

**G53KRR exercise on description logic.**

- State a definition of a concept  $C$  which is: a person who has a daughter and a son. Assume that you have concepts  $Person$ ,  $Female$  and a role  $Child$ .

*Answer.*  $\exists Child.Female \sqcap \exists Child.\neg Female$  (I translated a son as a non-female child).

- Is it always true that  $\exists R.C \sqsubseteq \forall R.C$ ?

*Answer.* No.  $\exists R.C$  describes objects which have an  $R$ -edge to some object which is in  $C$ . For example,  $\exists Child.Female$  describes people who have a daughter.  $\forall R.C$  describes objects where all  $R$ -links (if they exist) lead to a  $C$  object. For example,  $\forall Child.Female$  describes people who only have female children, if they have any children at all. Clearly the set of people who have a daughter (and maybe sons as well) is not included in the set of people who only have female children.

- Is it always true that  $\exists R.(C_1 \sqcap C_2) \sqsubseteq \exists R.C_1$ ?

*Answer.* Yes. If an object has an  $R$ -link to something in  $C_1$  and in  $C_2$ , then it has (the same) link to something which is in  $C_1$ .