COMP2012/G52LAC Languages and Computation Lecture 14

Recursive-Descent Parsing: Predictive Parsing

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

OOMP2012/G52LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 – p.1/35

Recap: Recursive-Descent Parsing (2)

- If successful, a parsing function returns the remainder of the input.
- E.g. if input is $\alpha\beta$, $X \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$, and parseX could carry out this derivation, then:

$$\operatorname{parseX} \alpha\beta = \operatorname{Just} \beta$$

• If unsuccessful, a parsing function returns Nothing.

COMP2012/G52LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 – p.4/35

Predictive Parsing (1)

Today, we are going to look into exactly when the next input symbol, a one symbol *lookahead*, can be used to make *all* parsing decisions.

We note that this *can* be the case even if the RHSs start with nonterminals:

$$S \rightarrow AB \mid CD$$

$$A \rightarrow a \mid b$$

$$C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

DOMP2012/G52LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 – p.7/35

This lecture:

- · The problem of choice revisited.
- · Predictive Parsing and LL(1) grammars.
- · Computation of First and Follow Sets.
- Left factoring

COMP2012/GS2LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 – p.2/35

Recap: Handling Choice (1)

Of course, we want a parsing function to be successful *exactly* when a prefix of the input *can* be derived from the corresponding nonterminal.

This can be achieved by:

- Adopting a suitable parsing strategy, specifically regarding how to handle *choice* between two or more productions for one nonterminal.
- Impose restrictions on the grammar to ensure success of the chosen parsing strategy.

In particular, *left recursion* usually *not allowed*.

COMP2012/GS2LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 – p.5/35

Predictive Parsing (2)

- Predictive parsing is an example of recursive descent parsing where no backtracking is needed.
- The grammar must be such that the next input symbol *uniquely* determines the next production to use (a grammar restriction).

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \text{Productions: } X & \rightarrow & \alpha \mid \beta \\ \\ \text{parseX (t : ts)} & = \\ & \mid & \text{t : ??} & -> parse \ \alpha \\ & \mid & \text{t : ??} & -> parse \ \beta \\ & \mid & \text{otherwise} & -> & \text{Nothing} \\ \end{array}$

Recap: Recursive-Descent Parsing (1)

Recursive-descent parsing is an example of the top-down parsing method:

 One parsing function associated with each nonterminal; e.g., for nonterminal X, parseX:

```
parseX :: [Token] -> Maybe [Token]
```

- A parsing function attempts to derive a prefix of the current input according to the grammar starting from the nonterminal.
- Other parsing functions invoked (recursively) as needed according to the RHS of the production(s) for the nonterminal.

COMP2012/G52LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 – p.3/35

Recap: Handling Choice (2)

Two strategies for handling choice, as in

$$S \to AB \mid CD$$

 Looking at the next input symbol is sometimes enough; e.g.:

$$S \to aB \mid cD$$

 If not, all alternatives could be explored through backtracking:

```
parseX :: [Token] -> [[Token]]
```

COMP2012/G52LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 – p.6

Predictive Parsing (3)

How to make the choices? Idea:

- Compute the set of terminal symbols that can start strings derived from each alternative, the first set.
- If there is a choice between two or more alternatives, insist that the first sets for those are <u>disjoint</u> (a grammar restriction).
- The right choice can now be made simply by determining to which alternative's first set the next input symbol belongs.

Predictive Parsing (4)

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Productions: } X & \rightarrow & \alpha \mid \beta \\ \\ \text{parseX (t : ts) =} \\ & \mid & \text{t } \in & \text{first}(\alpha) \rightarrow & \text{parse } \alpha \\ \\ & \mid & \text{t } \in & \text{first}(\beta) \rightarrow & \text{parse } \beta \\ \\ & \mid & \text{otherwise } \rightarrow & \text{Nothing} \end{array}$$

First and Follow Sets (2)

