G52MAL Machines and Their Languages Lecture 14

The Language of a PDA

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham

GROMAI Machines and Their Languagest entire 14 – p 1/14

Instantaneous Description (ID)

An Instantaneous Description (ID)

$$(q, w, \gamma) \in Q \times \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^*$$

describes the state of a PDA computation.

Relations on IDs (cont.)

 $_{P}^{*}\subseteq ID\times ID$: The reflexive, transitive closure of $_{p}^{*}$. Read:

$$id_1 \stackrel{*}{\vdash} id_2$$

"PDA P can move from id_1 to id_2 in 0 or more steps." Examples:

$$(q_0, aabb, \#) \stackrel{*}{\underset{P_1}{\vdash}} (q_2, \epsilon, \#)$$

For any PDA P and ID id: $id \stackrel{*}{\vdash}_{P} id$

Recap: Definition of PDA

A PDA $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0, F)$ where

- Q is a finite set of states
- Σ is a finite set of *input* symbols
- Γ is a finite set of **stack** symbols
- $\delta \in Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \times \Gamma \to \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(q \times \Gamma^*)$ is the transition function
- $q_0 \in Q$ is the initial state
- $Z_0 \in \Gamma$ is the initial stack symbol
- $F \subseteq Q$ is the accepting states

G52MALMachines and Their LanguagesLecture 14 -

Relations on IDs

 $\vdash_{D} \subseteq ID \times ID$: Read:

$$id_1 \vdash_P id_2$$

"PDA P can move in one step from id_1 to id_2 ."

1.
$$(q, xw, z\gamma) \vdash_{p} (q', w, \alpha\gamma)$$
 if $(q', \alpha) \in \delta(q, x, z)$

2.
$$(q, w, z\gamma) \vdash_{P} (q', w, \alpha\gamma)$$
 if $(q', \alpha) \in \delta(q, \epsilon, z)$

where $q, q' \in Q$, $x \in \Sigma$, $w \in \Sigma^*$, $z \in \Gamma$, $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma^*$

The Language of a PDA (1)

Two "flavours" of PDAs. Acceptance by final state:

$$L(P) = \{ w \mid (q_0, w, Z_0) \stackrel{*}{\underset{P}{\downarrow}} (q, \epsilon, \gamma) \land q \in F \}$$

Acceptance by empty stack:

$$L(P) = \{ w \mid (q_0, w, Z_0) \stackrel{*}{\vdash}_{D} (q, \epsilon, \epsilon) \}$$

G52MALMachines and Their LanguagesLecture 14 – p.8/14

(F plays no role and can be left out from the definition of P.)

PDA recognising $\{a^nb^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

$$P_1 = \ (\ \ Q = \{q_0,q_1,q_2\}, \ \Sigma = \{a,b\},$$

$$\Gamma = \{a,\#\}, \ \delta, \ q_0, \ Z_0 = \#, \ F = \{q_2\} \ \)$$
 where

 $\delta(q_0, a, \#) = \{(q_0, a\#)\}$ $\delta(q_0, \epsilon, \#) = \{(q_2, \#)\}$ $\delta(q_0, a, a) = \{(q_0, aa)\}$ $\delta(q_0, b, a) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\}$ $\delta(q_1, b, a) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\}$ $\delta(q_1, \epsilon, \#) = \{(q_2, \#)\}$

 $\delta(q, w, x) = \emptyset$ everywhere else

Example

Consider PDA P_1 again on aabb:

$$(q_0, aabb, \#) \begin{tabular}{l} \vdash $ (q_0, abb, a\#) $ & as $(q_0, a\#) \in \delta(q_0, a, \#) $ \\ \vdash $ (q_0, bb, aa\#) $ & as $(q_0, aa) \in \delta(q_0, a, a) $ \\ \vdash $ (q_1, b, a\#) $ & as $(q_1, \epsilon) \in \delta(q_0, b, a) $ \\ \vdash $ (q_1, \epsilon, \#) $ & as $(q_1, \epsilon) \in \delta(q_1, b, a) $ \\ \vdash $ (q_2, \epsilon, \#) $ & as $(q_2, \#) \in \delta(q_1, \epsilon, \#) $ \\ \end{tabular}$$

showing that P_1 accepts aabb by final state as $q_2 \in F$ and all input consumed.

The Language of a PDA (2)

A PDA that accepts by final state can be converted to an equivalent PDA that accepts by empty stack and vice versa.

Both types of PDAs thus describe the same class of languages, the *Context-Free Languages* (CFLs).

PDAs and CFGs

Theorem: For a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$,

$$L = L(G)$$
 for a CFG G iff $L = L(P)$ for a PDA P .

I.e., the CFGs and the PDAs describe the same class of languages.

Proof: By constructing a PDA P from a CFG G and vice versa such that L(P) = L(G).

We will look at constructing a PDA from a CFG.

Deterministic PDAs (DPDAs) (1)

A DPDA is a PDA that has no choice:

A PDA
$$P=(Q,\Sigma,\Gamma,\delta,q_0,Z_0,F)$$
 is *deterministic* iff $|\delta(q,x,z)|+|\delta(q,\epsilon,z|<1$ for all $q\in Q,x\in \Sigma,z\in \Gamma.$

GROMAL Machines and Their Languagest ecture 14 – p 10/14

Example: P_2 is not a DPDA.

E.g.
$$|\delta(q_0, 0, A)| + |\delta(q_0, \epsilon, A)| = 0 + 3 \le 1$$

Translating a CFG into a PDA

Given CFG
$$G = (N, T, P, S)$$
,

$$P(G) = (\{q_0\}, \Sigma = T, \Gamma = N \cup T, \delta, q_0, Z_0 = S)$$

where

$$\delta(q_0, \epsilon, A) = \{(q_0, \alpha) \mid A \to \alpha \in P\}
\delta(q_0, a, a) = \{(q_0, \epsilon)\} \text{ for all } a \in T
\delta(q_0, w, \gamma) = \emptyset \text{ everywhere else}$$

Acceptance by empty stack.

Note: Highly non-deterministic!

Deterministic PDAs (DPDAs) (2)

G52MAI Machines and Their Languages Lecture 14 – p 11/14

G52MALMachines and Their LanguagesLecture 14 – p.14/14

DPDAs important because can be implemented efficiently. (See lectures on predictive recursive descent parsing.)

But unfortunately:

Theorem: The set of languages accepted by the DPDAs is a *strict subset* of the languages accepted by PDAs: $L(\mathrm{DPDA}) \subset L(\mathrm{PDA}) = \mathrm{CFL}$.

However, most context-free langauges of practical importance can be described by DPDAs.

Example: Translating a CFG into a PDA

Consider the grammar G_2 :

$$A \rightarrow 0A0 \mid 1A1 \mid \epsilon$$

Contruct PDA $P_2 = P(G_2)$. On white board.