPR is.

#### Peer Assessment (3)

Example:

- Suppose that the Collective Group Mark is 65.
- Suppose further that the IRNPRs are 75, 65, 55, 55, 50.
- The average IRNPR for this group is thus 60.
- The Individual Marks for Group Work would then be along the lines 75, 68, 62, 62, 59.

Note that the *average* of the Individual Marks for Group Work *equals* the Collective Group Mark.

# How to Interpret the Form? (1)

• *Adequate* signifies having performed as well as can be expected. For example, a member who:

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p.21/28

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p.23/28

- carried out a fair share of the work
- were reasonable, approachable, friendly
- attended most meetings, mostly on-time, absent only with good cause.
- Good and Excellent signify performance above and much above this level, respectively.
- Lacking and None signify performance below and much below this level, respectively.

#### **Peer Assessment Form**

	None	Lacking	Adequate	Good	Excellent
Research & information gathering					
Creative input					
Co-operation within group					
Communication within group					
Concrete contribution <sup>a</sup>					
Attendance at meetings					

#### Justification of assigned ratings:

Concrete contribution: Quality and quantity of concrete contribution to *group deliverables*: writing, coding, testing, open day display, preparations for presentations, etc.

#### Note: a written justification is also required.

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p.22/28

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p 24/28

### Vetting of Peer Assessment (1)

- The peer assessments are *vetted* by the supervisor to ensure the process has been carried out in a fair and serious manner.
- If there is reason to believe this is not the case, the supervisor will discuss with the module convener, and together they can decide to:
  - adjust individual peer ratings as necessary, or
  - *disregard* all peer ratings and assign individual marks directly.

#### **Vetting of Peer Assessment (2)**

Thus far, with only minor exceptions, it has not been necessary to interfere with the peer assessment.

#### **Revised Peer Assessment (1)**

Peer assessment is done as part of the individual report, handed in *before* the Easter break ...

... but the Open and Presentation days are *after* the Easter break!

So, what if someone does a *lot better or worse* than earlier *during the very last part* of the project???

## **Revised Peer Assessment (2)**

- Peer assessment can be revised once IF a substantial change is necessary.
- Substantial means 
  <u>3</u> "points".
- Revisions have to be thoroughly justified.
- Revisions are subject to *vetting*, as before.
- Deadline: 18:00 on Presentation Day.
- See the G52GRP Handbook for details.

#### Looking Ahead

- The final support lecture before the Easter break will cover points related to the Open Day (4 May 2011) and the Presentation Day (6 May 2011).
- In particular, the lecture will cover what you need to know for getting your one A1 poster printed.
- Exact date: TBA

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p 25/28

G52GRP 2010-2011: Lecture 5 - p 26/28