COMP4075: Lecture 14

Property-based Testing

Henrik Nilsson

University of Nottingham, UK

0 0 0 0 0 0 COMP4075: Lecture 14 = n 1/28

Basic Example

```
import Test. QuickCheck prop\_RevRev :: [Int] \to Bool prop\_RevRev \ xs = reverse \ (reverse \ xs) \equiv xs prop\_RevApp :: [Int] \to [Int] \to Bool prop\_RevApp \ xs \ ys = reverse \ (xs + ys) \equiv reverse \ ys + reverse \ xs quickCheck \ (prop\_RevRev .\&\&. \ prop\_RevApp)
```

Result: +++ OK, passed 100 tests

COMP4075: Lecture 14 - p.4/28

Generators (1)

Generators can further be constructed directly for any type in the class ${\it Random}$:

```
chooseAny :: Random \ a \Rightarrow Gen \ a

choose :: Random \ a \Rightarrow (a, a) \rightarrow Gen \ a
```

The latter can be used to state properties that only hold over a specific range.

QuickCheck: What is it? (1)

- · Framework for property-based testing
- · Flexible language for stating properties
- Random test cases generated automatically based on type of argument(s) to properties.
- · Highly configurable:
 - Number, size of test cases can easily be specified
 - Additional types for more fine-grained control of test case generation
 - Customised test case generators

0 0 0 0 COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.2/28

Class Testable

```
Type of quickCheck:
```

```
quickCheck :: Testable \ prop \Rightarrow prop \rightarrow IO \ ()
```

Testable and some instances:

```
class Testable prop where
```

 $\begin{array}{ll} property & :: prop \rightarrow Property \\ exhaustive :: prop \rightarrow Bool \end{array}$

instance Testable Bool

 ${\bf instance}\ {\it Testable}\ {\it Property}$

instance (Arbitrary a, Show a, Testable prop) \Rightarrow Testable (a \rightarrow prop)

0 0 0 COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.5/28

Generators (2)

Int and any enumeration type are in the class Random. The following are efficient specializations of choose:

```
chooseEnum :: Enum \ a \Rightarrow (a, a) \rightarrow Gen \ a
chooseInt :: (Int, Int) \rightarrow Gen \ Int
```

Generators can also be constrained by a predicate:

```
suchThat :: Gen \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow Gen \ a
```

QuickCheck: What is it? (2)

- Support for checking test coverage
- · Counterexample produced when test case fails
- Counterexamples automatically shrunk in attempt to find minimal counterexample

October 401.0. Lecture 14 - ju

Class Arbitrary

```
class Arbitrary a where
```

```
arbitrary :: Gen \ a shrink :: a \rightarrow [a] generate :: Gen \ a \rightarrow IO \ a
```

Arbitrary instance for all basic types provided. Easy to define additional ones.

Gen is a Monad, Applicative, Functor (and more).

Example:

```
generate (arbitrary :: Gen [Int])
Result: [28, -2, -26, 6, 8, 8, 1]
```

OMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.6/28

Stating Properties (1)

Implication is used to state that a property should hold whenever a precondition is satisfied:

```
(==>) :: Testable \ prop \Rightarrow Bool \rightarrow prop \rightarrow Property \ \textbf{infix}
```

For example, the following is a property relating a real (represented by Double) number to its square:

```
prop\_SquareLarger :: Double \rightarrow Bool

prop\_SquareLarger \ x = x \uparrow 2 > x
```

COMP4075: Lecture 14 - p.9/28

Stating Properties (2)

It is not universally true, of course:

```
quickCheck prop_SquareLarger
```

```
Result: *** Failed! Falsifiable (after 1 test): 0.0
```

But a sufficient precondition is that the number is strictly greater than 1. Thus:

```
quickCheck
(\lambda x \rightarrow (x > 1) ==> prop\_SquareLarger \ x)
```

COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p. 10/28

o o o o COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p. 13/28

Result: +++ OK, passed 100 tests.