Consider:

$$\begin{array}{lll} S & \rightarrow & ABC & B & \rightarrow & b \mid \epsilon \\ A & \rightarrow & aA \mid \epsilon & C & \rightarrow & c \mid d \\ \\ \mathrm{first}(C) & = & \{c, \ d\} \\ \mathrm{first}(B) & = & \{b\} \\ \mathrm{first}(A) & = & \{a\} \\ \mathrm{first}(S) & = & \mathrm{first}(ABC) \\ & = & [\mathrm{because} \ A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon \ \mathrm{and} \ B \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon] \\ & & \mathrm{first}(A) \cup \mathrm{first}(B) \cup \mathrm{first}(C) \\ & = & \{a, \ b, \ c, \ d\} \end{array}$$

LL(1) Grammars (2)

Thus, if:

•
$$\operatorname{first}(\alpha_i) \cap \operatorname{first}(\alpha_j) = \emptyset$$
 for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and

• if
$$\alpha_i \overset{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$$
 for some i , then, for all $1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i$,

-
$$\alpha_j \not \Rightarrow \epsilon$$
, and

- follow(A)
$$\cap$$
 first(α_j) = \emptyset

then it is always clear what do do!

A grammar satisfying these conditions is said to be an *LL(1)* grammar.

Predictive Parsing (5)

Again, consider: $X \to \alpha \mid \beta$ What if e.g. $\beta \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$?

Clearly, the next input symbol could be a terminal that can *follow* a string derivable form X!

The branches must be mutually exclusive!

First and Follow Sets (3)

Same grammar:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S \rightarrow ABC & B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon \\ A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon & C \rightarrow c \mid d \end{array}$$

Follow sets:

$$\begin{split} \text{follow}(C) &= \{\$\} \\ \text{follow}(B) &= \text{first}(C) = \{c, \ d\} \\ \text{follow}(A) &= [\text{because } B \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon] \\ &\quad \quad \text{first}(B) \cup \text{first}(C) \\ &= \{b, \ c, \ d\} \end{split}$$

Nullable Nonterminals (1)

In order to compute the first and follow sets for a grammar $G=(N,\ T,\ P,\ S)$, we first need to know all nonterminals $A\in N$ such that $A\stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow}\epsilon;$ i.e. the set $N_{\epsilon}\subseteq N$ of *nullable* nonterminals.

Let $\mathrm{syms}(\alpha)$ denote the ${\it set}$ of symbols in a string α :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{syms} & \in & (N \cup T)^* \to \mathcal{P}(N \cup T) \\ \mathrm{syms}(\epsilon) & = & \emptyset \\ \mathrm{syms}(X\alpha) & = & \{X\} \cup \mathrm{syms}(\alpha) \end{array}$$

First and Follow Sets (1)

Following (roughly) "the Dragon Book" [ASU86]

For a CFG
$$G = (N, T, P, S)$$
:

$$\operatorname{first}(\alpha) = \{ a \in T \mid \alpha \underset{G}{*} a\beta \}$$
$$\operatorname{follow}(A) = \{ a \in T \mid S \underset{G}{*} \alpha A a\beta \}$$
$$\cup \{ \$ \mid S \underset{G}{*} \alpha A \}$$

where we assume α , $\beta \in (N \cup T)^*$, $A \in N$, and where \$ is a special "end of input" marker.

LL(1) Grammars (1)

Consider all productions for a nonterminal ${\cal A}$ in some grammar:

$$A \rightarrow \alpha_1 \mid \alpha_2 \mid \dots \mid \alpha_n$$

In the parsing function for A, on input symbol t, we parse according to α_i if $t \in \operatorname{first}(\alpha_i)$.

If $\alpha_i \stackrel{*}{=} \epsilon$, we should parse according to α_i also if $t \in \operatorname{follow}(A)$!

COMP2012/GS2LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 - p. 15/35

Nullable Nonterminals (2)

The set N_{ϵ} is the *smallest* solution to the equation

$$N_{\epsilon} = \{A \mid A \to \alpha \in P \land \forall X \in \operatorname{syms}(\alpha) : X \in N_{\epsilon}\}$$

(Note that $A \in N_{\epsilon}$ if $A \to \epsilon \in P$ because $\operatorname{syms}(\epsilon) = \emptyset$ and $\forall X \in \emptyset \ldots$ is trivially true.)

