

# LiU-FP2016: Lecture 3

## *The Untyped $\lambda$ -Calculus: Recursion and Fixed Points*

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

# Fixed Points (1)

Consider a recursive function like factorial:

```
fac(n) = if n == 0 then
         1
        else
         n * fac(n - 1)
```

# Fixed Points (1)

Consider a recursive function like factorial:

```
fac(n) = if n == 0 then
          1
        else
          n * fac(n - 1)
```

Attempt to translate into  $\lambda$ -calculus:

$FAC \equiv \lambda n. IF (ISZ n) 1 (TIMES n (FAC (PRED 1)))$

Is this OK?

# Fixed Points (2)

But consider

$$FAC' \equiv \lambda f. \lambda n. IF (ISZ n) 1 (TIMES n (f (PRED 1)))$$

# Fixed Points (2)

But consider

$$FAC' \equiv \lambda f. \lambda n. IF (ISZ n) 1 (TIMES n (f (PRED 1)))$$

Now suppose *FAC* **is** the factorial function.

## Fixed Points (2)

But consider

$$FAC' \equiv \lambda f. \lambda n. IF (ISZ n) 1 (TIMES n (f (PRED 1)))$$

Now suppose  $FAC$  **is** the factorial function.

Then  $FAC' FAC$  is **also** the factorial function.

That is:  $FAC = FAC' FAC$  (where  $=$  here is semantical equality).

# Fixed Points (3)

In general, whenever  $x = f(x)$  for some function  $f$  and value  $x$ ,  $x$  is a **fixed point** of  $f$ .

# Fixed Points (3)

In general, whenever  $x = f(x)$  for some function  $f$  and value  $x$ ,  $x$  is a **fixed point** of  $f$ .

Thus,  $FAC$  is a fixed point of  $FAC'$ .

But

$$FAC \equiv FAC' FAC$$

is still useless as a definition.



# Fixed Points (3)

In general, whenever  $x = f(x)$  for some function  $f$  and value  $x$ ,  $x$  is a **fixed point** of  $f$ .

Thus,  $FAC$  is a fixed point of  $FAC'$ .

But

$$FAC \equiv FAC' FAC$$

is still useless as a definition.

# Fixed Points (4)

However, suppose we have a function  $FIX$  that when given an arbitrary unary function computes its smallest fixed point; i.e., for any function  $f$ :

$$FIX\ f = f\ (FIX\ f)$$

Then

$$FAC \equiv FIX\ FAC'$$

is a valid definition, assuming  $FIX$  can be defined.

# Fixed Points (4)

However, suppose we have a function  $FIX$  that when given an arbitrary unary function computes its smallest fixed point; i.e., for any function  $f$ :

$$FIX\ f = f\ (FIX\ f)$$

Then

$$FAC \equiv FIX\ FAC'$$

is a valid definition, assuming  $FIX$  can be defined.

# Fixed Points (4)

However, suppose we have a function  $FIX$  that when given an arbitrary unary function computes its smallest fixed point; i.e., for any function  $f$ :

$$FIX\ f = f\ (FIX\ f)$$

Then

$$FAC \equiv FIX\ FAC'$$

is a valid definition, assuming  $FIX$  can be defined.

# Questions

1. Does a function like *FIX* exist?
2. Does every function even **have** a fixed point?
3. If *FIX* exists, can it be defined in the  $\lambda$ -calculus?

# Answer Q2 (1)

Does every function have a fixed point?

# Answer Q2 (1)

Does every function have a fixed point?

If we work with with functions on ordinary sets, clearly not! E.g.

$$x = \text{not } x$$

does not have a solution in the set  $\{False, True\}$ .

# Answer Q2 (1)

Does every function have a fixed point?

If we work with with functions on ordinary sets, clearly not! E.g.

$$x = \text{not } x$$

does not have a solution in the set  $\{False, True\}$ .

Similarly, there is no  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$n = \text{succ } n$$



## Answer Q2 (2)

But there is a solution if we turn to **domain theory** and consider functions over **pointed domains** that have a specific bottom element  $\perp$  denoting divergence, non-termination:

$$\perp = \text{not } \perp$$

$$\perp = \text{succ } \perp$$

## Answer Q2 (2)

But there is a solution if we turn to **domain theory** and consider functions over **pointed domains** that have a specific bottom element  $\perp$  denoting divergence, non-termination:

$$\perp = \text{not } \perp$$

$$\perp = \text{succ } \perp$$

In general, domain theory allows for an additional possible result,  $\perp$ , which is the least element, meaning all functions have a unique least fixed point in that setting.

# Answer Q1 & Q3

Yes and yes: a function for computing fixed points in general exists and it can be defined in the lambda calculus.

# Answer Q1 & Q3

Yes and yes: a function for computing fixed points in general exists and it can be defined in the lambda calculus.

Many possibilities. The call-by-name fixed-point combinator  $Y$  is probably the most famous and simplest:

$$Y \equiv \lambda f.(\lambda x.f (x x)) (\lambda x.f (x x))$$

# Answer Q1 & Q3

Yes and yes: a function for computing fixed points in general exists and it can be defined in the lambda calculus.

Many possibilities. The call-by-name fixed-point combinator  $Y$  is probably the most famous and simplest:

$$Y \equiv \lambda f.(\lambda x.f (x x)) (\lambda x.f (x x))$$

Let's verify  $Y F = F (Y F)$  for any  $F$  (on the white board).

# Back to Factorial

Now we can define:

$$FAC \equiv Y FAC'$$

# Fixed Point Combinators in Real Life

- In denotational semantics, the meaning of recursion and iteration is given in terms of fixed point constructions.
- In languages like Haskell,  $fix$  can easily be defined (see example).
- Variations of fixed point operators are quite often used in practice; e.g. for monadic fixed points (see later).