

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4

More about Monads

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.1/39

This Lecture

- Monads in Haskell
- Some standard monads
- Combining effects: monad transformers

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.2/39

Monads in Haskell

In Haskell, the notion of a monad is captured by a **Type Class**:

```
class Monad m where
    return :: a -> m a
    (>>=)  :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b
```

Allows names of the common functions to be overloaded and sharing of derived definitions.

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.3/39

The Maybe Monad in Haskell

```
instance Monad Maybe where
    -- return :: a -> Maybe a
    return = Just

    -- (>>=) :: Maybe a -> (a -> Maybe b)
    --        -> Maybe b
    Nothing >>= _ = Nothing
    (Just x) >>= f = f x
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.4/39

Exercise 1: A State Monad in Haskell

Haskell 98 does not permit type synonyms to be instances of classes. Hence we have to define a new type:

```
newtype S a = S (Int -> (a, Int))
```

```
unS :: S a -> (Int -> (a, Int))
```

```
unS (S f) = f
```

Provide a Monad instance for S.

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.5/39

Exercise 1: Solution

```
instance Monad S where
```

```
  return a = S (\s -> (a, s))
```

```
  m >>= f = S $ \s ->
```

```
    let (a, s') = unS m s
```

```
    in unS (f a) s'
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.8/39

Monad-specific Operations (1)

To be useful, monads need to be equipped with additional operations specific to the effects in question. For example:

```
fail :: String -> Maybe a
```

```
fail s = Nothing
```

```
catch :: Maybe a -> Maybe a -> Maybe a
```

```
m1 `catch` m2 =
```

```
  case m1 of
```

```
    Just _ -> m1
```

```
    Nothing -> m2
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.7/39

Monad-specific Operations (2)

Typical operations on a state monad:

```
set :: Int -> S ()
```

```
set a = S (\_ -> ((), a))
```

```
get :: S Int
```

```
get = S (\s -> (s, s))
```

Moreover, need to “run” a computation. E.g.:

```
runS :: S a -> a
```

```
runS m = fst (unS m 0)
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.8/39

The do-notation (1)

Haskell provides convenient syntax for programming with monads:

```
do
  a <- exp1
  b <- exp2
  return exp3
```

is syntactic sugar for

```
exp1 >>= \a ->
exp2 >>= \b ->
return exp3
```

The do-notation (3)

A let-construct is also provided:

```
do
  let a = exp1
      b = exp2
  return exp3
```

is equivalent to

```
do
  a <- return exp1
  b <- return exp2
  return exp3
```

The do-notation (2)

Computations can be done solely for effect, ignoring the computed value:

```
do
  exp1
  exp2
  return exp3
```

is syntactic sugar for

```
exp1 >>= \_ ->
exp2 >>= \_ ->
return exp3
```

Numbering Trees in do-notation

```
numberTree :: Tree a -> Tree Int
numberTree t = runS (ntAux t)
  where
    ntAux :: Tree a -> S (Tree Int)
    ntAux (Leaf _) = do
      n <- get
      set (n + 1)
      return (Leaf n)
    ntAux (Node t1 t2) = do
      t1' <- ntAux t1
      t2' <- ntAux t2
      return (Node t1' t2')
```

The Compiler Fragment Revisited (1)

Given a suitable “Diagnostics” monad D that collects error messages, `enterVar` can be turned from this:

```
enterVar :: Id -> Int -> Type -> Env
          -> Either Env ErrorMgs
```

into this:

```
enterVarD :: Id -> Int -> Type -> Env
           -> D Env
```

and then `identDefs` from this ...

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.13/39

The Compiler Fragment Revisited (2)

```
identDefs l env [] = ([], env, [])
identDefs l env ((i,t,e) : ds) =
  ((i,t,e') : ds', env'', ms1++ms2++ms3)
  where
    (e', ms1) = identAux l env e
    (env', ms2) =
      case enterVar i l t env of
        Left env' -> (env', [])
        Right m   -> (env, [m])
    (ds', env'', ms3) =
      identDefs l env' ds
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.14/39

The Compiler Fragment Revisited (3)

into this:

```
identDefsD l env [] = return ([], env)
identDefsD l env ((i,t,e) : ds) = do
  e'          <- identAuxD l env e
  env'        <- enterVarD i l t env
  (ds', env'') <- identDefsD l env' ds
  return ((i,t,e') : ds', env'')
```

(Suffix D just to remind us the types have changed.)

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.15/39

The Compiler Fragment Revisited (4)

Compare with the “core” identified earlier!

