G52MAL Machines and Their Languages Lecture 8

Proving Languages Not to Be Regular

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham

The regular languages are those that can be recognized by *finite* automata; i.e. machines with finite memory.

The regular languages are those that can be recognized by *finite* automata; i.e. machines with finite memory.

Are there languages that are not regular?

The regular languages are those that can be recognized by *finite* automata; i.e. machines with finite memory.

Are there languages that are not regular?

Yes! One example:

$$L = \{0^i 1^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

The regular languages are those that can be recognized by *finite* automata; i.e. machines with finite memory.

Are there languages that are not regular?

Yes! One example:

$$L = \{0^i 1^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Why? Intuitively: Need to count arbitrarily far to check if any given word is accepted. We cannot count arbitrarily far if we only have a finite memory!

How can we check if a word belongs to a non-regular langauge like

$$L = \{0^i 1^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

How can we check if a word belongs to a non-regular langauge like

$$L = \{0^i 1^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Can a computer do it?

How can we check if a word belongs to a non-regular langauge like

$$L = \{0^i 1^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Can a computer do it? Can **you** write a program to check if a given word $w \in L$? Would it work?

How can we check if a word belongs to a non-regular langauge like

$$L = \{0^i 1^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Can a computer do it? Can **you** write a program to check if a given word $w \in L$? Would it work?

In theory, no! Anything we physically build is necessarily finite.

How can we check if a word belongs to a non-regular langauge like

$$L = \{0^i 1^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Can a computer do it? Can **you** write a program to check if a given word $w \in L$? Would it work?

- In theory, no! Anything we physically build is necessarily finite.
- In practice, of course! It doesn't take that many bits to count as far as we could possibly want.

Example: 128 bits = 16 bytes. Assume a computer running at 1000 GHz, counting one symbol each 10^{-12} s.

Example: 128 bits = 16 bytes. Assume a computer running at 1000 GHz, counting one symbol each 10^{-12} s.

How long before we need more bits to count further?

Example: 128 bits = 16 bytes. Assume a computer running at 1000 GHz, counting one symbol each 10^{-12} s.

How long before we need more bits to count further?

About 10^{19} years, or 780 million times the currently estimated age of the universe (13.8 billion years).

As an aside, the question if we can write a program to decide L is more subtle:

As an aside, the question if we can write a program to decide L is more subtle:

A programming language specification can conceivably be very abstract and not mention any specific limits on sizes.

As an aside, the question if we can write a program to decide L is more subtle:

- A programming language specification can conceivably be very abstract and not mention any specific limits on sizes.
- A correct program can then be expressed in that it in theory could count arbitrarily far.

As an aside, the question if we can write a program to decide L is more subtle:

- A programming language specification can conceivably be very abstract and not mention any specific limits on sizes.
- A correct program can then be expressed in that it in theory could count arbitrarily far.
- However, when this program is run we would sooner or later hit some limitation either due to the *implementation* of the language or due to the hardware we are running it on.

Bottom line: In practice, we can, up to a point, treat a computer as if it has infinite memory if it suits us.

Bottom line: In practice, we can, up to a point, treat a computer as if it has infinite memory if it suits us.

But how can we tell if a language is regular or not (i.e., if a DFA suffices to recognise it) or if we need a more general machine?

Bottom line: In practice, we can, up to a point, treat a computer as if it has infinite memory if it suits us.

But how can we tell if a language is regular or not (i.e., if a DFA suffices to recognise it) or if we need a more general machine?

That's the topic of today's lecture.

Bottom line: In practice, we can, up to a point, treat a computer as if it has infinite memory if it suits us.

But how can we tell if a language is regular or not (i.e., if a DFA suffices to recognise it) or if we need a more general machine?

That's the topic of today's lecture.

Key observation: Because a Finite Automaton has limited memory, any sufficiently long word in the language must contain repetitive patterns.