

Mathematical Foundations

of Programming (G54FOP)

especially Functional Programming
we experiment with Haskell

Lecture 1: Introduction

How does Math help Programming?

- Understanding the meaning of programs
- Specification: stating clearly and precisely what a program must do
- Reasoning: use symbolic logic to prove properties of programs

• Abstract Interpretation:

see data structures as mathematical objects to clarify their nature

Two fundamental aspects:

Syntax and Semantics

Syntax: how we write

expressions, formulas, program

- Define precisely the language what symbols do we use?

what are the reserved words and identifiers?

how do we combine symbols
to form correct expressions
and instructions?

how do we combine instructions
to create programs?

Semantics: what is the meaning
of expressions, formulas, programs

- **Denotational Semantics**
the meaning of expressions etc.
is mathematical objects

- **Operational Semantics**
the meaning of expressions, programs
is the computations they do
when we execute them

Example:

A language of arithmetical
expressions

A very simple toy language
with Booleans and Natural

Numbers and simple operations
on them

There are two ways to give the syntax

- **Backus-Naur form (BNF)**
simple and compact

- **Derivation Rules**
more complex but also
more flexible and general

Backus-Naur Form for

Arithmetic Expressions:

$e ::= \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{zero} \mid \text{succ } e$

$\mid \text{pred } e \mid \text{iszero } e \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } e \text{ else } e$

Explanation:

e stands for any expression

an expression can be constructed

by any of the forms on the right-hand side

recursive forms:

replace e by a previously constructed expression

Examples of expressions:

- zero
- true
- succ zero
- succ true this is a correct expr. even if the meaning is unclear
- iszero (succ zero)
- if (iszero (succ false))
 then zero
 else (pred true)

Definition with Derivation Rules
of the set $Expr$ of Arithmetic
Expressions

(only some of the rules)

$$e_1 \in Expr \quad e_2 \in Expr \quad e_3 \in Expr$$

if e_1 , then e_2 else $e_3 \in Expr$

Assumptions:
if we have already
constructed expressions
 e_1, e_2, e_3

Conclusion:
Then we can
construct this
new expression

$$\frac{e \in Expr}{succ\ e \in Expr}$$

← no assumptions

$$\frac{}{false \in Expr}$$

↪ we can construct this
expression without
any previous work
(base case)

... other rules are similar

Example of a complete derivation of an expression:

zero \in Expr

succ zero \in Expr false \in Expr zero \in Expr

iszero (succ zero) \in Expr succ false \in Expr pred zero \in Expr

if (iszero (succ zero)) then (succ false) else (pred zero) \in Expr

We use parentheses around sub-expressions to avoid confusion

The derivation has the form of a tree:
nodes = intermediate expressions
leaves = base cases

leaves = base cases

Defining a language using derivation rules is long and boring

BNF is much simpler and compact

But some complex languages (dependently typed λ -calculus, we'll study it)

can't be defined using BNF, derivation rules are essential

Uses of derivation rules:

- Definition of a Language
- Rules for manipulation of expressions

Operational Semantics:

how programs run

Logical Systems:

rules of symbolic logic reasoning about programs

General Shape of a Rule:

premises/assumptions

A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n

B

conclusion

these kinds of formulas are called

Inductants

If we have already derived A_1, \dots, A_n

then we can derive B