COMP4075: Lecture 7

Functional Programming Patterns: Functor, Foldable, and Friends

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

Type Classes and Patterns

- In Haskell, many functional programming patterns are captured through specific type classes.
- Additionally, the type class mechanism itself and the fact that overloading is prevalent in Haskell give raise to other programming patterns.

Semigroups and Monoids (1)

Semigroups and monoids are algebraic structures:

 Semigroup: a set (type) S with an associative binary operation · : S × S → S:

 $\forall a, b, c \in S : (a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$

• *Monoid*: a semigroup with an *identity element*:

$$\exists e \in S, \forall a \in S \ : \ e \cdot a = a \cdot e = a$$

Semigroups and Monoids (2)

- Semigroups and monoids are patterns that appear frequently in everyday programming.
- Being explicit about when such structures are used
 - makes code clearer
 - offer opportunities for reuse
- The standard Haskell libraries provide type classes to capture these notions.

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p.1/40

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p.2/40

Class Semigroup

Class definition (most important methods):

class Semigroup a where (\diamond) :: $a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$ sconcat :: NonEmpty $a \rightarrow a$

Minimum complete definition: (\diamond) (ASCII: <>) (There is thus a default definition for *sconcat*.)

NonEmpty is the non-empty list type:

data NonEmpty a = a : |[a]

Instances of Semigroup (2)

Addition and multiplication are associative; a numeric type with either operation forms a semigroup. But which one to pick? Both are equally useful! Idea:

- Sum a: the semigroup (a, (+))
- Product a: the semigroup (a, (*))

Instances of Semigroup (1)

A list [a] is a semigroup (for any type a):
 instance Semigroup [a] where
 (◊) = (+)

Maybe a is a semigroup if a is one:instance Semigroup a \Rightarrow Semigroup (Maybe a) whereNothing $\diamond y = y$ $x \quad \diamond$ Nothing = xJust $x \quad \diamond$ Just $y = x \diamond y$

Instances of Semigroup (3)

Semigroup instances for Sum a and Product a:

instance Num a ⇒ Semigroup (Sum a) where
 (◊) = (+)
instance Num a ⇒ Semigroup (Product a) where
 (◊) = (*)

COMP4075: Lecture 7 – p.5/40

Instances of Semigroup (4)

Similarly, any type with a total ordering forms a semigroup with maximum or minimum as the associative operation:

- Max a: the semigroup (a, max)
- Min a: the semigroup (a, min)

Semigroup instances:

instance
$$Ord \ a \Rightarrow Semigroup \ (Max \ a)$$
 where
 $(\diamond) = max$
instance $Ord \ a \Rightarrow Semigroup \ (Min \ a)$ where

COMP4075: Lecture 7 – p.9/40

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - n 11/40

 $(\diamond) = min$

Exercise: Semigroup Instances

What is the value of the following expressions?

 $\begin{array}{l} [1,3,7] \diamond [2,4] \\ Sum \ 3 \diamond Sum \ 1 \diamond Sum \ 5 \\ Just \ (Max \ 42) \diamond Nothing \diamond Just \ (Max \ 3) \\ sconcat \ (Product \ 2:| \ [Product \ 3, Product \ 4]) \\ ([1], Product \ 2) \diamond ([2,3], Product \ 3) \\ ((1:) \diamond tail) \ [4,5,6] \end{array}$

Instances of Semigroup (5)

All products of semigroups are semigroups; e.g.:

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{instance} \; (Semigroup \; a, Semigroup \; b) \\ \Rightarrow Semigroup \; (a, b) \; \textbf{where} \\ (x, y) \diamond (x', y') = (x \diamond x', y \diamond y') \end{array}$

 $a \rightarrow b$ is a semigroup if the range b is a semigroup:

COMP4075: Lecture 7 – p.10/40

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p 12/40

instance Semigroup b \Rightarrow Semigroup $(a \rightarrow b)$ where $f \diamond g = \lambda x \rightarrow f \ x \diamond g \ x$

Class Monoid

Recall: A monid is a semigroup with an identity element:

class Semigroup $a \Rightarrow Monoid \ a \text{ where}$ mempty :: a $mappend :: a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$ $mappend = (\diamond)$ $mconcat :: [a] \rightarrow a$ mconcat = foldr mappend mempty

Minimum complete definition: *mempty*

Instances of *Monoid* (1)

A list [a] is the archetypical example of a monoid:

```
instance Monoid [a] where

mempty = []
```

Any semigroup can be turned into a monoid by adjoining an identity element:

instance Semigroup a

 $\Rightarrow Monoid (Maybe a) where$ mempty = Nothing

Instances of *Monoid* (3)

Monoid instances for Min a and Max a:

instance (Ord a, Bounded a) \Rightarrow Monoid (Min a) where mempty = maxBound instance (Ord a, Bounded a) \Rightarrow Monoid (Max a) where mempty = minBound

Instances of Monoid (2)

Monoid instances for $Sum \ a$ and $Product \ a$: instance $Num \ a \Rightarrow Monoid \ (Sum \ a)$ where $mempty = Sum \ 0$ instance $Num \ a \Rightarrow Monoid \ (Product \ a)$ where $mempty = Product \ 1$

Instances of *Monoid* (4)

All products of monoids are monoids; e.g.:

instance (Monoid a, Monoid b) \Rightarrow Monoid (a, b) where mempty = (mempty, mempty)

 $a \rightarrow b$ is a monoid if the range b is a monoid:

instance Monoid $b \Rightarrow$ Monoid $(a \rightarrow b)$ where mempty _ = mempty

COMP4075: Lecture 7 – p 13/40

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p.14/40

Functors (1)

A Functor is a notion that originated in a branch of mathematics called Category Theory.

