

LiU-FP2016: Lecture 8

Type Classes

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

Haskell Overloading (1)

What is the type of (==)?

E.g. the following both work:

```
1 == 2
```

```
'a' == 'b'
```

I.e., (==) can be used to compare both numbers and characters.

Haskell Overloading (1)

What is the type of (==)?

E.g. the following both work:

```
1 == 2
```

```
'a' == 'b'
```

I.e., (==) can be used to compare both numbers and characters.

Maybe (==) :: a -> a -> Bool?

Haskell Overloading (1)

What is the type of (==)?

E.g. the following both work:

```
1 == 2
```

```
'a' == 'b'
```

I.e., (==) can be used to compare both numbers and characters.

Maybe (==) :: a -> a -> Bool?

No!!! Cannot work uniformly for arbitrary types!

Haskell Overloading (2)

A function like the identity function

```
id :: a -> a
id x = x
```

is **polymorphic** precisely because it works uniformly for all types: there is no need to “inspect” the argument.

Haskell Overloading (2)

A function like the identity function

```
id :: a -> a
id x = x
```

is **polymorphic** precisely because it works uniformly for all types: there is no need to “inspect” the argument.

In contrast, to compare two “things” for equality, they very much have to be inspected, and an **appropriate method of comparison** needs to be used.

Haskell Overloading (3)

Moreover, some types do not in general admit a decidable equality. E.g. functions (when their domain is infinite).

Haskell Overloading (3)

Moreover, some types do not in general admit a decidable equality. E.g. functions (when their domain is infinite).

Similar remarks apply to many other types. E.g.:

Haskell Overloading (3)

Moreover, some types do not in general admit a decidable equality. E.g. functions (when their domain is infinite).

Similar remarks apply to many other types. E.g.:

- We may want to be able to add numbers of any kind.

Haskell Overloading (3)

Moreover, some types do not in general admit a decidable equality. E.g. functions (when their domain is infinite).

Similar remarks apply to many other types. E.g.:

- We may want to be able to add numbers of any kind.
- But to add properly, we must understand what we are adding.

Haskell Overloading (3)

Moreover, some types do not in general admit a decidable equality. E.g. functions (when their domain is infinite).

Similar remarks apply to many other types. E.g.:

- We may want to be able to add numbers of any kind.
- But to add properly, we must understand what we are adding.
- Not every type admits addition.

Haskell Overloading (4)

Idea:

- Introduce the notion of a ***type class***: a set of types that support certain related operations.

Haskell Overloading (4)

Idea:

- Introduce the notion of a **type class**: a set of types that support certain related operations.
- **Constrain** those operations to **only** work for types belonging to the corresponding class.

Haskell Overloading (4)

Idea:

- Introduce the notion of a **type class**: a set of types that support certain related operations.
- **Constrain** those operations to **only** work for types belonging to the corresponding class.
- Allow a type to be **made an instance of** (added to) a type class by providing **type-specific implementations** of the operations of the class.

The Type Class `Eq`

```
class Eq a where  
    (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

`(==)` is not a function, but a **method** of the **type class** `Eq`. Its type signature is:

```
(==) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool
```

`Eq a` is a **class constraint**. It says that the equality method works for any type belonging to the type class `Eq`.

Instances of `Eq` (1)

Various types can be made instances of a type class like `Eq` by providing implementations of the class methods for the type in question:

```
instance Eq Int where
    x == y = primEqInt x y
```

```
instance Eq Char where
    x == y = primEqChar x y
```

Instances of Eq (2)

Suppose we have a data type:

```
data Answer = Yes | No | Unknown
```

We can make `Answer` an instance of `Eq` as follows:

```
instance Eq Answer where
  Yes == Yes      = True
  No  == No       = True
  Unknown == Unknown = True
  _   == _        = False
```

Instances of Eq (3)

Consider:

```
data Tree a = Leaf a
            | Node (Tree a) (Tree a)
```

Can `Tree` be made an instance of `Eq`?

Instances of Eq (4)

Yes, for any type a that is already an instance of Eq :

```
instance (Eq a) => Eq (Tree a) where
```

```
  Leaf a1      == Leaf a2      = a1 == a2
```

```
  Node t1l t1r == Node t2l t2r = t1l == t2l  
                                     && t1r == t2r
```

```
  _           == _           = False
```

Note that $(==)$ is used at type a (whatever that is) when comparing $a1$ and $a2$, while the use of $(==)$ for comparing subtrees is a recursive call.

Derived Instances (1)

Instance declarations are often obvious and mechanical. Thus, for certain *built-in* classes (notably `Eq`, `Ord`, `Show`), Haskell provides a way to *automatically derive* instances, as long as

- the data type is sufficiently simple
- we are happy with the standard definitions

Thus, we can do:

