COMP2012/G52LAC Languages and Computation Lecture 14

Recursive-Descent Parsing: Predictive Parsing

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

This lecture:

- The problem of choice revisited.
- Predictive Parsing and LL(1) grammars.
- Computation of First and Follow Sets.
- Left factoring

Recursive-descent parsing is an example of the top-down parsing method:

Recursive-descent parsing is an example of the top-down parsing method:

One parsing function associated with each nonterminal; e.g., for nonterminal X, parseX:

```
parseX :: [Token] -> Maybe [Token]
```

Recursive-descent parsing is an example of the top-down parsing method:

One parsing function associated with each nonterminal; e.g., for nonterminal X, parseX:

```
parseX :: [Token] -> Maybe [Token]
```

A parsing function **attempts** to derive a prefix of the current input according to the grammar starting from the nonterminal.

Recursive-descent parsing is an example of the top-down parsing method:

One parsing function associated with each nonterminal; e.g., for nonterminal X, parseX:

```
parseX :: [Token] -> Maybe [Token]
```

- A parsing function **attempts** to derive a prefix of the current input according to the grammar starting from the nonterminal.
- Other parsing functions invoked (recursively) as needed according to the RHS of the production(s) for the nonterminal.

If successful, a parsing function returns the remainder of the input.

If successful, a parsing function returns the remainder of the input.

E.g. if input is $\alpha\beta$, $X \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$, and parseX could carry out this derivation, then:

 $\mathtt{parseX}\ \alpha\beta = \mathtt{Just}\ \beta$

- If successful, a parsing function returns the remainder of the input.
 - E.g. if input is $\alpha\beta$, $X \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha$, and parseX could carry out this derivation, then:

$$\mathtt{parseX}\ \alpha\beta = \mathtt{Just}\ \beta$$

If unsuccessful, a parsing function returns Nothing.

Of course, we want a parsing function to be successful *exactly* when a prefix of the input *can* be derived from the corresponding nonterminal.

Of course, we want a parsing function to be successful exactly when a prefix of the input can be derived from the corresponding nonterminal.

This can be achieved by:

Of course, we want a parsing function to be successful *exactly* when a prefix of the input *can* be derived from the corresponding nonterminal.

This can be achieved by:

Adopting a suitable parsing strategy, specifically regarding how to handle *choice* between two or more productions for one nonterminal.

Of course, we want a parsing function to be successful *exactly* when a prefix of the input *can* be derived from the corresponding nonterminal.

This can be achieved by:

- Adopting a suitable parsing strategy, specifically regarding how to handle *choice* between two or more productions for one nonterminal.
- Impose restrictions on the grammar to ensure success of the chosen parsing strategy.

Of course, we want a parsing function to be successful *exactly* when a prefix of the input *can* be derived from the corresponding nonterminal.

This can be achieved by:

- Adopting a suitable parsing strategy, specifically regarding how to handle *choice* between two or more productions for one nonterminal.
- Impose restrictions on the grammar to ensure success of the chosen parsing strategy.

In particular, left recursion usually not allowed.

Two strategies for handling *choice*, as in

$$S \to AB \mid CD$$

Two strategies for handling *choice*, as in

$$S \to AB \mid CD$$

Looking at the *next input symbol* is sometimes enough; e.g.:

$$S \to aB \mid cD$$

Two strategies for handling *choice*, as in

$$S \to AB \mid CD$$

Looking at the *next input symbol* is sometimes enough; e.g.:

$$S \to aB \mid cD$$

 If not, all alternatives could be explored through backtracking:

```
parseX :: [Token] -> [[Token]]
```

Today, we are going to look into exactly when the next input symbol, a one symbol *lookahead*, can be used to make *all* parsing decisions.

Today, we are going to look into exactly when the next input symbol, a one symbol *lookahead*, can be used to make *all* parsing decisions.

We note that this *can* be the case even if the RHSs start with nonterminals:

$$S \rightarrow AB \mid CD$$

$$A \rightarrow a \mid b$$

$$C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

- Predictive parsing is an example of recursive descent parsing where no backtracking is needed.
- The grammar must be such that the next input symbol uniquely determines the next production to use (a grammar restriction).

```
Productions: X \rightarrow \alpha \mid \beta
```

How to make the choices? Idea:

How to make the choices? Idea:

 Compute the set of terminal symbols that can start strings derived from each alternative, the first set.

How to make the choices? Idea:

- Compute the set of terminal symbols that can start strings derived from each alternative, the first set.
- If there is a choice between two or more alternatives, insist that the first sets for those are *disjoint* (a grammar restriction).

How to make the choices? Idea:

- Compute the set of terminal symbols that can start strings derived from each alternative, the first set.
- If there is a choice between two or more alternatives, insist that the first sets for those are *disjoint* (a grammar restriction).
- The right choice can now be made simply by determining to which alternative's first set the next input symbol belongs.

