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## Topic

In Homotopy Type Theory
(i.e. dependent type theory with $\Sigma, \Pi$, =, univalence, HITs), how can we

## Construct functions $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$

if $B$ is $(n+1)$-truncated?

## Topic

In Homotopy Type Theory (clear, I hope)<br>(does not matter)<br>(i.e. dependent type theory with $\Sigma, \Pi$, $=$, univalence, HITs), how can we

Construct functions $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$
? ? (will explain)
if $B$ is $(n+1)$-truncated?

## Reminder: Identity/Equality Types in

 Martin-Löf's Dependent Type Theory$\star$ If $\mathbf{A}$ is a type and $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}: \mathbf{A}$, then $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}$ is also a type (a.k.a. $x=A$ y or $\operatorname{ld}_{A}(x, y)$ )

* Does UIP hold? I.e. if $p, q: x=y$, do we automatically get $p=q$ ?
* Hofmann-Streicher 1994: No! [LICS Test of Time Award 2014]
* Types can have non-trivial higher structure (fist step of birth of Homotopy Type Theory)


## Introduction: Truncation Levels and Truncations

* "being $n$-truncated" is a property of types [due to VV ]
* intuition: "trivial on levels $\geq n$ "
* Def:

1. $A$ is $(-2)$-truncated iff $A \simeq$ Unit
2. $A$ is $(n+1)$-truncated iff $x=y$ is $n$-truncated ( $\forall x, y: A$ )

* basic lemma: $A$ is $n$-truncated $\Rightarrow A$ is $(n+1)$-truncated
* examples:
(-2)-truncated a.k.a. contractible: Unit (-1)-truncated a.k.a. propositional: $\varnothing$ 0 -truncated a.k.a. set, satisfying UIP: $\mathbb{N}$, Bool, ... 1-truncated: universe of sets


## Introduction: Truncation Levels and Truncations



## Back to the topic of this talk

So, how to get a map $\|A\|_{n} \rightarrow B$ in general?
Or: When does $f: A \rightarrow B$ factor through $\|A\|_{n}$ ?


* always, if $B$ is $n$-truncated
* this paper:

Theorem
If $B$ is $(n+1)$-truncated:

$$
f: A \rightarrow B \text { factors through }\|A\|_{n}
$$

iff
$f$ induces trivial maps on all $(n+1)$-st loop spaces.

## Special cases of the result

## Special case $n=-1$

If $B$ is 0-truncated, i.e. has unique identity proofs:

$$
f: A \rightarrow B \text { factors through }\|A\|_{-1}
$$

$f$ is weakly constant: $\Pi_{x, y: A} f(x)=f(y)$.

$f$ must be weakly constant.

This was already known
[K-Escardó-Coquand-Altenkirch 2014].

## Special cases of the result

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Special case } n=0 \\
\text { If } B \text { is 1-truncated: } \\
f: A \rightarrow B \text { factors through }\|A\|_{0} \\
\text { iff } \\
\mathrm{ap}_{f}:(x=y) \rightarrow(f(x)=f(y)) \text { is weakly constant. }
\end{gathered}
$$


$\mathrm{ap}_{f}$ must be weakly constant.

Known from the Rezk completion
[Ahrens-Kapulkin-Shulman 2014].

## Special cases of the result

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Special case } n=1 \\
\text { If } B \text { is 2-truncated: } \\
f: A \rightarrow B \text { factors through }\|A\|_{1} \\
\text { iff } \\
f \text { introduces trivial maps on all second homotopy groups / } \\
\text { loop spaces: } \mathrm{ap}_{f}^{2}: \Omega^{2}(A, a) \rightarrow \Omega^{2}(B, f(a)) \text { weakly constant. }
\end{gathered}
$$


$\mathrm{ap}_{f}^{2}$ must be weakly constant.

This (and all other cases) are new.

## Two proofs

Two proofs of our result:

* first proof:
- given $f^{\prime}:\|A\|_{n} \rightarrow B$, we do get $f: A \rightarrow B$ which is trivial on all $(n+1)$-st loop spaces
- if $A$ is $n$-connected, this map is an equivalence
- piece together maps on the " $n$-connected components" of $A$
* second proof:
- construct a higher inductive type $H^{A, n}$
- show that $H^{A, n}$ has a suitable elimination principle
- show $H^{A, n} \simeq\|A\|_{n}$


## Overview: Open and Solved Cases

|  | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 4 | ... | $\infty$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | ... | $\checkmark$ |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | unsolved cases |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Solved in: [K-Escardó-Coquand-Altenkirch 2014], [Ahrens-Kapulkin-Shulman 2014], [K 2015], [HERE]

