LiU-FP2016: Lecture 11 Concurrency

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

This Lecture

- A concurrency monad (adapted from Claessen (1999))
- Basic concurrent programming in Haskell
- Software Transactional Memory (the STM monad)

A Concurrency Monad (1)

A Thread represents a process: a stream of primitive atomic operations:

A Concurrency Monad (1)

A Thread represents a process: a stream of primitive atomic operations:

```
data Thread = Print Char Thread
| Fork Thread Thread
| End
```

Note that a Thread represents the entire rest of a computation.

A Concurrency Monad (2)

Introduce a monad representing "interleavable computations". At this stage, this amounts to little more than a convenient way to construct threads by sequential composition.

A Concurrency Monad (2)

Introduce a monad representing "interleavable computations". At this stage, this amounts to little more than a convenient way to construct threads by sequential composition.

How can Threads be constructed sequentially? The only way is to parameterize thread prefixes on the rest of the Thread. This leads directly to continuations.

A Concurrency Monad (3)

```
newtype CM a = CM ((a -> Thread) -> Thread)
from CM :: CM a \rightarrow ((a \rightarrow Thread) \rightarrow Thread)
fromCM (CM x) = x
thread :: CM a -> Thread
thread m = fromCM m (const End)
instance Monad CM where
    return x = CM (\k -> k x)
    m >>= f = CM $ \ \ \ ->
          from CM m (x -> from CM (f x) k)
```

A Concurrency Monad (4)

Atomic operations:

```
cPrint :: Char -> CM ()
cPrint c = CM (\k -> Print c (k ()))

cFork :: CM a -> CM ()
cFork m = CM (\k -> Fork (thread m) (k ()))

cEnd :: CM a
cEnd = CM (\_ -> End)
```

Running a Concurrent Computation (1)

Running a computation:

```
type Output = [Char]
type ThreadQueue = [Thread]
type State = (Output, ThreadQueue)
runCM :: CM a -> Output
runCM m = runHlp ("", []) (thread m)
    where
        runHlp s t =
            case dispatch s t of
                Left (s', t) -> runHlp s' t
```

Running a Concurrent Computation (2)

Dispatch on the operation of the currently running Thread. Then call the scheduler.

Running a Concurrent Computation (3)

Selects next Thread to run, if any.

Example: Concurrent Processes

```
main = print (runCM p3)
```

Result: aAbc1Bd2e3f4g5h6i7j890

Note: As it stands, the output is only made available after all threads have terminated.)

Incremental Output

Incremental output:

```
runCM :: CM a -> Output
runCM m = dispatch [] (thread m)
dispatch :: ThreadQueue -> Thread -> Output
dispatch rq (Print c t) = c : schedule (rq ++ [t])
dispatch rq (Fork t1 t2) = schedule (rq ++ [t1, t2])
                = schedule rq
dispatch rq End
schedule :: ThreadQueue -> Output
schedule [] = []
schedule (t:ts) = dispatch ts t
```

Example: Concurrent processes 2

```
main = print (runCM p3)
```

Result: aAbc1Bd*** Exception: Prelude.undefined

Any Use?

- A number of libraries and embedded langauges use similar ideas, e.g.
 - Fudgets
 - Yampa
 - FRP in general
- Studying semantics of concurrent programs.
- Aid for testing, debugging, and reasoning about concurrent programs.

Concurrent Programming in Haskell

Primitives for concurrent programming provided as operations of the IO monad (or "sin bin":-). They are in the module Control. Concurrent. Excerpts:

```
forkIO :: IO () -> IO ThreadId
killThread :: ThreadId -> IO ()
threadDelay :: Int -> IO ()
newMVar :: a -> IO (MVar a)
newEmptyMVar :: IO (MVar a)
putMVar :: MVar a -> a -> IO ()
takeMVar :: MVar a -> IO a
```

MVars

- The fundamental synchronisation mechanism is the *MVar* ("em-var").
- An MVar is a "one-item box" that may be empty or full.
- Reading (takeMVar) and writing (putMVar) are atomic operations:
 - Writing to an empty MVar makes it full.
 - Writing to a full MVar blocks.
 - Reading from an empty MVar blocks.
 - Reading from a full MVar makes it empty.

Example: Basic Synchronization (1)

```
module Main where
import Control.Concurrent
countFromTo :: Int -> Int -> IO ()
countFromTo m n
    \mid m > n = return ()
     otherwise = do
        putStrLn (show m)
        countFromTo (m+1) n
```

Example: Basic Synchronization (2)

```
main = do
    start <- newEmptyMVar</pre>
    done <- newEmptyMVar
    forkIO $ do
        takeMVar start
        countFromTo 1 10
        putMVar done ()
    putStrLn "Go!"
    putMVar start ()
    takeMVar done
    (countFromTo 11 20)
    putStrLn "Done!"
```

Example: Unbounded Buffer (1)

```
module Main where
import Control.Monad (when)
import Control.Concurrent
newtype Buffer a =
    Buffer (MVar (Either [a] (Int, MVar a)))
newBuffer :: IO (Buffer a)
newBuffer = do
    b <- newMVar (Left [])
    return (Buffer b)
```

Example: Unbounded Buffer (2)

```
readBuffer :: Buffer a -> IO a
readBuffer (Buffer b) = do
   bc <- takeMVar b
    case bc of
        Left (x : xs) \rightarrow do
            putMVar b (Left xs)
            return x
       w <- newEmptyMVar</pre>
            putMVar b (Right (1,w))
            takeMVar w
        Right (n, w) -> do
            putMVar b (Right (n + 1, w))
            takeMVar w
```