```
data Tree a = Leaf a
            | Node (Tree a) (Tree a)
            deriving Eq
```

Derived Instances (2)

GHC provides some additional possibilities. With the extension `-XGeneralizedNewtypeDeriving`, a new type defined using `newtype` can “inherit” any of the instances of the representation type:

```
newtype Time = Time Int deriving Num
```

Derived Instances (2)

GHC provides some additional possibilities. With the extension `-XGeneralizedNewtypeDeriving`, a new type defined using `newtype` can “inherit” any of the instances of the representation type:

```
newtype Time = Time Int deriving Num
```

With the extension `-XStandaloneDeriving`, instances can be derived separately from a type definition (even in a separate module):

```
deriving instance Eq Time  
deriving instance Eq a => Eq (Tree a)
```

Class Hierarchy

Type classes form a hierarchy. E.g.:

```
class Eq a => Ord a where
  (<=) :: a -> a -> Bool
  ...
```

`Eq` is a superclass of `Ord`; i.e., any type in `Ord` must also be in `Eq`.

Haskell vs. OO Overloading (1)

A method, or overloaded function, may thus be understood as a family of functions where the right one is chosen depending on the types.

A bit like OO languages like Java. But the underlying mechanism is quite different and much more general. Consider `read`:

```
read :: (Read a) => String -> a
```

Note: overloaded on the **result** type! A method that converts from a string to **any** other type in class `Read`!

Haskell vs. OO Overloading (2)

```
> let xs = [1,2,3] :: [Int]
> let ys = [1,2,3] :: [Double]
> xs
[1,2,3]
> ys
[1.0,2.0,3.0]
> (read "42" : xs)
[42,1,2,3]
> (read "42" : ys)
[42.0,1.0,2.0,3.0]
> read "'a'" :: Char
'a'
```

Implementation (1)

The class constraints represent extra implicit arguments that are filled in by the compiler. These arguments are (roughly) the functions to use.

Thus, internally `(==)` is a **higher order function** with **three** arguments:

$$(==) \text{ eqF } x \ y = \text{eqF } x \ y$$

Implementation (2)

An expression like

`1 == 2`

is essentially translated into

`(==) primEqInt 1 2`

Implementation (3)

So one way of understanding a type like

```
(==) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool
```

is that `Eq a` corresponds to an extra implicit argument.

The implicit argument corresponds to a so called directory, or tuple/record of functions, one for each method of the type class in question.

Some Basic Haskell Classes (1)

```
class Eq a where
    (==), (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

```
class (Eq a) => Ord a where
    compare :: a -> a -> Ordering
    (<), (<=), (>=), (>) :: a -> a -> Bool
    max, min :: a -> a -> a
```

```
class Show a where
    show :: a -> String
```

Some Basic Haskell Classes (2)

```
class (Eq a, Show a) => Num a where
    (+), (-), (*) :: a -> a -> a
    negate      :: a -> a
    abs, signum :: a -> a
    fromInteger :: Integer -> a
```

Some Basic Haskell Classes (2)

```
class (Eq a, Show a) => Num a where
    (+), (-), (*) :: a -> a -> a
    negate      :: a -> a
    abs, signum :: a -> a
    fromInteger :: Integer -> a
```

Quiz: What is the type of a numeric literal like 42? What about 42.0? Why?

Some Basic Haskell Classes (2)

```
class (Eq a, Show a) => Num a where
    (+), (-), (*) :: a -> a -> a
    negate      :: a -> a
    abs, signum :: a -> a
    fromInteger :: Integer -> a
```

Quiz: What is the type of a numeric literal like 42? What about 42.0? Why?

Haskell's numeric literals are overloaded. E.g. 42 is expanded into `fromInteger 42`.

A Typing Conundrum (1)

Overloaded (numeric) literals can lead to some surprises.

What is the type of the following list? Is it even well-typed???

```
[1, [2, 3]]
```

A Typing Conundrum (1)

Overloaded (numeric) literals can lead to some surprises.

What is the type of the following list? Is it even well-typed???

```
[1, [2, 3]]
```

Surprisingly, it is well-typed:

```
> :type [1, [2, 3]]  
[1, [2, 3]] :: (Num [t], Num t) => [[t]]
```

Why?

A Typing Conundrum (2)

The list is expanded into:

```
[ fromInteger 1,  
  [fromInteger 2, fromInteger 3] ]
```

Thus, if there were some type t for which $[t]$ were an instance of `Num`, the `1` would be an overloaded literal of that type, matching the type of the second element of the list.

A Typing Conundrum (2)

The list is expanded into:

```
[ fromInteger 1,  
  [fromInteger 2, fromInteger 3] ]
```

Thus, if there were some type t for which $[t]$ were an instance of `Num`, the `1` would be an overloaded literal of that type, matching the type of the second element of the list.