```
identDefs l env [] = ([], env)
identDefs l env ((i,t,e) : ds) =
  ((i,t,e') : ds', env'')
  where
    e'          = identAux l env e
    env'        = enterVar i l t env
    (ds', env'') = identDefs l env' ds
```

The monadic version is very close to ideal, without sacrificing functionality, clarity, or pureness!

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.16/39

The List Monad

Computation with many possible results,
“nondeterminism”

```
instance Monad [] where
  return a = [a]
  m >>= f = concat (map f m)
  fail s   = []
```

Example:

Result:

```
x <- [1, 2]      [(1,'a'),(1,'b'),
y <- ['a', 'b'] [(2,'a'),(2,'b')]
return (x,y)
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.17/39

The Reader Monad

Computation in an environment:

```
instance Monad ((->) e) where
  return a = const a
  m >>= f = \e -> f (m e) e
```

```
getEnv :: ((->) e) e
getEnv = id
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.18/39

The Haskell IO Monad

In Haskell, IO is handled through the IO monad.
IO is **abstract!** Conceptually:

```
newtype IO a = IO (World -> (a, World))
```

Some operations:

```
putChar    :: Char -> IO ()
putStr     :: String -> IO ()
putStrLn  :: String -> IO ()
getChar    :: IO Char
getLine    :: IO String
getContents :: String
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.19/39

Monad Transformers (1)

What if we need to support more than one type
of effect?

For example: State and Error/Partiality?

We could implement a suitable monad from
scratch:

```
newtype SE s a = SE (s -> Maybe (a, s))
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.20/39

Monad Transformers (2)

However:

- Not always obvious how: e.g., should the combination of state and error have been

```
newtype SE s a = SE (s -> (Maybe a, s))
```

- Duplication of effort: similar patterns related to specific effects are going to be repeated over and over in the various combinations.

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.21/39

Monad Transformers (3)

Monad Transformers can help:

- A **monad transformer** transforms a monad by adding support for an additional effect.
- A library of monad transformers can be developed, each adding a specific effect (state, error, ...), allowing the programmer to mix and match.
- A form of **aspect-oriented programming**.

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.22/39

Monad Transformers in Haskell (1)

- A **monad transformer** maps monads to monads. Represented by a type constructor T of the following kind:

```
T :: (* -> *) -> (* -> *)
```

- Additionally, a monad transformer **adds** computational effects. A mapping `lift` from computations in the underlying monad to computations in the transformed monad is needed:

```
lift :: M a -> T M a
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.23/39

Monad Transformers in Haskell (2)

- These requirements are captured by the following (multi-parameter) type class:

```
class (Monad m, Monad (t m))
    => MonadTransformer t m where
    lift :: m a -> t m a
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.24/39

Classes for Specific Effects

A monad transformer adds specific effects to *any* monad. Thus the effect-specific operations needs to be overloaded. For example:

```
class Monad m => E m where
  eFail :: m a
  eHandle :: m a -> m a -> m a
```

```
class Monad m => S m s | m -> s where
  sSet :: s -> m ()
  sGet :: m s
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.25/39

The Identity Monad

We are going to construct monads by successive transformations of the identity monad:

```
newtype I a = I a
unI (I a) = a
```

```
instance Monad I where
  return a = I a
  m >>= f = f (unI m)
```

```
runI :: I a -> a
runI = unI
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.26/39

The Error Monad Transformer (1)

```
newtype ET m a = ET (m (Maybe a))
unET (ET m) = m
```

Any monad transformed by ET is a monad:

```
instance Monad m => Monad (ET m) where
  return a = ET (return (Just a))
```

```
m >>= f = ET $ do
  ma <- unET m
  case ma of
    Nothing -> return Nothing
    Just a -> unET (f a)
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.27/39

The Error Monad Transformer (2)

