

LiU-FP2016: Lecture 10

Monad Transformers

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

LiU-FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.1/23

Monad Transformers (1)

What if we need to support more than one type of effect?

For example: State and Error/Partiality?

We could implement a suitable monad from scratch:

```
newtype SE s a = SE (s -> Maybe (a, s))
```

LiU-FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.2/23

Monad Transformers (2)

However:

- Not always obvious how: e.g., should the combination of state and error have been

```
newtype SE s a = SE (s -> (Maybe a, s))
```

- Duplication of effort: similar patterns related to specific effects are going to be repeated over and over in the various combinations.

LiU-FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.3/23

Monad Transformers (3)

Monad Transformers can help:

- A **monad transformer** transforms a monad by adding support for an additional effect.
- A library of monad transformers can be developed, each adding a specific effect (state, error, ...), allowing the programmer to mix and match.
- A form of **aspect-oriented programming**.

Caveat: Will consider the idea of monad transformers, not any specific library like e.g. MTL.

LiU-FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.4/23

Monad Transformers in Haskell (1)

- A **monad transformer** maps monads to monads. Represented by a type constructor T of the following kind:

```
T :: (* -> *) -> (* -> *)
```

- Additionally, a monad transformer **adds** computational effects. A mapping `lift` from computations in the underlying monad to computations in the transformed monad is needed:

```
lift :: M a -> T M a
```

LiU-FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.5/23

Monad Transformers in Haskell (2)

- These requirements are captured by the following (multi-parameter) type class:

```
class (Monad m, Monad (t m))  
  => MonadTransformer t m where  
  lift :: m a -> t m a
```

LiU-FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.6/23

Classes for Specific Effects

A monad transformer adds specific effects to **any** monad. Thus the effect-specific operations needs to be overloaded. For example:

```
class Monad m => E m where  
  eFail :: m a  
  eHandle :: m a -> m a -> m a  
  
class Monad m => S m s | m -> s where  
  sSet :: s -> m ()  
  sGet :: m s
```

LiU-FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.7/23

The Identity Monad

We are going to construct monads by successive transformations of the identity monad:

```
newtype I a = I a  
unI (I a) = a  
  
instance Monad I where  
  return a = I a  
  m >>= f = f (unI m)  
  
runI :: I a -> a  
runI = unI
```

LiU-FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.8/23

The Error Monad Transformer (1)

```
newtype ET m a = ET (m (Maybe a))  
unET (ET m) = m
```

Any monad transformed by ET is a monad:

```
instance Monad m => Monad (ET m) where  
  return a = ET (return (Just a))  
  
m >>= f = ET $ do  
  ma <- unET m  
  case ma of  
    Nothing -> return Nothing  
    Just a -> unET (f a)
```

LiU-FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.9/23

The Error Monad Transformer (2)

We need the ability to run transformed monads:

```
runET :: Monad m => ET m a -> m a
runET etm = do
  ma <- unET etm
  case ma of
    Just a   -> return a
    Nothing -> error "Should not happen"
```

ET is a monad transformer:

```
instance Monad m =>
  MonadTransformer ET m where
  lift m = ET (m >>= \a -> return (Just a))
```

LUFP2016: Lecture 10 - p.10/23

The Error Monad Transformer (3)

Any monad transformed by ET is an instance of E:

```
instance Monad m => E (ET m) where
  eFail = ET (return Nothing)
  m1 `eHandle` m2 = ET $ do
    ma <- unET m1
    case ma of
      Nothing -> unET m2
      Just _   -> return ma
```

LUFP2016: Lecture 10 - p.11/23

The Error Monad Transformer (4)

A state monad transformed by ET is a state monad:

```
instance S m s => S (ET m) s where
  sSet s = lift (sSet s)
  sGet = lift sGet
```

LUFP2016: Lecture 10 - p.12/23

Exercise 2: Running Transf. Monads

Let

```
ex2 = eFail `eHandle` return 1
```

1. Suggest a possible type for `ex2`. (Assume `1 :: Int`.)
2. Given your type, use the appropriate combination of “run functions” to run `ex2`.