Stating Properties (5)

Properties can be combined using *conjunction* and *disjunction*:

```
(.&&.) :: (Testable prop1, Testable prop2)

\Rightarrow prop1 \rightarrow prop2 \rightarrow Property

(.||.) :: (Testable prop1, Testable prop2)

\Rightarrow prop1 \rightarrow prop2 \rightarrow Property
```

Runnnig Tests

Basic function to run tests:

```
quickCheck :: Testable prop \Rightarrow prop \rightarrow IO ()
```

Printing of all test cases:

```
verboseCheck :: Testable \ prop \Rightarrow prop \rightarrow IO \ ()
```

Controlling e.g. number and size of test cases:

```
\begin{aligned} &quickCheckWith :: \\ &Testable \ prop \Rightarrow Args \rightarrow prop \rightarrow IO \ () \\ &quickCheckWith \\ &(stdArgs \ \{maxSize = 10, maxSuccess = 1000 \}) \\ &prop\_XXX \end{aligned}
```

Stating Properties (3)

Alternatively, *universal quantification* allows using a generator that only generates valid data:

```
for All :: (Show \ a, Testable \ prop) \Rightarrow \\ Gen \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow prop) \rightarrow Property For example: quick Check \\ (for All \ (choose Any `such That` \ (>1)) \\ prop\_Square Larger) Result: +++ OK, passed 100 tests.
```

Modifiers (1)

A number of newtypes with *Arbitrary* instances.

```
E.g. NonEmptyList a, SortedList a, NonNegative a
```

Typical definitions:

```
\begin{tabular}{ll} \bf newtype & NonEmptyList & a = \\ & NonEmpty & \{ getNonEmpty :: [a] \} \\ \bf newtype & NonNegative & a = \\ & NonNegative & \{ getNonNegative :: a \} \\ \end{tabular}
```

Allows to more precice formulations

Labelling and Coverage (1)

label attaches a label to a test case:

```
label :: Testable \ prop \Rightarrow String \rightarrow prop \rightarrow Property
```

o o o O COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.14/28

Example:

```
\begin{split} prop\_RevRev :: [Int] &\to Property \\ prop\_RevRev \; xs &= \\ label \; (\texttt{"length is "} + show \; (length \; xs)) \; \$ \\ reverse \; (reverse \; xs) &=== xs \end{split}
```

Stating Properties (4)

A generator that generates valid test data is typically more efficient than generating data and discarding what does not fit. For example:

```
\begin{split} &prop\_Index :: Eq \ a \Rightarrow [\ a] \rightarrow Property \\ &prop\_Index \ xs = \\ &length \ xs > 0 ==> \\ &forAll \ (choose \ (0, length \ xs - 1)) \ \$ \ \lambda i \rightarrow \\ &xs \ !! \ i \equiv head \ (drop \ i \ xs) \end{split}
```

Note the use of both implication and universal quantification in this partiulcar formulation.

Modifiers (2)

Alternative formulation of the index property with a *type* that captures that it holds only for non-empty lists (thus avoiding the precondition):

```
prop\_Index ::
Eq \ a \Rightarrow NonEmptyList \ a \rightarrow Property
prop\_Index \ (NonEmpty \ xs) =
forAll \ (choose \ (0, length \ xs - 1)) \$ \lambda i \rightarrow
xs :! \ i \equiv head \ (drop \ i \ xs)
```

COMP4075: Lecture 14 ~ p. 15/28

Labelling and Coverage (2)

Result:

```
+++ OK, passed 100 tests: 7% length is 7
6% length is 3
5% length is 4
4% length is 6
```

There are also *cover* and *checkCover* for checking/enforcingig specific coverage requirements.