We need the ability to run transformed monads:

```
runET :: Monad m => ET m a -> m a
runET etm = do
  ma <- unET etm
  case ma of
    Just a -> return a
```

ET is a monad transformer:

```
instance Monad m =>
  MonadTransformer ET m where
  lift m = ET (m >>= \a -> return (Just a))
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.28/39

The Error Monad Transformer (3)

Any monad transformed by `ET` is an instance of `E`:

```
instance Monad m => E (ET m) where
  eFail = ET (return Nothing)
  m1 `eHandle` m2 = ET $ do
    ma <- unET m1
    case ma of
      Nothing -> unET m2
      Just _  -> return ma
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.29/39

The Error Monad Transformer (4)

A state monad transformed by `ET` is a state monad:

```
instance S m s => S (ET m) s where
  sSet s = lift (sSet s)
  sGet = lift sGet
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.30/39

Exercise 2: Running Transf. Monads

Let

```
ex2 = eFail `eHandle` return 1
```

1. Suggest a possible type for `ex2`. (Assume `1 :: Int`.)
2. Given your type, use the appropriate combination of “run functions” to run `ex2`.

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.31/39

Exercise 2: Solution

```
ex2 :: ET I Int
ex2 = eFail `eHandle` return 1
```

```
ex2result :: Int
ex2result = runI (runET ex2)
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.32/39

The State Monad Transformer (1)

```
newtype ST s m a = ST (s -> m (a, s))
unST (ST m) = m
```

Any monad transformed by ST is a monad:

```
instance Monad m => Monad (ST s m) where
  return a = ST (\s -> return (a, s))
```

```
m >>= f = ST $ \s -> do
  (a, s') <- unST m s
  unST (f a) s'
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.33/39

The State Monad Transformer (2)

We need the ability to run transformed monads:

```
runST :: Monad m => ST s m a -> s -> m a
runST stf s0 = do
  (a, _) <- unST stf s0
  return a
```

ST is a monad transformer:

```
instance Monad m =>
  MonadTransformer (ST s) m where
  lift m = ST (\s -> m >>= \a ->
    return (a, s))
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.34/39

The State Monad Transformer (3)

Any monad transformed by ST is an instance of S:

```
instance Monad m => S (ST s m) s where
  sSet s = ST (\_ -> return ((), s))
  sGet   = ST (\s -> return (s, s))
```

An error monad transformed by ST is an error monad:

```
instance E m => E (ST s m) where
  eFail = lift eFail
  m1 `eHandle` m2 = ST $ \s ->
    unST m1 s `eHandle` unST m2 s
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.35/39

Exercise 3: Effect Ordering

Consider the code fragment

```
ex3a :: (ST Int (ET I)) Int
ex3a = (sSet 42 >> eFail) `eHandle` sGet
```

Note that the exact same code fragment also can be typed as follows:

```
ex3b :: (ET (ST Int I)) Int
ex3b = (sSet 42 >> eFail) `eHandle` sGet
```

What is

```
runI (runET (runST ex3a 0))
runI (runST (runET ex3b) 0)
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.36/39

Exercise 3: Solution

```
runI (runET (runST ex3a 0)) = 0
runI (runST (runET ex3b) 0) = 42
```

Why? Because:

```
ST s (ET I) a ≅ s -> (ET I) (a, s)
               ≅ s -> I (Maybe (a, s))
               ≅ s -> Maybe (a, s)
ET (ST s I) a ≅ (ST s I) (Maybe a)
               ≅ s -> I (Maybe a, s)
               ≅ s -> (Maybe a, s)
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.37/39

Reading

- Nick Benton, John Hughes, Eugenio Moggi. Monads and Effects. In *International Summer School on Applied Semantics 2000*, Caminha, Portugal, 2000.
- Sheng Liang, Paul Hudak, Mark Jones. Monad Transformers and Modular Interpreters. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL'95)*, January 1995, San Francisco, California

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.39/39

Exercise 4: Alternative ST?

To think about.

Could `ST` have been defined in some other way, e.g.

```
newtype ST s m a = ST (m (s -> (a, s)))
```

or perhaps

```
newtype ST s m a = ST (s -> (m a, s))
```

MGS 2009: FUN Lecture 4 – p.38/39