## DFS, BFS, cycle detection

- Previous lecture
- What is a graph
- What are they used for
- Terminology
- Implementing graphs
create a queue 0
mark $v$ as visited and put $v$ into $Q$
while $Q$ is non-empty
remove the head $u$ of $Q$
mark and enqueue all (unvisited) neighbours of $u$

BFS starting from vertex $v$ :


## Today and tomorrow:

- Depth-first and breadth-first search
- Using DFS to detect cycles in directed graphs
- Complexity of breadth-first search
- Complexity of depth-first search

BFS starting from A:
$\mathrm{Q}=\{\mathrm{A}\}$




## Simple DFS

DFS starting from vertex v :
create a stack $S$
mark $v$ as visited and push $v$ onto $S$
while $S$ is non-empty
peek at the top $u$ of $S$
if $u$ has an (unvisited) neighbour $w$,
mark $w$ and push it onto $S$
else pop $S$


DFS starting from $A$ :


DFS starting from A:




DFS starting from A:


## Modification of depth first search

## Modification of depth first search

- How to get DFS to detect cycles in a directed graph: idea: if we encounter a vertex which is already on the stack, we found a loop (stack contains vertices on a path, and if we see the same vertex again, the path must contain a cycle).

Modified DFS starting from v :
all vertices coloured white
create a stack $S$
colour $v$ gray and push $v$ onto $S$
while $S$ is non-empty

- Instead of visited and unvisited, use three colours:
peek at the top $u$ of $S$
white = unvisited
- gray = on the stack
- black $=$ finished (we backtracked from it, seen everywhere we can reach from it)
if $u$ has a gray neighbour, there is a cycle
else if $u$ has a white neighbour $w$,
colour $w$ gray and push it onto $S$
else colour u black and pop $S$

Tracing modified DFS from A
Tracing modified DFS from A



Tracing modified DFS from A


Tracing modified DFS from A
Tracing modified DFS from A





## Pseudocode for BFS and DFS

- To compute complexity, I will be referring to an adjacency list implementation
- Assume that we have a method which returns the first unmarked vertex adjacent to a given one
GraphNode firstUnmarkedAdj (GraphNode v) list of v's neighbours
$\mathrm{v} \longrightarrow \mathrm{u}$ (marked) $\rightarrow \mathrm{u} 2$ (unmarked) $\rightarrow \mathrm{u} 3$ (unmarked) $\uparrow$ bookmark


## Implementation of firstUnmarkedAdj()

- We keep a pointer into the adjacency list of each vertex so that we do not start to traverse the list of adjacent vertices from the beginning each time.
v
$\longrightarrow \mathrm{u} 1$ (marked) $\rightarrow \mathrm{u} 2$ (unmarked) $\rightarrow \mathrm{u} 3$ (unmarked)
$\qquad$
currUnmarkedAdj $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$

Pseudocode for breadth-first search starting from vertex $s$
 // GraphNode
Queue $Q=$ new Queue();
Q.enqueue (s);
while(! Q.isempty()) \{
$v=Q$.dequeue ();
$\mathbf{u}=$ firstUnmarkedAdj(v);
while (u ! = null) \{
u.marked = true;
Q.enqueue (u);
$u=$ firstUnmarkedAdj (v) ; \} \} \}

## Pseudocode for DFS

```
s.marked = true;
```

Stack $S=$ new Stack();
S.push(s);
while(! S.isempty()) \{
v = S.peek();
$\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{firstUnmarkedAdj}(\mathrm{v})$;
if (u == null) S.pop();
else \{
u.marked = true;
S.push (u) ;
\}
\}

Space Complexity of BFS and DFS

- Need a queue/stack of size $|\mathrm{V}|$ (the number of vertices). Space complexity O(V).


## Time Complexity of BFS and DFS

- In terms of the number of vertices V : two nested loops over V , hence $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{V}^{2}\right)$.
- More useful complexity estimate is in terms of the number of edges. Usually, the number of edges is less than $\mathrm{V}^{2}$.


Time complexity of BFS
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## Complexity of breadth-first search

- Assume an adjacency list representation, V is the number of vertices, $E$ the number of edges.
- Each vertex is enqueued and dequeued at most once.
- Scanning for all adjacent vertices takes $\mathrm{O}(|\mathrm{E}|)$ time, since sum of lengths of adjacency lists is $|E|$
- Gives a $\mathrm{O}(|\mathrm{V}|+|\mathrm{E}|)$ time complexity.


## Complexity of depth-first search

- Each vertex is pushed on the stack and popped at most once.
- For every vertex we check what the next unvisited neighbour is.
- In our implementation, we traverse the adjacency list only once. This gives $\mathrm{O}(|\mathrm{V}|+|\mathrm{E}|)$ again.