Normally there are no such instances, so what almost certainly is a mistake will be caught. But the error message is rather confusing.

Application: Automatic Differentiation

- **Automatic Differentiation**: method for augmenting code so that derivative(s) computed along with main result.
- Purely algebraic method: arbitrary code can be handled
- Exact results
- But no separate, self-contained representation of the derivative.

Automatic Differentiation: Key Idea

Consider a code fragment:

$$\begin{aligned}z1 &= x + y \\z2 &= x * z1\end{aligned}$$

Suppose the derivatives of x and y w.r.t. common variable is available in the variables x' and y' .

Then code can be augmented to compute derivatives of $z1$ and $z2$:

$$\begin{aligned}z1 &= x + y \\z1' &= x' + y' \\z2 &= x * z1 \\z2' &= x' * z1 + x * z1'\end{aligned}$$

Approaches

- Source-to-source translation
- Overloading of arithmetic operators and mathematical functions

The following variation is due to Jerzy Karczmarczuk. Infinite list of derivatives allows derivatives of *arbitrary* order to be computed.

Functional Automatic Differentiation (1)

Introduce a new numeric type `C`: value of a continuously differentiable function at a point along with *all* derivatives at that point:

```
data C = C Double C
```

```
valC (C a _) = a
```

```
derC (C _ x') = x'
```

Functional Automatic Differentiation (2)

Constants and the variable of differentiation:

```
zeroC :: C
```

```
zeroC = C 0.0 zeroC
```

```
constC :: Double -> C
```

```
constC a = C a zeroC
```

```
dVarC :: Double -> C
```

```
dVarC a = C a (constC 1.0)
```

Functional Automatic Differentiation (3)

Part of numerical instance:

```
instance Num C where
```

$$(C\ a\ x') + (C\ b\ y') = \\ C\ (a + b)\ (x' + y')$$

$$(C\ a\ x') - (C\ b\ y') = \\ C\ (a - b)\ (x' - y')$$

$$x@(C\ a\ x') * y@(C\ b\ y') = \\ C\ (a * b)\ (x' * y + x * y')$$

```
fromInteger n =
```

```
constC (fromInteger n)
```

Functional Automatic Differentiation (4)

Computation of $y = 3t^2 + 7$ at $t = 2$:

```
t = dVarC 2
```

```
y = 3 * t * t + 7
```

```
valC y ⇒ 19.0
```

```
valC (derC y) ⇒ 12.0
```

```
valC (derC (derC y)) ⇒ 6.0
```

```
valC (derC (derC (derC y))) ⇒ 0.0
```

Functor (1)

A Functor is a notion that originated in a branch of mathematics called Category Theory.

However, for our purposes, we can think of functors as type constructors T (of arity 1) for which a function map can be defined:

$$map :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow Ta \rightarrow Tb$$

that satisfies the following laws:

$$\begin{aligned} map\ id &= id \\ map(f \circ g) &= map\ f \circ map\ g \end{aligned}$$

Functor (2)

Common examples of functors include (but are certainly not limited to) **container types** like lists:

```
mapList :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

```
mapList _ [] = []
```

```
mapList f (x:xs) = f x : mapList f xs
```

and trees:

```
mapTree :: (a -> b) -> Tree a  
         -> Tree b
```

Functor (3)

Another important example is Haskell's option type `Maybe`:

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
```

```
mapMaybe :: (a -> b) -> Maybe a  
           -> Maybe b
```

```
mapMaybe _ Nothing = Nothing
```

```
mapMaybe f (Just x) = Just (f x)
```

Functor (4)

Of course, the notion of a functor with a type class in Haskell:

```
class Functor f where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

Instances are provided for `[]`, `Maybe`, and many other types in Haskell's standard prelude.

Functor (4)

Of course, the notion of a functor with a type class in Haskell:

```
class Functor f where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

Instances are provided for `[]`, `Maybe`, and many other types in Haskell's standard prelude.

However, Haskell's type system is not powerful enough to enforce the functor laws.

Functor (4)

Of course, the notion of a functor with a type class in Haskell:

```
class Functor f where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

Instances are provided for `[]`, `Maybe`, and many other types in Haskell's standard prelude.

However, Haskell's type system is not powerful enough to enforce the functor laws.

In general: the responsibility for ensuring that an instance respects any laws associated with a type class rests squarely with the programmer.

Functor (5)

Note that the type of `fmap` can be read:

$$(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (f\ a \rightarrow f\ b)$$

That is, we can see `fmap` as promoting a function to work in a different context.

Reading

- Jerzy Karczmarczuk. Functional differentiation of computer programs. *Higher-Order and Symbolic Computation*, 14(1):35–57, March 2001.