

# Mathematics for Computer Scientists 2 (G52MC2) L12 : Lists

Thorsten Altenkirch

School of Computer Science  
University of Nottingham

November 19, 2009

# Introducing Lists

- Given  $A : \text{Set}$  we write  $\text{list } A : \text{Set}$  for the set of finite sequences over  $A$ .
- Lists are widely used in functional programming languages like Lisp, Scheme, CAML, Haskell and F#.
- In Coq we define lists as an inductive type (like  $\mathbb{N}$ ):

```
Inductive list (A : Set) : Set :=  
  | nil : list A  
  | cons : A -> list A -> list A.
```

- E.g. the sequence of natural numbers 1, 2, 3 becomes:

$$\text{cons } 1 (\text{cons } 2 (\text{cons } 3 \text{ nil})) : \text{list } \mathbb{N}$$

- We abbreviate  $\text{cons } a l$  as  $a :: l$ . Hence the previous example becomes:

$$1 :: 2 :: 3 :: \text{nil} : \text{list } \mathbb{N}$$

- Note that the roles of  $:$  and  $::$  are reverse in Haskell.
- Functional programming languages also use an even more compact notation:

$$[1, 2, 3] : \text{list } \mathbb{N}$$

# Structural recursion

- As for  $\mathbb{N}$  we can define functions by structural recursion over lists.
- An example is *append* (written  $++$ ) :

$$\begin{aligned} ++ & : \text{list } A \rightarrow \text{list } A \rightarrow \text{list } A \\ \text{nil } ++ m & = m \\ (a :: l) ++ m & = a :: (l ++ m) \end{aligned}$$

- Which function on the natural numbers resembles  $++$ ?
- In Coq we use `Fixpoint`, see `l12.v`

# List induction

- Like induction for natural numbers, there is induction for lists.
- Given a predicate over lists  $P : \text{list } A \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ , we can show that it holds for all lists  $(\forall l : \text{list } A, P l)$  by showing:

**base** It holds for nil

$$P \text{ nil}$$

**step** It is preserved by cons:

$$\forall a : A \forall m : \text{list } A, P m \rightarrow P (a :: m)$$

- To summarize

$$(P \text{ nil})$$

$$\rightarrow (\forall a : A \forall m : \text{list } A, P m \rightarrow P (a :: m))$$

$$\rightarrow \forall l : \text{list } A, P l$$

- In Coq we use the `induction` tactic (as for  $\mathbb{N}$ ).

# Lists are a monoid

- Using list induction we can show that lists form a monoid:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{nil} ++ m &= m \\ l ++ \text{nil} &= l \\ l ++ (m ++ n) &= (l ++ m) ++ n\end{aligned}$$

- However, this is not a commutative monoid:

$$[1, 2] ++ [3] = [1, 2, 3] \neq [3] ++ [1, 2] = [3, 1, 2]$$

- Actually (list  $A$ ,  $\text{nil}$ ,  $++$ ) is the *free monoid* over  $A$ , because:
  - list  $A$  contains all the elements of  $A$  (as singleton lists  $[a]$ ).
  - It doesn't satisfy any additional equations (hence it is unconstrained, i.e. free).

# Reverse

- We introduce an operation  $\text{rev} : \text{list } A$  on lists which reverses a list. E.g.

$$\text{rev } [1, 2, 3] = [3, 2, 1]$$

- $\text{rev}$  uses an auxiliary operation

$$\text{snoc} : \text{list } A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{list } A$$

which appends an element at the end of a list.

- $\text{snoc} = \text{cons}$  backwards.
- Both operations can be defined by structural recursion over lists:

$$\text{snoc nil } a = a$$

$$\text{snoc } (b :: l) a = b :: (\text{snoc } l a)$$

$$\text{rev nil} = \text{nil}$$

$$\text{rev } (a :: l) = \text{snoc } (\text{rev } l) a$$

# Reversing twice

- Clearly reversing twice gets us back to the initial list, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{rev}(\text{rev}[1, 2, 3]) \\ &= \text{rev}[3, 2, 1] \\ &= [1, 2, 3]\end{aligned}$$

- In predicate logic:

$$\forall l : \text{list } A, \text{rev}(\text{rev } l) = l$$

- We need to show a lemma about snoc:

$$\forall l : \text{list } A, \forall a : A, \text{rev}(\text{snoc } l \ a) = a :: \text{rev } l$$

- Both can be established using list induction, see 112.v.