## Handout for G53KRR lecture on resolution .

- 1. Reducing a first order sentence to clausal normal form.
- 1. eliminate  $\supset$  and  $\equiv$  using

$$(\alpha \supset \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \lor \beta)$$
$$(\alpha \equiv \beta) \equiv ((\alpha \supset \beta) \land (\beta \supset \alpha))$$

2. move  $\neg$  inward so that it appears only in front of an atom, using

$$\neg \neg \alpha \equiv \alpha$$
$$\neg (\alpha \land \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \lor \neg \beta)$$
$$\neg (\alpha \lor \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \land \neg \beta)$$
$$\neg \forall x \alpha \equiv \exists x \neg \alpha$$
$$\neg \exists x \alpha \equiv \forall x \neg \alpha$$

3. ensure that each quantifier has a distinct variable by renaming:

$$\forall x \alpha \equiv \forall y \alpha(x/y)$$
$$\exists x \alpha \equiv \exists y \alpha(x/y)$$

where y does not occur in  $\forall x \alpha$  and  $\exists x \alpha$  and  $\alpha(x/y)$  means  $\alpha$  with all occurrences of x replaced by y.

- 4. eliminate existentials using Skolemisation:
  - if  $\exists x \alpha$  is not in the scope of any universal quantifiers, then we replace  $\exists x \alpha$  with  $\alpha(a)$  where a is a new constant called a Skolem constant. (It should be different for every existential quantifier).
  - if  $\exists x \alpha$  is in the scope of universal quantifiers  $\forall x_1, \ldots, \forall x_n$  (and these are all universal quantifiers it is in the scope of):

$$\forall x_1(\ldots \forall x_2 \ldots \forall x_n(\ldots \exists x\alpha) \ldots)$$

then replace  $\exists x \alpha$  by  $\alpha(x/f(x_1, \ldots, x_n))$  where f is a Skolem function (again use a different Skolem function for every existential quantifier). Example:  $\exists x_1 \exists x_2 \forall y \exists z P(x_1, x_2, y, z)$  becomes  $\forall y P(c_1, c_2, y, f(y))$ .

5. Move universals outside the scope of  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  using the following equivalences (provided x is not free in  $\alpha$ ):

$$(\alpha \land \forall x\beta) \equiv \forall x(\alpha \land \beta)$$
$$(\alpha \lor \forall x\beta) \equiv \forall x(\alpha \lor \beta)$$

6. We now got  $\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \alpha$  where  $\alpha$  does not contain quantifiers. Reduce  $\alpha$  to CNF as before using distributivity:

$$\alpha \lor (\beta \land \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \lor \beta) \land (\alpha \lor \gamma)$$

7. Collect terms:

$$(\alpha \lor \alpha) \equiv \alpha$$
$$(\alpha \land \alpha) \equiv \alpha$$

8. Reduce to clausal form by dropping universal quantifiers and conjunctions and making disjunctions into clauses (lists of literals):

$$\forall x((P(x) \lor \neg R(a, f(b, x))) \land Q(x, y))$$

becomes

$$[P(x), \neg R(a, f(b, x))], [Q(x, y)]$$

- 9. Actually need also to do *factoring*: if a clause contains two literals  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  which unify, only leave one of them (more general).
- 2. Substitution and unification.

A substitution  $\theta$  is a finite set of pairs  $\{x_1/t_1, \ldots, x_n/t_n\}$  where  $x_i$  are distinct variables and  $t_i$  are arbitrary terms (could all be the same variable y, or f(x, y, b) or whatever). If  $\rho$  is a literal then  $\rho\theta$  is a literal which results from simultaneously substituting each  $x_i$  in  $\rho$  by  $t_i$ . Same for clauses: if c is a clause the  $c\theta$  is the result of applying the substitution to all literals in c.

For example, if  $\theta = \{x/a, y/g(x, b, z)\}$  then

$$\begin{split} [P(x), \neg R(a, f(b, x))]\theta &= [P(a), \neg R(a, f(b, a))]\\ [Q(x, y)]\theta &= [Q(a, g(x, b, z))] \end{split}$$

 $\theta$  unifies (is a unifier for) two literals  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  if  $\rho_1\theta = \rho_2\theta$ . For example, P(x, f(x)) and P(y, f(a)) are unified by  $\theta = \{x/a, y/a\}$ .

3. General rule of resolution:

$$\frac{c_1 \cup \{\rho_1\} \quad c_2 \cup \{\neg \rho_2\}}{(c_1 \cup c_2)\theta}$$

where  $\theta$  unifies  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ :  $\rho_1\theta = \rho_2\theta$ . We also assume that we renamed all variables in  $c_1 \cup \{\rho_1\}$  and  $c_2 \cup \{\neg \rho_2\}$  so that each clause has its distinct variables. Example:

$$\frac{[\neg Man(x), Mortal(x)] \quad [Man(socrates)]}{[Mortal(socrates)]}$$

using  $\theta = \{x/socrates\}$ . (So  $[Mortal(x)]\theta = [Mortal(socrates)]$ .)