

G52MAL Machines and Their Languages Lecture 11

Derivation Trees and Ambiguity

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham

G52MALMachines and Their LanguagesLecture 11 – p.1/9

Recap: The Directly Derives Relation (1)

To formally define the language generated by

$$G = (N, T, P, S)$$

we first define a binary relation \Rightarrow_G on strings over

$N \cup T$, read “**directly derives in grammar G** ”, being the least relation such that

$$\alpha A \gamma \Rightarrow_G \alpha \beta \gamma$$

whenever $A \rightarrow \beta$ is a production in G where $A \in N$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (N \cup T)^*$.

G52MALMachines and Their LanguagesLecture 11 – p.3/9

Recap: Definition of CFG

A CFG $G = (N, T, P, S)$ where

- N is a finite set of **nonterminals** (or **variables** or **syntactic categories**)
- T is a finite set of **terminals**
- $N \cap T = \emptyset$ (disjoint)
- P is a finite set of **productions** of the form $A \rightarrow \alpha$ where $A \in N$ and $\alpha \in (N \cup T)^*$
- $S \in N$ is the **start symbol**

G52MALMachines and Their LanguagesLecture 11 – p.2/9

Recap: The Directly Derives Relation (2)

When it is clear which grammar G is involved, we use \Rightarrow instead of \Rightarrow_G .

Example: Given the grammar

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow \epsilon \mid aA \\ A &\rightarrow bS \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow \epsilon & aA &\Rightarrow abS \\ S &\Rightarrow aA & SaAaa &\Rightarrow SabSaa \end{aligned}$$

G52MALMachines and Their LanguagesLecture 11 – p.4/9

Recap: The Derives Relation (1)

The relation $\xRightarrow{*}_G$, read “**derives in grammar G** ”, is the reflexive, transitive closure of \Rightarrow_G .

That is, $\xRightarrow{*}_G$ is the least relation on strings over $N \cup T$ such that:

- $\alpha \xRightarrow{*}_G \beta$ if $\alpha \Rightarrow_G \beta$
- $\alpha \xRightarrow{*}_G \alpha$ (reflexive)
- $\alpha \xRightarrow{*}_G \beta$ if $\alpha \xRightarrow{*}_G \gamma \wedge \gamma \xRightarrow{*}_G \beta$ (transitive)

Recap: The Derives Relation (2)

Again, we use $\xRightarrow{*}$ instead of $\xRightarrow{*}_G$ when G is obvious.

Example: Given the grammar

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow \epsilon \mid aA \\ A &\rightarrow bS \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &\xRightarrow{*} \epsilon & S &\xRightarrow{*} abS \\ S &\xRightarrow{*} aA & S &\xRightarrow{*} ababS \\ aA &\xRightarrow{*} abS & S &\xRightarrow{*} abab \end{aligned}$$

Recap: Lang. Generated by a Grammar

The **language generated** by a context-free grammar

$$G = (N, T, P, S)$$

denoted $L(G)$, is defined as follows:

$$L(G) = \{w \mid w \in T^* \wedge S \xRightarrow{*}_G w\}$$

A language L is a **Context-Free Language (CFL)** iff $L = L(G)$ for some CFG G .

A string $\alpha \in (N \cup T)^*$ is a **sentential form** iff $S \xRightarrow{*} \alpha$.

Recap: Language Generation: Example

Given the grammar

$G = (N = \{S, A\}, T = \{a, b\}, P, S)$ where P are the productions

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow \epsilon \mid aA \\ A &\rightarrow bS \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(G) &= \{(ab)^i \mid i \geq 0\} \\ &= \{\epsilon, ab, abab, ababab, abababab, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

Simple Arithmetic Expressions

$SAE = (N = \{E, I, D\}, T = \{+, *, (,), 0, 1, \dots, 9\}, P, E)$
where P is given by:

$$\begin{array}{l} E \rightarrow E + E \\ | E * E \\ | (E) \\ | I \end{array}$$

$$I \rightarrow DI | D$$

$$D \rightarrow 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9$$

Note: $A \rightarrow \alpha | \beta$ shorthand for $A \rightarrow \alpha, A \rightarrow \beta$.