However, for our purposes, we can think of functors as type constructors T (of arity 1) for which a function map can be defined:

$$map::(a \to b) \to Ta \to Tb$$

that satisfies the following laws:

$$map \ id = id$$

$$map(f \circ g) = map \ f \circ map \ g$$

$$COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p. 17/40$$
Yunctors (3)

And trees; e.g.:

data Tree
$$a = Leaf a$$

 $\mid Node (Tree a) a (Tree a)$
 $map Tree :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow Tree a \rightarrow Tree b$
 $map Tree f (Leaf x) = Leaf (f x)$
 $map Tree f (Node l x r) = Node (map Tree f l)$
 $(f x)$
 $(map Tree f r)$

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - n 19/40

Functors (2)

Common examples of functors include (but are not limited to) *container types* like lists:

 $mapList :: (a \to b) \to [a] \to [b]$ $mapList _ [] = []$ mapList f (x : xs) = f x : mapList f xs

Class Functor (1)

Of course, the notion of a functor is captured by a type class in Haskell:

class Functor f where $fmap :: (a \to b) \to f \ a \to f \ b$ $(<\$) :: a \to f \ b \to f \ a$ $(<\$) = fmap \circ const$ COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p.18/40

Class Functor (2)

There is also an infix version that can be viewed as function application lifted over a functor:

$$(<\$>) ::: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow f \ a \rightarrow f \ b$$

 $(<\$>) = fmap$

Compare the standard infix function application operator:

$$(\$) :: (a \to b) \to a \to b$$

Instances of Functor (1)

As noted, list is a functor:

instance Functor [] where
fmap = listMap

Maybe is also a functor:

instance Functor Maybe where $fmap _ Nothing = Nothing$ fmap f (Just x) = Just (f x)

Class *Functor* (3)

However, Haskell's type system is not powerful enough to enforce the functor laws.

In general, the programmer is responsible for ensuring that an instance respects all laws associated with a type class.

Note that the type of *fmap* can be read:

 $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (f \ a \rightarrow f \ b)$

That is, we can see fmap as promoting a function to work in a different context.

Instances of Functor (2)

Container types are in general instances of functor, including *Array*:

instance Functor (Array i) where...

E.g, given a matrix m :: Array (Int, Int) Double, we can double all elements:

fmap (*2) m

COMP4075: Lecture 7 – p.21/40

COMP4075: Lecture 7 – p 22/40

Instances of *Functor* (3)

As functors are so common, there is a GHC extension for deriving *Functor* instances in standard cases.

For example, the functor instance for our tree type can be derived:

data Tree a = Leaf a | Node (Tree a) a (Tree a) deriving Functor

COMP4075: Lecture 7 – p 25/40

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - n 27/40

Nesting functors (1)

In practice, functors often appear nested inside other functors, e.g.

```
mxs :: [Maybe Double]
```

Such a structure can of course be processed by repeated mapping, e.g.:

fmap (fmap (*2)) mxs

One reading of this is "use fmap to lift (*2) to work on Maybe, and then map that over the list".

Instances of Functor (4)

The type of functions from a given domain is an example of a functor that is *not a container* type. Map is just function composition:

instance Functor $((\rightarrow) a)$ where $fmap = (\circ)$

Note that a *curried* function type, like

 $a \to b \to c = a \to (b \to c)$

thus is a *nesting* or *composition* of functors:

$$(((\rightarrow) a) (((\rightarrow) b) c)) = (((\rightarrow) a) \circ ((\rightarrow) b)) c$$

Nesting functors (2)

However, in general $f(g a) = (f \circ g) a$, meaning

 $fmap (fmap (*2)) = (fmap \circ fmap) (*2)$

suggesting the following combinator:

 $\begin{array}{l} (<\$>) ::: (Functor f, Functor g) \Rightarrow \\ (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow f \ (g \ a) \rightarrow f \ (g \ b) \\ (<\$>) = fmap \circ fmap \end{array}$

This allows us to simplify fmap (fmap (*2)) mxs to

$$(*2) < \$ > mxs$$

COMP4075: Lecture 7 – p.28/40

Nesting functors (3)

Note that the composition of *fmaps* is mirrored by composition of functors at the type level:

 $[Maybe (a)] = [] (Maybe a) = ([] \circ Maybe) a$

This can be generalized to any number levels; e.g.