LUFP2016: Lecture 10 - p.13/23

Exercise 2: Solution

```
ex2 :: ET I Int
ex2 = eFail `eHandle` return 1

ex2result :: Int
ex2result = runI (runET ex2)
```

LUFP2016: Lecture 10 - p.14/23

The State Monad Transformer (1)

```
newtype ST s m a = ST (s -> m (a, s))
unST (ST m) = m
```

Any monad transformed by ST is a monad:

```
instance Monad m => Monad (ST s m) where
  return a = ST (\s -> return (a, s))

  m >>= f = ST $ \s -> do
    (a, s') <- unST m s
    unST (f a) s'
```

LUFP2016: Lecture 10 - p.15/23

The State Monad Transformer (2)

We need the ability to run transformed monads:

```
runST :: Monad m => ST s m a -> s -> m a
runST stf s0 = do
  (a, _) <- unST stf s0
  return a
```

ST is a monad transformer:

```
instance Monad m =>
  MonadTransformer (ST s) m where
  lift m = ST (\s -> m >>= \a ->
    return (a, s))
```

LUFP2016: Lecture 10 - p.16/23

The State Monad Transformer (3)

Any monad transformed by ST is an instance of S:

```
instance Monad m => S (ST s m) s where
  sSet s = ST (\_ -> return ((), s))
  sGet = ST (\s -> return (s, s))
```

An error monad transformed by ST is an error monad:

```
instance E m => E (ST s m) where
  eFail = lift eFail
  m1 `eHandle` m2 = ST $ \s ->
    unST m1 s `eHandle` unST m2 s
```

LUFP2016: Lecture 10 - p.17/23

Exercise 3: Effect Ordering

Consider the code fragment

```
ex3a :: (ST Int (ET I)) Int
ex3a = (sSet 42 >> eFail) `eHandle` sGet
```

Note that the exact same code fragment also can be typed as follows:

```
ex3b :: (ET (ST Int I)) Int
ex3b = (sSet 42 >> eFail) `eHandle` sGet
```

What is

```
runI (runET (runST ex3a 0))
runI (runST (runET ex3b) 0)
```

LUFP2016: Lecture 10 - p.18/23

Exercise 3: Solution

```
runI (runET (runST ex3a 0)) = 0
runI (runST (runET ex3b) 0) = 42
```

Why? Because:

```
ST s (ET I) a ≅ s -> (ET I) (a, s)
              ≅ s -> I (Maybe (a, s))
              ≅ s -> Maybe (a, s)
ET (ST s I) a ≅ (ST s I) (Maybe a)
              ≅ s -> I (Maybe a, s)
              ≅ s -> (Maybe a, s)
```

LIU FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.19/23

Exercise 4: Alternative ST?

To think about.

Could `ST` have been defined in some other way, e.g.

```
newtype ST s m a = ST (m (s -> (a, s)))
```

or perhaps

```
newtype ST s m a = ST (s -> (m a, s))
```

LIU FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.20/23

Problems with Monad Transformers

- With one transformer for each possible effect, we get a lot of combinations: the number grows quadratically; each has to be instantiated explicitly.
- Jaskelioff (2008,2009) has proposed a possible, more extensible alternative.

LIU FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.21/23

Reading (1)

- Nick Benton, John Hughes, Eugenio Moggi. Monads and Effects. In *International Summer School on Applied Semantics 2000*, Caminha, Portugal, 2000.
- Sheng Liang, Paul Hudak, Mark Jones. Monad Transformers and Modular Interpreters. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL'95)*, January 1995, San Francisco, California

LIU FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.22/23

Reading (2)

- Mauro Jaskelioff. Monatron: An Extensible Monad Transformer Library. In *Implementation of Functional Languages (IFL'08)*, 2008.
- Mauro Jaskelioff. Modular Monad Transformers. In *European Symposium on Programming (ESOP,09)*, 2009.

LIU FP2016: Lecture 10 - p.23/23