

### Handout for G53KRR lecture on resolution

1. Reducing a first order sentence to clausal normal form.

1. eliminate  $\supset$  and  $\equiv$  using

$$(\alpha \supset \beta) \equiv (\neg\alpha \vee \beta)$$

$$(\alpha \equiv \beta) \equiv ((\alpha \supset \beta) \wedge (\beta \supset \alpha))$$

2. move  $\neg$  inward so that it appears only in front of an atom, using

$$\neg\neg\alpha \equiv \alpha$$

$$\neg(\alpha \wedge \beta) \equiv (\neg\alpha \vee \neg\beta)$$

$$\neg(\alpha \vee \beta) \equiv (\neg\alpha \wedge \neg\beta)$$

$$\neg\forall x\alpha \equiv \exists x\neg\alpha$$

$$\neg\exists x\alpha \equiv \forall x\neg\alpha$$

3. ensure that each quantifier has a distinct variable by renaming:

$$\forall x\alpha \equiv \forall y\alpha(x/y)$$

$$\exists x\alpha \equiv \exists y\alpha(x/y)$$

where  $y$  does not occur in  $\forall x\alpha$  and  $\exists x\alpha$  and  $\alpha(x/y)$  means  $\alpha$  with all occurrences of  $x$  replaced by  $y$ .

4. eliminate existentials using Skolemisation:

if  $\exists x\alpha$  is not in the scope of any universal quantifiers, then we replace  $\exists x\alpha$  with  $\alpha(a)$  where  $a$  is a new constant called a Skolem constant. (It should be different for every existential quantifier).

if  $\exists x\alpha$  is in the scope of universal quantifiers  $\forall x_1, \dots, \forall x_n$  (and these are all universal quantifiers it is in the scope of):

$$\forall x_1(\dots\forall x_2\dots\forall x_n(\dots\exists x\alpha)\dots)$$

then replace  $\exists x\alpha$  by  $\alpha(x/f(x_1, \dots, x_n))$  where  $f$  is a Skolem function (again use a different Skolem function for every existential quantifier).

Example:  $\exists x_1\exists x_2\forall y\exists zP(x_1, x_2, y, z)$  becomes  $\forall yP(c_1, c_2, y, f(y))$ .

5. Move universals outside the scope of  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  using the following equivalences (provided  $x$  is not free in  $\alpha$ ):

$$(\alpha \wedge \forall x\beta) \equiv \forall x(\alpha \wedge \beta)$$

$$(\alpha \vee \forall x\beta) \equiv \forall x(\alpha \vee \beta)$$

6. We now got  $\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \alpha$  where  $\alpha$  does not contain quantifiers. Reduce  $\alpha$  to CNF as before using distributivity:

$$\alpha \vee (\beta \wedge \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \vee \beta) \wedge (\alpha \vee \gamma)$$

7. Collect terms:

$$(\alpha \vee \alpha) \equiv \alpha$$

$$(\alpha \wedge \alpha) \equiv \alpha$$

8. Reduce to clausal form by dropping universal quantifiers and conjunctions and making disjunctions into clauses (lists of literals):

$$\forall x((P(x) \vee \neg R(a, f(b, x))) \wedge Q(x, y))$$

becomes

$$[P(x), \neg R(a, f(b, x))], [Q(x, y)]$$

9. Actually need also to do *factoring*: if a clause contains two literals  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  which unify in both directions (there is a substitution  $\theta$  such that  $\rho_1\theta = \rho_2$  and  $\theta'$  such that  $\rho_2\theta' = \rho_1$ ) then replace them with a single literal. For example,  $[P(x), P(y)]$  becomes  $[P(x)]$ .

## 2. Substitution and unification.

A substitution  $\theta$  is a finite set of pairs  $\{x_1/t_1, \dots, x_n/t_n\}$  where  $x_i$  are distinct variables and  $t_i$  are arbitrary terms (could all be the same variable  $y$ , or  $f(x, y, b)$  or whatever). If  $\rho$  is a literal then  $\rho\theta$  is a literal which results from simultaneously substituting each  $x_i$  in  $\rho$  by  $t_i$ . Same for clauses: if  $c$  is a clause the  $c\theta$  is the result of applying the substitution to all literals in  $c$ .

For example, if  $\theta = \{x/a, y/g(x, b, z)\}$  then

$$[P(x), \neg R(a, f(b, x))]\theta = [P(a), \neg R(a, f(b, a))]$$

$$[Q(x, y)]\theta = [Q(a, g(x, b, z))]$$

$\theta$  unifies (is a unifier for) two literals  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  if  $\rho_1\theta = \rho_2\theta$ . For example,  $P(x, f(x))$  and  $P(y, f(a))$  are unified by  $\theta = \{x/a, y/a\}$ .

## 3. General rule of resolution:

$$\frac{c_1 \cup \{\rho_1\} \quad c_2 \cup \{\neg\rho_2\}}{(c_1 \cup c_2)\theta}$$

where  $\theta$  unifies  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ :  $\rho_1\theta = \rho_2\theta$ . We also assume that we renamed all variables in  $c_1 \cup \{\rho_1\}$  and  $c_2 \cup \{\neg\rho_2\}$  so that each clause has its distinct variables.

Example:

$$\frac{[\neg Man(x), Mortal(x)] \quad [Man(socrates)]}{[Mortal(socrates)]}$$

using  $\theta = \{x/socrates\}$ . (So  $[Mortal(x)]\theta = [Mortal(socrates)]$ .)