Constructive Notions of Ordinals in Homotopy Type Theory

Nicolai Kraus, Fredrik Nordvall Forsberg, and Chuangjie Xu

TYPES'21, online, 14-18 June 2021

Motivation

Ordinals are fundamental and useful, e.g. for

- proving termination; or
- justifying induction and recursion.

Unfortunately: constructively problematic.

Classical notion fragments into disconnected notions, each with pros and cons.

We consider three constructive notions in HoTT, and relate them to each other.

Extensional Wellfounded Orders

Following the HoTT book and Escardó, and inspired by Taylor:

Definition

The type Ord consists of pairs $(X : \mathsf{Type}, \prec: X \to X \to \mathsf{Prop})$ such that:

► ≺ is transitive

$$x \prec y \to y \prec z \to x \prec z;$$

- \blacktriangleright \prec is extensional
 - elements with the same \prec -predecessors are equal;
- \blacktriangleright \prec is wellfounded
 - every element is accessible, where x is accessible if every $y \prec x$ is accessible.

An Order on Extensional Wellfounded Orders

Let (X, \prec_X) , (Y, \prec_Y) : Ord.

 $X \leq Y$ is the type of monotone functions $f : X \to Y$ satisfying a *simulation* condition: if $y \prec_Y f x$, then we have an $x_0 \prec_X x$ such that $f x_0 = y$.

X < Y is the type of *bounded* simulations, i.e. those inducing an equivalence

 $X \simeq$ "initial segment of Y below y"

for some y : Y.

Brouwer Trees

Consider the usual inductive type ${\mathcal O}$ of Brouwer trees:

 $\mathsf{zero}:\mathcal{O}\qquad\mathsf{succ}:\mathcal{O}\rightarrow\mathcal{O}\qquad\mathsf{sup}:(\mathbb{N}\rightarrow\mathcal{O})\rightarrow\mathcal{O}$

Problem: we do not have $\sup(s_0s_1s_2...) = \sup(s_1s_0s_2...)$.

Our notion: a type of Brouwer trees that can

- (i) faithfully represent ordinals, and
- (ii) classify an ordinal as zero, successor or a limit,

Brouwer Trees as a Quotient Inductive-Inductive Type

Definition

We mutually construct a type Brw : Set and a relation \leq : Brw \rightarrow Brw \rightarrow Prop:

► The constructors of Brw include zero : Brw succ : Brw → Brw limit : (N [<]→ Brw) → Brw (for strictly increasing sequences) bisim : f ≈[≤] g → limit f = limit g (f and g are bisimilar) where x < y stands for succ x ≤ y.</p>

• The constructors for \leq ensure transitivity, that zero is minimal, that succ is monotone, and that limit f is the least upper bound of f.

Cantor Normal Forms as a Subset of Binary Trees

Motivation:
$$\alpha = \omega^{\beta_1} + \omega^{\beta_2} + \cdots + \omega^{\beta_n}$$
 with $\beta_1 \ge \beta_2 \ge \cdots \ge \beta_n$

Definition

• Let \mathcal{T} be the type of *unlabeled binary trees*: $0: \mathcal{T}, \omega^- + -: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$.



- Let < be the *lexicographical order* on \mathcal{T} .
- Define isCNF(α) to express $\beta_1 \ge \beta_2 \ge \cdots \ge \beta_n$.

We write $Cnf :\equiv \Sigma(t : T)$.isCNF(t) for the type of *Cantor normal forms*.

Similarities

Ord, Brw, Cnf ...

- ▶ are wellfounded: all elements accessible
- ▶ are extensional: $(\forall z.z < x \leftrightarrow z < y) \rightarrow x = y$
- have addition and multiplication
 - and these satisfy the same specifications (e.g. are continuous in the second argument)!

Differences and Connections



- injective
- \bullet preserves and reflects <, \leq
- commutes with +, *, ω^x
- bounded (by ϵ_0)

paper: Connecting Constructive Notions of Ordinals in Homotopy Type Theory THANK YOU! • injective

- ullet preserves <, \leq
- over-approximates +, *: BtoO $(x + y) \ge$ BtoO(x) + BtoO(y)
- commutes with limits (but not successors)
- \bullet BtoO is a simulation \Rightarrow WLPO
- \bullet LEM \Rightarrow BtoO is a simulation
- bounded (by Brw)