A Cautionary Tale (1)

$$\begin{array}{lll} prop_Sqrt :: Double \rightarrow Bool \\ prop_Sqrt \; x \\ & \mid x < 0 & = isNaN \; sqrtX \\ & \mid x \equiv 0 \lor x \equiv 1 = sqrtX \equiv x \\ & \mid x < 1 & = sqrtX > x \\ & \mid x > 1 & = sqrtX > 0 \land sqrtX < x \\ & \textbf{where} \\ & sqrtX = sqrt \; x \\ main = quickCheck \; propSqrt \end{array}$$

Result: +++ OK, passed 100 tests

A Cautionary Tale (4)

Simply test specific cases when needed:

```
prop\_Sqrt0 :: Bool

prop\_Sqrt0 = mySqrt \ 0 \equiv 0

prop\_Sqrt1 :: Bool

prop\_Sqrt1 = mySqrt \ 1 \equiv 1
```

Testing Interval Arithmetic (1)

Lifting a unary operator \ominus to an operator $\widehat{\ominus}$ working on intervals is defined as follows, assuming \ominus is defined on the entire interval:

$$\hat{\ominus}i = [\min_{\forall x \in i} \ominus x, \ \max_{\forall x \in i} \ominus x]$$

And for binary operators:

$$i_1 \hat{\otimes} i_2 = [\min_{\forall x \in i_1, y \in i_2} x \otimes y, \max_{\forall x \in i_1, y \in i_2} x \otimes y]$$

A Cautionary Tale (2)

A Cautionary Tale (5)

```
\begin{split} &prop\_SqrtX :: Double \rightarrow Bool \\ &prop\_SqrtX \ x \\ &\mid x < 0 = isNaN \ sqrtX \\ &\mid x \leqslant 1 = sqrtX \geqslant x \\ &\mid x > 1 = sqrtX > 0 \land sqrtX < x \\ &\textbf{where} \\ &sartX = muSqrt \ x \end{split}
```

Testing Interval Arithmetic (2)

But how can we test that? In general, very difficult to find the global minimum/maximum of a function over an interval without further information e.g. about its derivatives.

OMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.23/28

However, for a given interval i, it follows that:

$$\forall x \in i. \ominus x \in \hat{\ominus}i$$

A Cautionary Tale (3)

```
prop\_Sqrt :: Double \rightarrow Bool prop\_Sqrt x ... \mathbf{where} sqrtX = flawedSqrt x ... main = quickCheckWith (stdArgs \{ maxSuccess = 1000000 \}) propSqrt \mathbf{Result:} +++ \text{ OK, passed } 1000000 \text{ tests} \mathbf{Coops.} \text{ (Very unlikely 1.0 will be picked)}
```

A Cautionary Tale (6)

```
\begin{split} prop\_Sqrt :: Property \\ prop\_Sqrt &= counterexample \\ &\quad \text{"sqrt 0 failed"} \\ prop\_Sqrt0 \\ \text{.\&\&.} &\quad counterexample \\ &\quad \text{"sqrt 1 failed"} \\ prop\_Sqrt1 \\ \text{.\&\&.} &\quad prop\_SqrtX \end{split}
```

(counterexample adds a string to a property that gets printed if the property fails.)

0 0 0 COMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.24/28

Testing Interval Arithmetic (3)

```
Unfortunately, \hat{\ominus}i=[-\infty,\ +\infty] satisfies \forall x\in i,\ominus x\in \hat{\ominus}i
```

We should ideally test that the result interval is not larger than necessary. But that is hard too.

However, the definition does imply that a 1-point interval must be mapped to a 1-point interval:

$$\hat{\ominus}[x,x] = [\ominus x, \ \ominus x]$$

While not perfect, does rule out trivial implementations and it is easy to test.

Testing Interval Arithmetic (4)

For binary operators:

• For given intervals i_1 and i_2 :

$$\forall x \in i_1, y \in i_2. \ x \otimes y \in i_1 \hat{\otimes} i_2$$

For given x and y:

$$[x, x] \hat{\otimes} [y, y] = [x \otimes y, \ x \otimes y]$$

Let us turn the above into QuickCheck test cases interactively. (2021: Exercise!)

OMP4075: Lecture 14 – p.28/28