Productions: $X \rightarrow \alpha \mid \beta$

```
parseX (t : ts) =  | t \in first(\alpha) \rightarrow parse \alpha   | t \in first(\beta) \rightarrow parse \beta   | otherwise \rightarrow Nothing
```

Again, consider: $X \to \alpha \mid \beta$ What if e.g. $\beta \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$?

Clearly, the next input symbol could be a terminal that can follow a string derivable form X!

```
parseX (t : ts) =  | t \in \mathrm{first}(\alpha) \qquad -> parse \ \alpha   | t \in \mathrm{first}(\beta) \ \cup \ \mathrm{follow}(X) \ -> \ parse \ \beta   | \ \mathrm{otherwise} \ -> \ \mathrm{Nothing}
```

The branches must be mutually exclusive!

First and Follow Sets (1)

Following (roughly) "the Dragon Book" [ASU86]

For a CFG
$$G = (N, T, P, S)$$
:

$$first(\alpha) = \{ a \in T \mid \alpha \stackrel{*}{\underset{G}{\Rightarrow}} a\beta \}$$

$$follow(A) = \{ a \in T \mid S \stackrel{*}{\underset{G}{\rightleftharpoons}} \alpha A a \beta \}$$

$$\cup \{\$ \mid S \stackrel{*}{\underset{G}{\Rightarrow}} \alpha A\}$$

where we assume α , $\beta \in (N \cup T)^*$, $A \in N$, and where \$ is a special "end of input" marker.

First and Follow Sets (2)

Consider:

$$S \rightarrow ABC \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

$$first(C) = \{c, d\}$$

$$first(B) = \{b\}$$

$$first(A) = \{a\}$$

$$first(S) = first(ABC)$$

$$= [because A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon \text{ and } B \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon]$$

$$first(A) \cup first(B) \cup first(C)$$

$$= \{a, b, c, d\}$$

First and Follow Sets (3)

Same grammar:

$$S \rightarrow ABC \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

Follow sets:

follow(C) =
$$\{\$\}$$

follow(B) = first(C) = $\{c, d\}$
follow(A) = [because $B \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$]
first(B) \cup first(C)
= $\{b, c, d\}$

Consider all productions for a nonterminal A in some grammar:

$$A \rightarrow \alpha_1 \mid \alpha_2 \mid \dots \mid \alpha_n$$

Consider all productions for a nonterminal A in some grammar:

$$A \rightarrow \alpha_1 \mid \alpha_2 \mid \dots \mid \alpha_n$$

In the parsing function for A, on input symbol t, we parse according to α_i if $t \in \text{first}(\alpha_i)$.

Consider all productions for a nonterminal A in some grammar:

$$A \rightarrow \alpha_1 \mid \alpha_2 \mid \dots \mid \alpha_n$$

In the parsing function for A, on input symbol t, we parse according to α_i if $t \in \text{first}(\alpha_i)$.

If $\alpha_i \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$, we should parse according to α_i also if $t \in \text{follow}(A)$!

Thus, if:

Thus, if:

first $(\alpha_i) \cap \text{first}(\alpha_j) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and

Thus, if:

- first $(\alpha_i) \cap \text{first}(\alpha_j) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and
- if $\alpha_i \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$ for some i, then, for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, $j \neq i$,

Thus, if:

- first $(\alpha_i) \cap \text{first}(\alpha_j) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and
- if $\alpha_i \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$ for some i, then, for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, $j \neq i$,
 - $\alpha_j \not\stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$, and

LL(1) Grammars (2)

Thus, if:

- first $(\alpha_i) \cap \text{first}(\alpha_j) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and
- if $\alpha_i \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$ for some i, then, for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, $j \neq i$,
 - $\alpha_j \not\stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$, and
 - follow(A) \cap first(α_i) = \emptyset

LL(1) Grammars (2)

Thus, if:

- first $(\alpha_i) \cap \text{first}(\alpha_j) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and
- if $\alpha_i \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$ for some i, then, for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, $j \neq i$,
 - $\alpha_j \not\stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$, and
 - follow(A) \cap first(α_i) = \emptyset

then it is always clear what do do!

LL(1) Grammars (2)

Thus, if:

- first $(\alpha_i) \cap \text{first}(\alpha_j) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and
- if $\alpha_i \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$ for some i, then, for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, $j \neq i$,
 - $-\alpha_j \not\stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$, and
 - follow(A) \cap first(α_i) = \emptyset

then it is always clear what do do!

A grammar satisfying these conditions is said to be an LL(1) grammar.

In order to compute the first and follow sets for a grammar G = (N, T, P, S), we first need to know all nonterminals $A \in N$ such that $A \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$; i.e. the set $N_{\epsilon} \subseteq N$ of *nullable* nonterminals.

