LiU-FP2016: Lecture 8 Type Classes

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

LIU-FP2016: Lecture 8 – p. 1/35

Haskell Overloading (3)

Moreover, some types do not in general admit a decidable equality. E.g. functions (when their domain is infinite).

Similar remarks apply to many other types. E.g.:

- We may want to be able to add numbers of any kind.
- But to add properly, we must understand what we are adding.
- Not every type admits addition.

Instances of Eq (1)

Various types can be made instances of a type class like Eq by providing implementations of the class methods for the type in question:

Haskell Overloading (1)

What is the type of (==)?

E.g. the following both work:

I.e., (==) can be used to compare both numbers and characters.

Maybe (==) :: a -> a -> Bool?

No!!! Cannot work uniformly for arbitrary types!

LIU-FP2016: Lecture 8 - p.2/35

Haskell Overloading (4)

Idea:

- Introduce the notion of a type class: a set of types that support certain related operations.
- Constrain those operations to only work for types belonging to the corresponding class.
- Allow a type to be made an instance of (added to) a type class by providing type-specific implementations of the operations of the class.

o o o o LIU-FP2016: Lecture 8 – ρ.5/35

Instances of Eq (2)

Suppose we have a data type:

```
data Answer = Yes | No | Unknown
```

We can make Answer an instance of Eq as follows:

Haskell Overloading (2)

A function like the identity function

```
id :: a -> a id x = x
```

is *polymorphic* precisely because it works uniformly for all types: there is no need to "inspect" the argument.

In contrast, to compare two "things" for equality, they very much have to be inspected, and an *appropriate method of comparison* needs to be used.

The Type Class Eq

```
class Eq a where
  (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

(==) is not a function, but a *method* of the *type class* Eq. It's type signature is:

```
(==) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool
```

 ${\rm Eq}\,$ a is a *class constraint*. It says that that the equality method works for any type belonging to the type class ${\rm Eq}.$

 $_{\odot}$ $_{\odot}$ $_{\odot}$ $_{\odot}$. LIU-FP2016: Lecture 8 $_{\circ}$ $_{\circ}$ 0.635

Instances of Eq (3)

Consider:

Can Tree be made an instance of Eq?

LIU-FP2016: Lecture 8 – p.7/35

.

U-FP2016: Lecture 8 – p.9/35

Instances of Eq (4)

Yes, for any type a that is already an instance of Eq:

Note that (==) is used at type a (whatever that is) when comparing al and a2, while the use of (==) for comparing subtrees is a recursive call.

Class Hierarchy

Type classes form a hierarchy. E.g.:

```
class Eq a => Ord a where
  (<=) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

Eq is a superclass of ord; i.e., any type in ord must also be in Eq.

Implementation (1)

The class constraints represent extra implicit arguments that are filled in by the compiler. These arguments are (roughly) the functions to use.

Thus, internally (==) is a *higher order function* with *three* arguments:

```
(==) eqF x y = eqF x y
```

Derived Instances (1)

Instance declarations are often obvious and mechanical. Thus, for certain *built-in* classes (notably Eq. Ord, Show), Haskell provides a way to *automatically derive* instances, as long as

- the data type is sufficiently simple
- · we are happy with the standard definitions

Thus, we can do:

Haskell vs. OO Overloading (1)

A method, or overloaded function, may thus be understood as a family of functions where the right one is chosen depending on the types.

A bit like OO languages like Java. But the underlying mechanism is quite different and much more general. Consider read:

```
read :: (Read a) => String -> a
```

Note: overloaded on the *result* type! A method that converts from a string to *any* other type in class Read!

LIU-FP2016: Lecture 8 - p.14/35

Implementation (2)

An expression like

```
1 == 2
```

is essentially translated into

```
(==) primEqInt 1 2
```

Derived Instances (2)

GHC provides some additional possibilities. With the extension -XGeneralizedNewtypeDeriving, a new type defined using newtype can "inherit" any of the instances of the representation type:

```
newtype Time = Time Int deriving Num
```

With the extension -XStandaloneDeriving, instances can be derived separately from a type definition (even in a separate module):

```
deriving instance Eq Time
deriving instance Eq a => Eq (Tree a)
```

UU-FP2016: Lecture 8 – p. 12:05

Haskell vs. OO Overloading (2)

```
> let xs = [1,2,3] :: [Int]
> let ys = [1,2,3] :: [Double]
> xs
[1,2,3]
> ys
[1.0,2.0,3.0]
> (read "42" : xs)
[42,1,2,3]
> (read "42" : ys)
[42.0,1.0,2.0,3.0]
> read "'a'" :: Char
'a'
```

Implementation (3)

So one way of understanding a type like

```
(==) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool
```

is that $\mathbb{E}_q\ \ a$ corresponds to an extra implicit argument.

