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Abstract We describe progress towards a workforce management system in which personnel scheduling is integrated with other important processes such as payroll processing, attendance and absence recording, staffing forecast and planning, etc. Our focus is on customer-oriented sectors in which a considerable proportion of the available workforce is part-time. First, we discuss the importance of having an integrated workforce management strategy and then, we address the particular aspects of shift pattern design and staff allocation using a fast-food restaurant and a leisure centre as examples.
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1 Introduction

In addition to making an efficient use of the available personnel, a workforce management strategy should align personnel skills to customer needs in order to help improving the overall customer satisfaction. Moreover, adequate integration of the scheduling process helps businesses to quickly respond to customer needs that could affect the overall staffing strategy. The integration of workforce scheduling within an overall workforce management strategy is crucial to achieve high level workforce utilisation. According to (Belkin 2009), many retailers do not have a workforce scheduling process in place and many do not integrate scheduling within the overall workforce management strategy.

Many businesses with wide working hours and varying requirements use a form of manual shift schedules to identify which staff will be working at any period. Simple rotation procedures are used to ensure fairness. But in many scenarios, it is difficult to make an accurate estimation of workforce demand and the shifts lead to over or under manning and a high reliance on temporary staffing from agencies. Our research has shown that unless
Fig. 1 Holistic approach to workforce management by Midland HR.

the workload pattern is highly regulated, non-standard shift patterns are required. But truly variable shift patterns can be unpopular with staff and a compromise is required between the staff’s need for some sort of pattern and the business requirement to ensure minimum costs.

Midland HR is a UK company specialised in providing software solutions for HR management including payroll processing, attendance/absence management, staffing forecast and planning, HR administration, workforce analytics, etc. The company aims to offer a workforce management integral solution that focuses on putting the right employee in the right place at the right time. Fig. 1 depicts the main components used by our system, iTrent, when tackling rostering problems. Data is entered as part of concurrent non-rostering processes and by different parts of the business. In our approach every allocation is made to a position instead of to a person. This brings flexibility when replacing staff and allows future, already planned rosters, to remain the same.

In general, we take into account employee skills and availability. Every employee has a defined profile which could vary from time to time depending on career progression. This profile tries to encapsulate employee skills that are of significant use to the business. Similarly, every duty, task or assignment must have a record of the necessary skills needed to perform it. Positions are then grouped in workforce pools which are completely user defined, depending on the scenario. For management purposes, a set of rosters are organised in projects. A project is a meaningful way of assigning common task and workforce pools to several rosters. Finally, a work unit is a performed task in time, i.e. a pair duty-timeslot. Work-units can be allocated or not. Constraints are taken into account when assigning staff to rosters. Currently we have a reduced set of implemented yet flexible constraints but a long list of future ones depending on the industry sector. Our aim is to integrate this rostering system into a general HR and Payroll solution, and therefore, other aspects such as cost of staff including (salary, pension, etc), absences and skills play a significant part in the system.
2 Workforce Scheduling Approach

The process of workforce scheduling usually involves several stages such as: 1) demand modelling, 2) shift pattern design, 3) duty assignment and 4) staff assignment (Ernst et al 2004; Tien and Kamiyama 1982). Using a fast-food restaurant and a leisure centre as example scenarios, we give an insight into our work towards developing an integrated solution.

2.1 Fast-Food Restaurant Scenario

The rostering period is 1 week. The manager receives from the head office the estimated work demand in the form of a forecast with the number of employees needed in each timeslot of the week to ensure that the restaurant runs efficiently. Following this forecast the manager should assign shifts and duties to employees in order to construct the roster. The aim is to follow the head office forecast as closely as possible while constructing a practical roster that satisfies staff preferences and payroll budget. The forecast gives the estimated number of employees needed for each of the duty types such as: ‘back-of-house’ (BoH) staff (working in the kitchen), ‘front-of-house’ (FoH) staff (interacting with customers), ‘management’ (MG) staff, etc. Most employees are part-time working a number of hours per week according to their particular availability. A number of constraints exist in this scenario, but the ones that relate directly to the design of shift patterns are: (a) an employee works a maximum number of hours per week; (b) an employee works a maximum number of hours per day; (c) the maximum length of a shift is 8 hours; (d) the minimum length of a shift is 3 hours; (e) full-time employees must have 1 day-off per week; (f) shift splits are permitted with a 1 hour break minimum; (g) a ‘closing’ shift must not be followed by an ‘opening’ shift (nights not considered a break). The design of shift patterns (Musliu et al 2004; Di Gaspero et al 2007) is very important in this scenario given the variability in workforce demand and availability.