Let $syms(\alpha)$ denote the *set* of symbols in a string α :

$$\operatorname{syms} \in (N \cup T)^* \to \mathcal{P}(N \cup T)$$
$$\operatorname{syms}(\epsilon) = \emptyset$$
$$\operatorname{syms}(X\alpha) = \{X\} \cup \operatorname{syms}(\alpha)$$

The set N_{ϵ} is the *smallest* solution to the equation

$$N_{\epsilon} = \{A \mid A \to \alpha \in P \land \forall X \in \operatorname{syms}(\alpha) : X \in N_{\epsilon} \}$$

(Note that $A \in N_{\epsilon}$ if $A \to \epsilon \in P$ because $syms(\epsilon) = \emptyset$ and $\forall X \in \emptyset$ is trivially true.)

We can now define a predicate nullable on strings of grammar symbols:

nullable
$$\in (N \cup T)^* \to \text{Bool}$$

nullable (ϵ) = true
nullable $(X\alpha)$ = $X \in N_{\epsilon} \land \text{nullable}(\alpha)$

The equation for N_{ϵ} can be solved iteratively as follows:

- 1. Initialize N_{ϵ} to $\{A \mid A \to \epsilon \in P\}$.
- 2. If there is a production $A \to \alpha$ such that $\forall X \in \operatorname{syms}(\alpha) . X \in N_{\epsilon}$, then add A to N_{ϵ} .
- 3. Repeat step 2 until no further nullable nonterminals can be found.

$$S \rightarrow ABC \mid AB \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid BB \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

Consider the following grammar:

$$S \rightarrow ABC \mid AB \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

 $A \rightarrow aA \mid BB \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$

Because $B \to \epsilon$ is a production, $B \in N_{\epsilon}$.

$$S \rightarrow ABC \mid AB \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid BB \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

- Because $B \to \epsilon$ is a production, $B \in N_{\epsilon}$.
- Because $A \to BB$ is a production and $B \in N_{\epsilon}$, additionally $A \in N_{\epsilon}$.

$$S \rightarrow ABC \mid AB \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

 $A \rightarrow aA \mid BB \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$

- Because $B \to \epsilon$ is a production, $B \in N_{\epsilon}$.
- Because $A \to BB$ is a production and $B \in N_{\epsilon}$, additionally $A \in N_{\epsilon}$.
- Because $S \to AB$ is a production, and $A, B \in N_{\epsilon}$, additionally $S \in N_{\epsilon}$.

$$S \rightarrow ABC \mid AB \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

 $A \rightarrow aA \mid BB \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$

- Because $B \to \epsilon$ is a production, $B \in N_{\epsilon}$.
- Because $A \to BB$ is a production and $B \in N_{\epsilon}$, additionally $A \in N_{\epsilon}$.
- Because $S \to AB$ is a production, and $A, B \in N_{\epsilon}$, additionally $S \in N_{\epsilon}$.
- No more production with nullable RHS. The set of nullable symbols $N_{\epsilon} = \{S, A, B\}$.

Computing First Sets (1)

For a CFG G = (N, T, P, S), the sets first(A) for $A \in N$ are the smallest sets satisfying:

$$first(A) \subseteq T$$

$$first(A) = \bigcup_{A \to \alpha \in P} first(\alpha)$$

Computing First Sets (2)

For strings, first is defined as (note the overloaded notation):

$$\operatorname{first} \in (N \cup T)^* \to \mathcal{P}(T)$$

$$\operatorname{first}(\epsilon) = \emptyset$$

$$\operatorname{first}(a\alpha) = \{a\}$$

$$\operatorname{first}(A\alpha) = \operatorname{first}(A) \cup \begin{cases} \operatorname{first}(\alpha), & \text{if } A \in N_{\epsilon} \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } A \notin N_{\epsilon} \end{cases}$$

where $a \in T$, $A \in N$, and $\alpha \in (N \cup T)^*$.

Computing First Sets (3)

The solutions can often be obtained directly by expanding out all definitions.

If necessary, the equations can be solved by iteration in a similar way to how N_{ϵ} is computed.

Note that the smallest solution to set equations of the type

$$X = X \cup Y$$

when there are no other constraints on X is simply

$$X = Y$$

Computing First Sets (4)

Consider (again):

$$S \rightarrow ABC \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

First compute the nullable nonterminals:

$$N_{\epsilon} = \{A, B\}$$
.