Example: Unbounded Buffer (3)

```
writeBuffer :: Buffer a -> a -> IO ()
writeBuffer (Buffer b) x = do
    bc <- takeMVar b
    case bc of
        Left xs ->
             putMVar b (Left (xs ++ [x]))
        Right (n, w) \rightarrow do
             putMVar w x
             if n > 1 then
                 putMVar b (Right (n - 1, w))
              else
                 putMVar b (Left [])
```

Example: Unbounded Buffer (4)

The buffer can now be used as a channel of communication between a set of "writers" and a set of "readers". E.g.

```
main = do
    b <- newBuffer
    forkIO (writer b)
    forkIO (writer b)
    forkIO (reader b)
    forkIO (reader b)</pre>
```

Example: Unbounded Buffer (5)

Suppose we would like to read two *consecutive* elements from a buffer b?

That is, *sequential composition*.

Would the following work?

```
x1 <- readBuffer b
```

x2 <- readBuffer b

What about this?

```
mutex <- newMVar ()

...

takeMVar mutex

x1 <- readBuffer b

x2 <- readBuffer b

putMVar mutex ()</pre>
```

Suppose we would like to read from *one of two* buffers.

That is, *composing alternatives*.

Suppose we would like to read from *one of two* buffers.

That is, *composing alternatives*.

Hmmm. How do we even begin?

Suppose we would like to read from *one of two* buffers.

That is, composing alternatives.

Hmmm. How do we even begin?

 No way to attempt reading a buffer without risking blocking.

Suppose we would like to read from *one of two* buffers.

That is, composing alternatives.

Hmmm. How do we even begin?

- No way to attempt reading a buffer without risking blocking.
- We have to change or enrich the buffer implementation. E.g. add a tryReadBuffer operation, and then repeatedly poll the two buffers in a tight loop. Not so good!

Software Transactional Memory (1)

- Operations on shared mutable variables grouped into *transactions*.
- A transaction either succeeds or fails in its entirety. I.e., atomic w.r.t. other transactions.
- Failed transactions are automatically retried until they succeed.
- Transaction logs, which records reading and writing of shared variables, maintained to enable transactions to be validated, partial transactions to be rolled back, and to determine when worth trying a transaction again.

Software Transactional Memory (2)

No locks! (At the application level.)

STM and Pure Declarative Languages

- STM perfect match for purely declarative languages:
 - reading and writing of shared mutable variables explicit and relatively rare;
 - most computations are pure and need not be logged.
- Disciplined use of effects through monads a huge payoff: easy to ensure that only effects that can be undone can go inside a transaction.

(Imagine the havoc arbitrary I/O actions could cause if part of transaction: How to undo? What if retried?)

The STM monad

The software transactional memory abstraction provided by a monad STM. Distinct from IO!

Defined in Control.Concurrent.STM.

Excerpts:

```
newTVar :: a -> STM (TVar a)
writeTVar :: TVar a -> a -> STM ()
readTVar :: TVar a -> STM a
retry :: STM a
atomically :: STM a -> IO a
```

Example: Buffer Revisited (1)

Let us rewrite the unbounded buffer using the STM monad:

```
module Main where
import Control.Monad (when)
import Control.Concurrent
import Control.Concurrent.STM
newtype Buffer a = Buffer (TVar [a])
newBuffer :: STM (Buffer a)
newBuffer = do
    b <- newTVar []
    return (Buffer b)
```

Example: Buffer Revisited (2)

```
readBuffer :: Buffer a -> STM a
readBuffer (Buffer b) = do
    xs <- readTVar b
    case xs of
               -> retry
        (x : xs') -> do
            writeTVar b xs'
            return x
writeBuffer :: Buffer a -> a -> STM ()
writeBuffer (Buffer b) x = do
    xs <- readTVar b
    writeTVar b (xs ++ [x])
```

Example: Buffer Revisited (3)

The main program and code for readers and writers can remain unchanged, except that STM operations must be carried out *atomically*:

```
main = do

b <- atomically newBuffer
forkIO (writer b)
forkIO (writer b)
forkIO (reader b)
forkIO (reader b)</pre>
```

Example: Buffer Revisited (4)

Composition (1)

STM operations can be robustly composed.
That's the reason for making readBuffer and writeBuffer STM operations, and leaving it to client code to decide the scope of atomic blocks.

Example, sequential composition: reading two consecutive elements from a buffer b:

```
atomically $ do
   x1 <- readBuffer b
   x2 <- readBuffer b</pre>
```

Composition (2)

Example, composing alternatives: reading from one of two buffers b1 and b2:

```
x <- atomically $
    readBuffer b1
    'orElse' readBuffer b2</pre>
```

The buffer operations thus composes nicely. No need to change the implementation of any of the operations!

Reading

- Koen Claessen. A Poor Man's Concurrency Monad. Journal of Functional Programming, 9(3), 1999.
- Wouter Swierstra and Thorsten Altenkirch. Beauty in the Beast: A Functional Semantics for the Awkward Squad. In *Proceedings of Haskell'07*, 2007.
- Tim Harris, Simon Marlow, Simon Peyton Jones,
 Maurice Herlihy. Composable Memory Transactions. In

 Proceedings of PPoPP'05, 2005
- Simon Peyton Jones. Beautiful Concurrency. Chapter from *Beautiful Code*, ed. Greg Wilson, O'Reilly 2007.