

G53KRR

Revision

Plan of the lecture

- exam format
- common mistakes
- Bayesian networks
- description logic

Exam format

- same as before, 4 questions out of 6
- previous papers and answers on the web

Common mistakes 1

First order logic question: show that S_1 , S_2 do not logically entail S_3

- Correct answer: describe an interpretation which makes S_1 and S_2 true and S_3 false
- Don't:
 - use truth tables for first order sentences
 - attempt a resolution derivation of \perp from S_1 , S_2 and $\neg S_3$, and then stop and say 'see, it does not work, so S_3 is not entailed'

Common mistakes 2

Resolution

- don't apply resolution to two literals at the same time:

$$\text{MISTAKE : } \frac{[A, B], [\neg A, \neg B]}{[]}$$

it is not sound! $A \vee B$ and $\neg A \vee \neg B$ should not derive false.

- only substitute for variables (not constants or functional terms)
Don't do $f(x)/a$ or $a/f(x)$.

$$\text{MISTAKE : } \frac{[(P(f(x)))], [\neg P(a)]}{[]}$$

Bayesian networks

- Directed acyclic graph
- Nodes: propositional variables; a directed edge from p_i to p_j if the truth of p_i affects the truth of p_j . p_i parent of p_j .

$$J(\langle P_1, \dots, P_n \rangle) = Pr(P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n)$$

- Chain rule

$$Pr(P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n) = Pr(P_1) \cdot Pr(P_2|P_1) \cdot \dots \cdot Pr(P_n|P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_{n-1})$$

- Independence assumption *Each propositional variable in the belief network is conditionally independent from non-parent variables given its parent variables:*

$$Pr(P_i | P_1 \wedge \dots \wedge P_{i-1}) = Pr(P_i | \text{parents}(P_i))$$

where $\text{parents}(P_i)$ is the conjunction of literals which correspond to parents of p_i in the network.

Mistake 3

- Mistake: suppose a network consists of two variables, p_1 and p_2 , such that there is an edge from p_1 to p_2 . The mistake is to say that $Pr(p_1 | p_2) = Pr(p_1)$ because p_2 is not a parent of p_1 (so apply the independence assumption 'in reverse order of indices')
- This is a much more subtle (and understandable given the way the independence assumption is stated) mistake. I actually did not penalise the students who made it in the last year exam.
- The independence assumption statement on the previous slide is a bit sweeping
- In reality we assume that in the state description, the variables are listed in topological sort order (if there is an edge from p_i to p_j , then p_i appears before p_j in the order). This is always possible since the graph is acyclic.
- Independence assumption only applies to this order of indices. It does not apply to the probability of a parent conditioned on a child or a set of descendants.