Then compute first sets:

$$first(A) = first(aA) \cup first(\epsilon)$$
$$= \{a\} \cup \emptyset = \{a\}$$

Computing First Sets (5)

$$S \rightarrow ABC \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

$$first(B) = first(b) \cup first(\epsilon)$$
$$= \{b\} \cup \emptyset = \{b\}$$

$$first(C) = first(c) \cup first(d)$$
$$= \{c\} \cup \{d\} = \{c, d\}$$

Computing First Sets (6)

 $S \rightarrow ABC$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

$$first(S) = first(ABC)$$

$$= [A \in N_{\epsilon}]$$

$$first(A) \cup first(BC)$$

$$= [B \in N_{\epsilon} \land C \notin N_{\epsilon}]$$

$$first(A) \cup first(B) \cup first(C) \cup \emptyset$$

$$= \{a\} \cup \{b\} \cup \{c,d\} = \{a,b,c,d\}$$

 $B \rightarrow b | \epsilon$

Computing Follow Sets (1)

For a CFG G = (N, T, P, S), the sets follow(A) for $A \in N$ are the smallest sets satisfying:

- $\{\$\} \subseteq \operatorname{follow}(S)$
- If $A \to \alpha B\beta \in P$, then $first(\beta) \subseteq follow(B)$
- If $A \to \alpha B\beta \in P$, and $\text{nullable}(\beta)$ then $\text{follow}(A) \subseteq \text{follow}(B)$

 $A,B\in N$, and $\alpha,\beta\in (N\cup T)^*$.

(It is assumed that there are no *useless* symbols; i.e., all symbols can appear in the derivation of some sentence.)

Computing Follow Sets (2)

$$S \rightarrow ABC \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

Constraints for follow(S):

$$\{\$\} \subseteq \text{follow}(S)$$

Computing Follow Sets (2)

$$S \rightarrow ABC \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

Constraints for follow(S):

$$\{\$\} \subseteq \text{follow}(S)$$

Constraints for follow(A) (note: $\neg nullable(BC)$):

$$first(BC) \subseteq follow(A)$$

 $first(\epsilon) \subseteq follow(A)$
 $follow(A) \subseteq follow(A)$

Computing Follow Sets (3)

$$S \rightarrow ABC \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

Constraints for follow(B) (note: $\neg nullable(C)$):

$$first(C) \subseteq follow(B)$$

Computing Follow Sets (3)

$$S \rightarrow ABC \qquad B \rightarrow b \mid \epsilon$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon \qquad C \rightarrow c \mid d$$

Constraints for follow(B) (note: \neg nullable(C)):

$$first(C) \subseteq follow(B)$$

Constraints for follow(C) (note: nullable(ϵ)):

$$first(\epsilon) \subseteq follow(C)$$

 $follow(S) \subseteq follow(C)$

Computing Follow Sets (4)

In general:

$$X \subseteq Z \land Y \subseteq Z \iff X \cup Y \subseteq Z$$

Also, constraints like $\emptyset \subseteq X$ and $X \subseteq X$ are trivially satisfied and can be omitted. The constraints can thus be written as:

$$\{\$\} \subseteq \text{follow}(S)$$

$$\text{first}(BC) \cup \text{first}(\epsilon) \subseteq \text{follow}(A)$$

$$\text{first}(C) \subseteq \text{follow}(B)$$

$$\text{first}(\epsilon) \cup \text{follow}(S) \subseteq \text{follow}(C)$$

Computing Follow Sets (5)

Using

$$first(\epsilon) = \emptyset$$

$$first(C) = \{c, d\}$$

$$first(BC) = first(B) \cup first(C) \cup \emptyset$$

$$= \{b\} \cup \{c, d\} = \{b, c, d\}$$

the constraints can be simplified further:

$$\{\$\} \subseteq \text{follow}(S)$$

$$\{b, c, d\} \subseteq \text{follow}(A)$$

$$\{c, d\} \subseteq \text{follow}(B)$$

$$\text{follow}(S) \subseteq \text{follow}(C)$$

Computing Follow Sets (6)

Looking for the smallest sets satisfying these constraints, we get:

```
follow(S) = \{\$\}
follow(A) = \{b, c, d\}
follow(B) = \{c, d\}
follow(C) = follow(S) = \{\$\}
```

LL(1), Left-Recursion, Ambiguity

No left-recursive or ambiguous grammar can be LL(1)!

Proof: See the lecture notes.

Thus, left-recursion and any ambiguities first have to be eliminated (see previous lectures).

Left Factoring (1)

Left factoring means factoring out a common prefix among a group of productions. This can help making a grammar suitable for predictive recursive descent parsing.

Example:

$$S \rightarrow aXbY \mid aXbYcZ$$

Not suitable for predictive parsing!

But note common prefix! Let's try to postpone the choice!

Left Factoring (2)

Before left factoring:

$$S \rightarrow aXbY \mid aXbYcZ$$

After left factoring:

$$S \rightarrow aXbYS'$$

$$S' \rightarrow \epsilon \mid cZ$$

Now suitable for predictive parsing!