We can now define a predicate $\operatorname{nullable}$ on strings of grammar symbols:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{nullable} & \in & (N \cup T)^* \to \text{Bool} \\ \\ \text{nullable}(\epsilon) & = & \text{true} \\ \\ \text{nullable}(X\alpha) & = & X \in N_\epsilon \land \text{nullable}(\alpha) \end{array}$$

Nullable Nonterminals (3)

The equation for N_ϵ can be solved iteratively as follows:

- 1. Initialize N_{ϵ} to $\{A \mid A \to \epsilon \in P\}$.
- 2. If there is a production $A \to \alpha$ such that $\forall X \in \operatorname{syms}(\alpha) . X \in N_{\epsilon}$, then add A to N_{ϵ} .

COMP2012/G52LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 – p. 19/35

COMP2012/G52LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 – p.22/35

3. Repeat step 2 until no further nullable nonterminals can be found.

Computing First Sets (2)

For strings, first is defined as (note the *overloaded* notation):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \text{first} & \in & (N \cup T)^* \to \mathcal{P}(T) \\ & \text{first}(\epsilon) & = & \emptyset \\ & \text{first}(a\alpha) & = & \{a\} \\ & \text{first}(A\alpha) & = & \text{first}(A) \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{c} & \text{first}(\alpha), & \text{if } A \in N_{\epsilon} \\ & \emptyset, & \text{if } A \notin N_{\epsilon} \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

where $a \in T$, $A \in N$, and $\alpha \in (N \cup T)^*$.

Computing First Sets (5)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S \rightarrow ABC & B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon \\ A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon & C \rightarrow c \mid d \end{array}$$

$$first(B) = first(b) \cup first(\epsilon)$$
$$= \{b\} \cup \emptyset = \{b\}$$

Nullable Nonterminals (4)

Consider the following grammar:

$$S \rightarrow ABC \mid AB \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid BB \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

- Because $B \to \epsilon$ is a production, $B \in N_{\epsilon}$.
- Because $A \to BB$ is a production and $B \in N_{\epsilon}$, additionally $A \in N_{\epsilon}$.
- Because $S \to AB$ is a production, and $A, B \in N_{\epsilon}$, additionally $S \in N_{\epsilon}$.
- No more production with nullable RHS. The set of nullable symbols $N_{\epsilon} = \{S, A, B\}$.

Computing First Sets (3)

The solutions can often be obtained directly by expanding out all definitions.

If necessary, the equations can be solved by iteration in a similar way to how N_ϵ is computed.

Note that the smallest solution to set equations of the type

$$X = X \cup Y$$

when there are no other constraints on X is simply

$$X = Y$$

Computing First Sets (6)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S \rightarrow ABC & B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon \\ A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon & C \rightarrow c \mid d \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{first}(S) &= \operatorname{first}(ABC) \\ &= [A \in N_{\epsilon}] \\ &= \operatorname{first}(A) \cup \operatorname{first}(BC) \\ &= [B \in N_{\epsilon} \wedge C \notin N_{\epsilon}] \\ &= \operatorname{first}(A) \cup \operatorname{first}(B) \cup \operatorname{first}(C) \cup \emptyset \\ &= \{a\} \cup \{b\} \cup \{c,d\} = \{a,b,c,d\} \end{aligned}$$

Computing First Sets (1)

For a CFG $G=(N,\ T,\ P,\ S)$, the sets $\mathrm{first}(A)$ for $A\in N$ are the smallest sets satisfying:

$$first(A) \subseteq T$$

 $first(A) = \bigcup_{A \to \alpha \in P} first(\alpha)$

COMP2012/G52LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 – p.21/35

COMP2012/G52LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 – p. 24/35

Computing First Sets (4)

Consider (again):

$$S \rightarrow ABC$$
 $B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$
 $A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon$ $C \rightarrow c \mid d$

First compute the nullable nonterminals: $N_{\epsilon} = \{A, B\}.$

Then compute first sets:

$$first(A) = first(aA) \cup first(\epsilon)$$
$$= \{a\} \cup \emptyset = \{a\}$$

Computing Follow Sets (1)

For a CFG $G=(N,\ T,\ P,\ S)$, the sets $\mathrm{follow}(A)$ for $A\in N$ are the smallest sets satisfying:

- $\{\$\} \subseteq \text{follow}(S)$
- If $A \to \alpha B\beta \in P$, then $first(\beta) \subseteq follow(B)$
- If $A \to \alpha B\beta \in P$, and $\operatorname{nullable}(\beta)$ then $\operatorname{follow}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{follow}(B)$

 $A, B \in N$, and $\alpha, \beta \in (N \cup T)^*$.