(<(*2) < \Rightarrow [[[2,4],[6]],[[8]],[[10]]]

Data.Functor.Syntax defines <\$\$>, <\$\$\$>...

Class Foldable (1)

Class of data structures that can be folded to a summary value.

Many methods; minimal instance *foldMap*, *foldr*:

class Foldable t where fold :: Monoid $m \Rightarrow t \ m \to m$ $foldMap :: Monoid \ m \Rightarrow (a \to m) \to t \ a \to m$

$$foldr \qquad :: (a \to b \to b) \to b \to t \ a \to b$$
$$foldr' \qquad :: (a \to b \to b) \to b \to t \ a \to b$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} foldl & :: (b \to a \to b) \to b \to t \ a \to b \\ foldl' & :: (b \to a \to b) \to b \to t \ a \to b \end{array}$$

$$(a \to b) \to b \to t \ a \to b$$

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - n 31/40

COMP4075: Lecture 7 – p.29/40

Nesting functors (4)

Note that we also could have defined:

(<\$>) = fmap fmap fmap

Whv?

Exploiting that curried function types are composed functors, <\$\$>, <\$\$\$> ... can compose functions where the second function has arity 2, 3, ...:

 $f :: Bool \rightarrow Double \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Double$ (>0) < \$ $= Int \rightarrow Bool$

This is often quite handy in practice.

Class Foldable (2)

(continued)

```
foldr1 :: (a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow t \ a \rightarrow a
foldl1 :: (a \to a \to a) \to t \ a \to a
toList :: t \ a \to [a]
null :: t \ a \rightarrow Bool
length :: t \ a \to Int
elem :: Eq a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow t a \rightarrow Bool
```

(Note that *length* should be understood as *size*.)

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p.30/40

Class Foldable (3)

(continued)

```
\begin{array}{l} maximum :: Ord \ a \Rightarrow t \ a \rightarrow a \\ minimum :: Ord \ a \Rightarrow t \ a \rightarrow a \\ sum \qquad :: Num \ a \Rightarrow t \ a \rightarrow a \\ product \qquad :: Num \ a \Rightarrow t \ a \rightarrow a \end{array}
```

Note: *fold1* typically incurs a large space overhead due to laziness. The version with strict applictaion of the operator, *fold1'* is typically preferable.

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p.33/40

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p 35/40

Instances of *Foldable* (2)

But there are also some instances that are less expected, e.g.:

- instance Foldable (Either a) where...
- instance Foldable ((,) a) where...

This has some arguably odd consequences:

 $length (1,2) \implies 1$ $sum (1,2) \implies 2$ $length (Left 1) \implies 0$ $length (Right 2) \implies 1$

Instances of *Foldable* (1)

All expected instances, e.g.:

- instance Foldable [] where...
- instance Foldable Maybe where...

And GHC extension allows deriving instances in many cases; e.g.

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p 34/40

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p.36/40

data Tree $a = \dots$ deriving Foldable

Example: Folding Over a Tree (1)

Consider:

data Tree a = Empty| Node (Tree a) a (Tree a) deriving (Show, Eq)

Let us make it an instance of *Foldable*:

instance Foldable Tree where $foldMap \ f \ Empty = mempty$ $foldMap \ f \ (Node \ l \ a \ r) =$ $foldMap \ f \ l \diamond f \ a \diamond foldMap \ f \ r$

Example: Folding Over a Tree (2)

We wish to compute the sum and max over a tree of *Int*. One way:

 $sumMax :: Tree Int \rightarrow (Int, Int)$ sumMax t = (foldl (+) 0 t, foldl max minBound t)

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p.37/40

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p.39/40

Another way, with a single traversal:

 $sumMax :: Tree Int \rightarrow (Int, Int)$ $sumMax \ t = (sm, mx)$ where $(Sum \ sm, Max \ mx) =$ $foldMap \ (\lambda n \rightarrow (Sum \ n, Max \ n)) \ t$

Aside: Foldable?

Note that the kind of "folding" captured by the class *Foldable* in general makes it impossible to recover the structure over which the "folding" takes place.

Such an operation is also known as "reduce" or "crush", and some authors prefer to reserve the term "fold" for *catamorphisms*, where a separate combining function is given for each constructor, making it possible to recover the structure.

One might thus argue that *Reducible* or *Crushable* would have been a more precise name.

Example: Folding Over a Tree (3)

The latter can be generalized to e.g. computing the sum, product, min, and max in a single traversal:

 $\begin{array}{c} foldMap \\ (\lambda n \rightarrow (Sum \ n, Product \ n, Min \ n, Max \ n)) \\ t \end{array}$

MapReduce

Functional mapping and folding (reducing) inspired the MapReduce programming model; e.g.

- Google's original MapReduce framework
- Apache Hadoop

Functional mapping and folding with *associative* operator (semigroup) is amenable to parallelization and distribution.

However, achieving scalability in practice required both careful engineering of the frameworks as such, and a good understanding of how to use them on part of the user.

COMP4075: Lecture 7 - p.38/40