G52MAL Machines and Their Languages Lecture 9

Introduction to Context-free Grammars

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham

We have established that the following language is not regular:

$$L = \{0^i 1^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

We have established that the following language is not regular:

$$L = \{0^i 1^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Others? What about B: the language of "balanced parentheses"? E.g.

$$()() \in B$$

$$((()())()) \in B$$

$$)(\notin B$$

$$(() \notin B$$

Is B regular?

Is B regular?

No. Why?

Is B regular?

No. Why?

Counting argument again: Any upper bound on the number of open parentheses that we would need to keep track of?

Is B regular?

No. Why?

Counting argument again: Any upper bound on the number of open parentheses that we would need to keep track of?

Use Pumping Lemma for regular languages to prove formally. *Exercise!*

But of course, "balanced parentheses" is a key feature of many important classes of languages; e.g.:

- Arithmetic expressions: (,)
- Matching keywords in programming languages: begin, end, repeat, until
- Markup languages; e.g. HTML: , ,
 ,

But of course, "balanced parentheses" is a key feature of many important classes of languages; e.g.:

- Arithmetic expressions: (,)
- Matching keywords in programming languages: begin, end, repeat, until
- Markup languages; e.g. HTML: , ,
 ,
- Can such languages be described formally? How?

But of course, "balanced parentheses" is a key feature of many important classes of languages; e.g.:

- Arithmetic expressions: (,)
- Matching keywords in programming languages: begin, end, repeat, until
- Markup languages; e.g. HTML: , ,
 ,
- **Q**: Can such languages be described formally? How?
- A: Through Context-free Grammars (CFG).

CFGs originated as an attempt to describe grammars for natural languages like English.

CFGs originated as an attempt to describe grammars for natural languages like English.

Key idea: Rules, called *productions*, that describe how symbols called *nonterminals* (or *variables* or *syntactic categories*) can be replaced by nonterminals and *terminals* until only terminals left.

CFGs originated as an attempt to describe grammars for natural languages like English.

Key idea: Rules, called *productions*, that describe how symbols called *nonterminals* (or *variables* or *syntactic categories*) can be replaced by nonterminals and *terminals* until only terminals left.

 $nonterminal \rightarrow terminals \ and \ nonterminals$

CFGs originated as an attempt to describe grammars for natural languages like English.

Key idea: Rules, called *productions*, that describe how symbols called *nonterminals* (or *variables* or *syntactic categories*) can be replaced by nonterminals and *terminals* until only terminals left.

 $nonterminal \rightarrow terminals \ and \ nonterminals$

Let us consider the language *Grammatically Correct Sentences of Extremely Simplified English* (GCSESE)

GCSESE (1)

Nonterminals		Terminals
S:	Sentence	boy
NP:	Noun Phrase	girl
VP:	Verb Phrase	little
N:	Noun	big
V:	Verb	walks
		runs
		slowly
		fast

GCSESE (2)

Productions for GCSESE:

$$S \rightarrow NP \ VP \qquad VP \rightarrow V \ Adv$$
 $NP \rightarrow Adj \ NP \qquad VP \rightarrow V$
 $NP \rightarrow N \qquad V \rightarrow \text{walks}$
 $N \rightarrow \text{boy} \qquad V \rightarrow \text{runs}$
 $N \rightarrow \text{girl} \qquad Adv \rightarrow \text{slowly}$
 $Adj \rightarrow \text{little} \qquad Adv \rightarrow \text{fast}$
 $Adj \rightarrow \text{big}$

GCSESE (2)

Productions for GCSESE:

$$S \rightarrow NP \ VP \qquad VP \rightarrow V \ Adv$$
 $NP \rightarrow Adj \ NP \qquad VP \rightarrow V$
 $NP \rightarrow N \qquad V \rightarrow \text{walks}$
 $N \rightarrow \text{boy} \qquad V \rightarrow \text{runs}$
 $N \rightarrow \text{girl} \qquad Adv \rightarrow \text{slowly}$
 $Adj \rightarrow \text{little} \qquad Adv \rightarrow \text{fast}$
 $Adj \rightarrow \text{big}$

Note: The terminals constitute the alphabet of the language being defined.