

COMP2012/G52LAC

Languages and Computation

Lecture 9

The Language of a CFG

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham

COMP2012/G52LAC Languages and Computation Lecture 9 – p.1/9

Simple Arithmetic Expressions

$SAE = (N = \{E, I, D\}, T = \{+, *, (,), 0, 1, \dots, 9\}, P, E)$
where P is given by:

$$\begin{array}{l} E \rightarrow E + E \\ \quad | \quad E * E \\ \quad | \quad (E) \\ \quad | \quad I \end{array}$$

$$I \rightarrow DI \mid D$$

$$D \rightarrow 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid 3 \mid 4 \mid 5 \mid 6 \mid 7 \mid 8 \mid 9$$

Note: $A \rightarrow \alpha \mid \beta$ shorthand for $A \rightarrow \alpha, A \rightarrow \beta$.

COMP2012/G52LAC Languages and Computation Lecture 9 – p.3/9

Recap: Definition of CFG

A CFG $G = (N, T, P, S)$ where

- N is a finite set of **nonterminals** (or **variables** or **syntactic categories**)
- T is a finite set of **terminals**
- $N \cap T = \emptyset$ (disjoint)
- P is a finite set of **productions** of the form $A \rightarrow \alpha$ where $A \in N$ and $\alpha \in (N \cup T)^*$
- $S \in N$ is the **start symbol**

COMP2012/G52LAC Languages and Computation Lecture 9 – p.2/9

Another Example: Java

The syntax of programming languages is invariably specified by CFGs.

Example: The Java Language Specification, Third Edition. Section 14.5, page 368 gives a CFG for Java statements.

COMP2012/G52LAC Languages and Computation Lecture 9 – p.4/9

The Directly Derives Relation (1)

To formally define the language generated by

$$G = (N, T, P, S)$$

we first define a binary relation \Rightarrow_G on strings over $N \cup T$, read “**directly derives in grammar G** ”, being the least relation such that

$$\alpha A \gamma \Rightarrow_G \alpha \beta \gamma$$

whenever $A \rightarrow \beta$ is a production in G where $A \in N$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (N \cup T)^*$.

The Directly Derives Relation (2)

When it is clear which grammar G is involved, we use \Rightarrow instead of \Rightarrow_G .

Example: Given the grammar

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow \epsilon \mid aA \\ A &\rightarrow bS \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow \epsilon & aA &\Rightarrow abS \\ S &\Rightarrow aA & SaAaa &\Rightarrow SabSaa \end{aligned}$$

The Derives Relation (1)

The relation \Rightarrow_G^* , read “**derives in grammar G** ”, is the reflexive, transitive closure of \Rightarrow_G .

That is, \Rightarrow_G^* is the least relation on strings over $N \cup T$ such that:

- $\alpha \xRightarrow_G^* \beta$ if $\alpha \Rightarrow_G \beta$
- $\alpha \xRightarrow_G^* \alpha$ (reflexive)
- $\alpha \xRightarrow_G^* \beta$ if $\alpha \xRightarrow_G^* \gamma \wedge \gamma \xRightarrow_G^* \beta$ (transitive)

The Derives Relation (2)

Again, we use \Rightarrow^* instead of \Rightarrow_G^* when G is obvious.

Example: Given the grammar

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow \epsilon \mid aA \\ A &\rightarrow bS \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow^* \epsilon & S &\Rightarrow^* abS \\ S &\Rightarrow^* aA & S &\Rightarrow^* ababS \\ aA &\Rightarrow^* abS & S &\Rightarrow^* abab \end{aligned}$$

Lang. Generated by a Grammar

The **language generated** by a context-free grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S)$$

denoted $L(G)$, is defined as follows:

$$L(G) = \{w \mid w \in T^* \wedge S \xrightarrow[G]{*} w\}$$

A language L is a **Context-Free Language** (CFL) iff $L = L(G)$ for some CFG G .

A string $\alpha \in (N \cup T)^*$ is a **sentential form** iff $S \xrightarrow{*} \alpha$.