(It is assumed that there are no *useless* symbols; i.e., all symbols can appear in the derivation of some sentence.)

Computing Follow Sets (2)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S \rightarrow ABC & B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon \\ A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon & C \rightarrow c \mid d \end{array}$$

Constraints for follow(S):

$$\{\$\} \subseteq follow(S)$$

Constraints for follow(A) (note: \neg nullable(BC)):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{first}(BC) &\subseteq & \operatorname{follow}(A) \\ \operatorname{first}(\epsilon) &\subseteq & \operatorname{follow}(A) \\ \underline{\operatorname{follow}(A)} &\subseteq & \operatorname{follow}(A) \end{array}$$

Computing Follow Sets (5)

Using

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{first}(\epsilon) &= \emptyset \\ & \operatorname{first}(C) &= \{c, d\} \\ & \operatorname{first}(BC) &= \operatorname{first}(B) \cup \operatorname{first}(C) \cup \emptyset \\ &= \{b\} \cup \{c, d\} = \{b, c, d\} \end{aligned}$$

the constraints can be simplified further:

$$\{\$\} \subseteq \text{follow}(S)$$

$$\{b, c, d\} \subseteq \text{follow}(A)$$

$$\{c, d\} \subseteq \text{follow}(B)$$

$$\text{follow}(S) \subseteq \text{follow}(C)$$

Left Factoring (1)

Left factoring means factoring out a common prefix among a group of productions. This can help making a grammar suitable for predictive recursive descent parsing.

Example:

$$S \rightarrow aXbY \mid aXbYcZ$$

Not suitable for predictive parsing!

But note common prefix! Let's try to postpone the choice!

Computing Follow Sets (3)

$$S \rightarrow ABC \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

Constraints for follow(B) (note: \neg nullable(C)):

$$first(C) \subseteq follow(B)$$

Constraints for follow(C) (note: nullable(ϵ)):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{first}(\epsilon) & \subseteq & \mathrm{follow}(C) \\ \mathrm{follow}(S) & \subseteq & \mathrm{follow}(C) \end{array}$$

COMP2012/G52LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 – p.29/35

Computing Follow Sets (6)

Looking for the smallest sets satisfying these constraints, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{follow}(S) &= \{\$\} \\ & \text{follow}(A) &= \{b, c, d\} \\ & \text{follow}(B) &= \{c, d\} \\ & \text{follow}(C) &= \text{follow}(S) = \{\$\} \end{aligned}$$

Left Factoring (2)

Before left factoring:

$$S \ \to \ aXbY \mid aXbYcZ$$

After left factoring:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \to & aXbYS' \\ S' & \to & \epsilon \mid cZ \end{array}$$

Now suitable for predictive parsing!

Computing Follow Sets (4)

In general:

$$X \subseteq Z \land Y \subseteq Z \iff X \cup Y \subseteq Z$$

Also, constraints like $\emptyset \subseteq X$ and $X \subseteq X$ are trivially satisfied and can be omitted. The constraints can thus be written as:

```
 \begin{cases} \$ \} \subseteq \text{follow}(S) \\ \text{first}(BC) \cup \text{first}(\epsilon) \subseteq \text{follow}(A) \\ \text{first}(C) \subseteq \text{follow}(B) \\ \text{first}(\epsilon) \cup \text{follow}(S) \subseteq \text{follow}(C) \end{cases}
```

LL(1), Left-Recursion, Ambiguity

No left-recursive or ambiguous grammar can be LL(1)!

Proof: See the lecture notes.

Thus, left-recursion and any ambiguities first have to be eliminated (see previous lectures).

COMP2012/G52LACLanguages and ComputationLecture 14 – p.33/35