2.2 Leisure Centre Scenario

The rostering period is 1 week but currently the centre uses a combination of 5 week, 4 week and 3 week shift patterns with a manual rotation of staff. Since the leisure centre publishes opening hours for many of its facilities, the boundaries of duties are known. A class schedule is also agreed and published on a 10 week basis, approximating to school terms. There are specific tasks that happen at set times daily (e.g. opening and closing the centre which requires 2 staff and manning the reception) and weekly (Tuesday afternoon staff training session). However, within this seemingly simple staffing requirement there are a number of variables: (a) the public can book private parties in the pool and/or children’s play area (these require additional staff); (b) customer demand for public sessions can lead to more lifeguards being required; (c) special sport events in the pool or other sports facilities. Weekend and school holidays follow different routines. Staff fall into 3 categories: salaried staff (managers, lifeguards, dry-side staff and receptionists), contracted staff (class instructors) and occasional staff (weekend staff and holiday staff). Most employees are part-time working an agreed number of hours per week. Adjustments to the roster are common because of staff absences and because staff can change their assigned duty under certain circumstances. While assigning staff and repairing changes to the roster are key in this scenario, designing shift patterns is not because shifts are static most of the times (although there is also a desire to review and improve on the static rotating shift patterns being used).
2.3 Shift Pattern Design and Work Unit Allocation

To facilitate the integration of the scheduling process within the workforce management strategy, we employ the structure shown in Figure 2. For each pair duty-timeslot, the corresponding node contains: the number of personnel required, a list of personnel that can be selected (with the required ability and availability) and a heuristic selection strategy that is used to make the assignment of staff to that duty-timeslot. This arrangement allows flexibility to sort the list of personnel within each node according to different criteria to suit the particular assignment heuristic strategy.

**Shift Pattern Design and Work Unit Allocation Procedure.** Steps to design shift patterns that aim to satisfy work demand while also assigning staff to work units (i.e. pairs duty-timeslot). Some variables are defined first:

- $R_{dt}$: minimum number of employees required to work duty $d$ on timeslot $t$.
- $X_{dt}$: number of employees assigned to work duty $d$ on timeslot $t$. It is assumed that only employees with the required ability and availability are assigned.
- $R'_{dt}$: number of employees currently required to work duty $d$ on timeslot $t$. This value is given by $R_{dt} - X_{dt}$ and it changes during the procedure.
- $E_{dt}$: initial number of employees with ability and availability to work duty $d$ on timeslot $t$, this value is fixed.
- $E'_{dt}$: current number of employees with ability and availability to work duty $d$ on timeslot $t$. This value is given by $E_{dt} - X_{dt}$ and changes during the procedure.
- $A_{dt}$: number of employees with the ability and availability to work duty $d$ on timeslot $t$ in excess of the number currently available $E'_{dt}$. This value indicates the current upper limit in the number of employees with the required ability and availability.
Step 1. From those duty-timeslot \((d,t)\) pairs with \(R_{dt}^c > 0\), select the critical one based on some criterion, e.g. \(A_{dt}\) where the smaller the value the more critical the pair \((d,t)\). Break ties based on greater \(R_{dt}^c\), duty priority or timeslot priority. Continue processing \((d,t)\) pairs with the target of \(R_{dt}^c = 0\) for all but avoiding \(A_{dt}\) to become zero or less.

Step 2. Select employees for assignment to the \((d,t)\) critical pair. Assign consecutive \((d,t)\) pairs in line with existing constraints. The criteria used to select an employee for \((d,t)\) can be: least filled-in timeslot (those in the gap between two critical ones), least overstaffing, priority of the employee, hours needed to meet employee request, etc. Continue until all \(R_{dt}^c\) is zero or below.

Steps 1-2 are iterated to perform shift pattern design and work unit allocation simultaneously.

Step 3. Improvement phase to repair constraints and improve objective values. 3.1 Tackle constraint violations using specific moves and rules taking intra-employee or inter-employee schedules into account. 3.2 Improve on objective values using specific moves and rules.

Step 4. Perform swaps between assignments employee-duty-timeslot \((e,d,t)\) to improve the schedule, for example to reduce the changes of duties (restaurant scenario) or to reduce the payroll cost (leisure centre scenario).

Step 5. Given a change in an assignment employee-duty-timeslot \((e,d,t)\), repair the roster using ejection chain moves while satisfying relevant constraints.

The above procedure helps us to design a roster for purpose by generating tailored shift patterns when required (fast-food restaurant scenario), assigning staff to work units (pairs duty-timeslot) and repairing the roster when necessary (leisure centre scenario). The effort that a human planner normally puts in producing a roster, is reduced considerably by this automated procedure which takes into account the changing work demand forecast and variable workforce availability. Equally important is the integration of the above workforce scheduling procedure with other processes such as payroll, attendance/absence management, staffing forecast and planning, etc. In this short communication we discussed the importance of integrating workforce scheduling with other HR&Payroll processes and provided an insight into our progress towards developing Midland HR’s workforce management integral solution.
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