The implicit argument corresponds to a so called directory, or tuple/record of functions, one for each method of the type class in question.

Some Basic Haskell Classes (1)

UIJ-FP2016: Lecture 8 – p. 19/35

A Typing Conundrum (2)

The list is expanded into:

```
[ fromInteger 1,
  [fromInteger 2, fromInteger 3] ]
```

Thus, if there were some type t for which [t] were an instance of Num, the 1 would be an overloaded literal of that type, matching the type of the second element of the list.

Normally there are no such instances, so what almost certainly is a mistake will be caught. But the error message is rather confusing.

Approaches

- Source-to-source translation
- Overloading of arithmetic operators and mathematical functions

The following variation is due to Jerzy Karczmarczuk. Infinite list of derivatives allows derivatives of *arbitrary* order to be computed.

Some Basic Haskell Classes (2)

Quiz: What is the type of a numeric literal like 42? What about 42.0? Why?

Haskell's numeric literals are overloaded. E.g. 42 is expanded into fromInteger 42.

Application: Automatic Differentiation

- Automatic Differentiation: method for augmenting code so that derivative(s) computed along with main result.
- Purely algebraic method: arbitrary code can be handled
- Exact results
- But no separate, self-contained representation of the derivative.

Functional Automatic Differentiation (1)

Introduce a new numeric type C: value of a continuously differentiable function at a point along with all derivatives at that point:

```
data C = C Double C
valC (C a _) = a
derC (C _ x') = x'
```

A Typing Conundrum (1)

Overloaded (numeric) literals can lead to some surprises.

What is the type of the following list? Is it even well-typed???

```
[1, [2,3]]
```

Surprisingly, it is well-typed:

```
> :type [1, [2,3]]
[1, [2,3]] :: (Num [t], Num t) => [[t]]
Why?
```

Automatic Differentiation: Key Idea

Consider a code fragment:

```
z1 = x + y

z2 = x * z1
```

Suppose the derivatives of x and y w.r.t. common variable is available in the variables x' and y'.

Then code can be augmented to compute derivatives of z1 and z2:

```
z1 = x + y

z1' = x' + y'

z2 = x * z1

z2' = x' * z1 + x * z1'
```

Functional Automatic Differentiation (2)

Constants and the variable of differentiation:

```
zeroC :: C
zeroC = C 0.0 zeroC

constC :: Double -> C
constC a = C a zeroC

dVarC :: Double -> C
dVarC a = C a (constC 1.0)
```

Functional Automatic Differentiation (3)

Part of numerical instance:

Functor (2)

Common examples of functors include (but are certainly not limited to) *container types* like lists:

Functor (5)

Note that the type of fmap can be read:

$$(a -> b) -> (f a -> f b)$$

That is, we can see fmap as promoting a function to work in a different context.

Functional Automatic Differentiation (4)

Computation of $y = 3t^2 + 7$ at t = 2:

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \texttt{t} = \texttt{dVarC 2} \\ & \texttt{y} = \texttt{3} * \texttt{t} * \texttt{t} + \texttt{7} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \texttt{valC y} & \Rightarrow & \texttt{19.0} \\ & \texttt{valC (derC y)} & \Rightarrow & \texttt{12.0} \\ & \texttt{valC (derC (derC y))} & \Rightarrow & \texttt{6.0} \\ & \texttt{valC (derC (derC (derC y)))} & \Rightarrow & \texttt{0.0} \end{array}$$

Functor (3)

Another important example is Haskell's option type Maybe:

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 UU-FP2016: Lecture 8 − p. 32/35

Reading

 Jerzy Karczmarczuk. Functional differentiation of computer programs. Higher-Order and Symbolic Computation, 14(1):35–57, March 2001.

Functor (1)

A Functor is a notion that originated in a branch of mathematics called Category Theory.

However, for our purposes, we can think of functors as type constructors T (of arity 1) for which a function map can be defined:

$$map :: (a \to b) \to Ta \to Tb$$

that satisfies the following laws:

```
map \ id = id

map(f \circ g) = map \ f \circ map \ g
```

Functor (4)

Of course, the notion of a functor with a type class in Haskell:

```
class Functor f where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

Instances are provided for [], Maybe, and many other types in Haskell's standard prelude.

However, Haskell's type system is not powerful enough to enforce the functor laws.

In general: the responsibility for ensuring that an instance respects any laws associated with a type class rests squarely with the programmer.

LIU-FP2016: Lecture 8 – p.33/35